HREiR Action Plan (September 2023-2026)

Details

Institution name:	University of Bath
Cohort number:	4
Date of submission:	26-Jan-24
Institutional context:	The University of Bath received the
	HR Excellence in Research Award in September 2011 and has retained it
	since. The values underlying
	University of Bath Strategy 2021–26
	align with the three Researcher
	Development Concordat principles,
	which shows the importance of Research Staff and their role in the
	University of Bath community, and
	the importance of creating a positive
	research culture where everyone
	feels heard. Additionally, these
	principles align with our 'driving high impact research' and 'fostering and
	outstanding and inclusive community'
	strategic pillars. With these in mind,
	we are committed to recruiting,
	retaining, and developing diverse
	and talented Research Staff across the university. This action plan is one
	initiative that supports our intentions,
	together with the institutional Silver
	Athena SWAN (AS) action plan
	(2021) and departmental action
	plans, Research Strategy, and Vision for Research Staff, updated
	Institutional Silver Athena SWAN
	action plan (2023). In 2024, we will
	launch and complete a consultative
	process to clearly set out refreshed
	objectives and KPIs for Research
	<u>Culture</u> . This will further strengthen the integration of the work on the
	Concordat to the other initiatives
	within the University.





Audience (direct beneficiaries of the action	Neurala an af	Comments
plan)	Number of	
Research staff	310*	Including Research Assistants, Research Associates, and Research Fellows (Grade 5-8). *At the time of CEDARS 2023 in June, this
		number was 297.
Postgraduate researchers		
Research and teaching staff	784	189 are direct line managers of research staff
Teaching-only staff		
Technicians		
Clinicians		
Professional support staff		
Other (provide numbers and details):		This data is taken from a HR Management Information report on 30th September 2023.

		Comple	ete for subm	nission				To be complet	ed only when reportin	g on action plan
	Obligation	Action	Carried over from previous action plan?	Deadline	Responsibility	The targeted impact of the action (success measure)	Comments (optional)	Progress update	The actual <u>impact</u> of the action (reporting against the success measure)	Outcome (ongoing/carried forward/no further action)
Enviro	nment and Culture									
Awarene	ess and engagement									
	of these obligations are to researchers.	work towards an open and inclu	sive research	culture, and	to ensure broad	understanding and awa	reness of this			
ECI1	Ensure all relevant staff	ECI1.1 All new Research Staff are made aware of the Concordat when they join the University through a welcome email and link to new knowledge hub webpage.	No	Jun-24	Researcher Development Manager (with Associate Pro VC Research)	70% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q51.1 ("have some understanding of or know that the Concordat exists") (CEDARS 2023: 47%) From 2024/25 the postdoc research environment focus group reports increased awareness of the Concordat and 10 days CPD.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=71).			
	Concordat.	ECI1.2 RSWG project to improve the induction experience for Research Staff to include Concordat engagement at departmental, faculty and institutional levels.	No	Jul-24	Chair RSWG (with Researcher Development Manager, Deputy Director Workforce Development)	80% Research Staff report that they are aware of the Concordat following induction and welcome email.	Feedback will also explore the most effective channel for this information.			
		ECI1.3 Monitor the conversion rates of submitted to successful early career fellowships/funding applications.	Yes	Sept-25	Researcher Development Manager	Baseline to be established in 2024. Aim to increase submissions by 20% on the baseline data for 2025, once Fellowship Academy established.				

1	I		T		T		7	1	
		See PCDR1.1 and PCDR1.2 (below)	No	Mar-24	Head of Talent Acquisition (with Researcher Development Manager)	70% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q51.1 ("have some understanding of or know that the Concordat exists") (CEDARS 2023: 47%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=71).		
		ECI2.1 New Webpage "Research Staff Knowledge Hub" with links to all relevant policies and Research Staff focused activities to be published (including links to Wellbeing, EDI and Research Integrity webpages)	No	May-24	Research and Innovation Services Communication n Manager (with Researcher Development Manager)	30% of Research Staff have engaged with the new Researcher Development Knowledge Hub, as measured by website analytics.	Baseline data - introduce monitoring of webpage from May-24 once the site is established.		
ECI2	Ensure institutional policies and practices relevant to researchers are inclusive, equitable and transparent, and are well-communicated to researchers and their managers.	ECI2.2 New Researcher Development SharePoint site to be created for on demand content, links to policies and Research Staff specific resources.	No	Jun-24	Research and Innovation Services Communicatio n Manager	30% of Research Staff and Research Staff Management have engaged with the new Researcher Development Knowledge Hub. Positive feedback received from RSWG and Faculty RKECs.	Baseline data - introduce monitoring of SharePoint from June-24 once the site is established.		
		ECI2.3 Institutional question will be added to future CEDARS to capture data on whether researchers believe that institutional policies and practices are easy to find.	Yes	Apr-25	Researcher Development Manager	CEDARS 2026: >70% researchers believe that institutional policies and practices are easy to find.	Baseline data to be established in CEDARS 2025.		
ECI6	Regularly review and report on the quality of the research environment and culture, including seeking feedback from researchers, and using the outcomes to improve institutional practices.	ECI6.1 Develop a research culture strategy that includes specific co-developed objectives and associated KPIs. Annual plans are developed through a review of the KPIs to ensure the allocation of time and resources to high priority areas. Discuss progress against actions in RCSG quarterly, escalate issues or risks to Research Advisory Board.	No	Jun-25	Research Culture Manager (with RCSG)	RSWG reports positively on the co-development of objectives, appropriateness of relevant KPIs and effectiveness of the plan.	Strategy and objectives approved with extensive consultation - engaging at least 150 individuals across career stages (to include action a representati ve % of		

							Research Staff and Research Staff Managers) and job families.		
	Encourage researchers to actively contribute to the development and	ECR1.1 Establish active membership, by researchers at different career stages, on the RCSG.	No	Dec-24	Research Culture Manager (with RCSG)	Researchers actively informing the research culture strategy, objectives, indicators and action plan. Contributions are acknowledged and communicated to all staff through blogs and central communications.	At least one member of the RCSG represents Research Staff. Connection to RSWG made through the Researcher Developmen t Manager to ensure joined-up approach.		
ECR1	maintenance of a supportive, fair and inclusive research culture and be a supportive colleague, particularly to newer researchers and students.	ECR1.2 Survey members annually to understand whether they feel these are inclusive, and their contributions, knowledge and ideas are valued.	No	Nov-24 Nov-25	Research Culture Manager (with RCSG)	80% of Research Staff agree or strongly agree that their contributions, knowledge and ideas are valued by the RCSG.	Baseline data - introduce annual survey to establish baseline data		
		ECR1.3 Actively encourage researchers to participate in Enhancing Research Culture Funded projects - contributing their knowledge and expertise to the ongoing enhancement to research culture at Bath. Acknowledge contributions as potentially contributing to CPD.	No	Jun-24 Jun-25 Jun-26	Research Culture Manager	Annual increment of Research Staff participation by 10% from baseline so that researchers directly influence and improve research culture at Bath through their self-defined initiatives.	Baseline data - introduce monitoring of Research Staff participation in Enhancing Research Culture Funded projects from November 2023.		

	ECR1.4 Communicate initiative to ensure Research Staff and Research Staff Managers are aware of the NeverOK Support & Report tool and the Resolution Framework so that relationship issues and non-inclusive behaviour can be identified at an early point and actions taken to change relationships and/or behaviour and enable an inclusive research culture. Ensure 100% of Research Staff complete the Staff mandatory training.	No	Jul-24 Oct-25 Jan-26	Deputy Director HR (with Deputy Director Culture and Inclusion, Researcher Development Manager)	70% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q47.1 and Q48.1 ("I am familiar with my institution's mechanisms to report incidents of discrimination/bullyin g and harassment") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 56% respectively) Baseline data to be collected for 2023/24, and then annually with the aim to have at least 80% RS having completed the training annually.	Survey response rate for these two questions were in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=71).		
	ECR1.5 Develop 'Research Culture Awards' with categories celebrating and recognising colleagues for outstanding contributions to the research environment, including how to identify good practice by Research Staff and Pls.	No	Jul-25	Research Culture Manager (with Associate Pro VC Research, RCSG)	Consideration of a specific award for "positive contribution to the Research Staff environment" to be determined.	Increase the engagement indicated by the number of nominations year on year - baseline to be established.		
Wellbeing and mental health								
The aims of these obligations are to c working.	champion positive wellbeing amo	new ways of						

I	1	1	1	1 1 т	The	
				U	University	
				de	demonstrate	
				s	s its	
				CO	commitment	
				I to	to employee wellbeing	
				l w	through the	
				l w	Work-	
				R	Related Programme Related	
				<u>S</u>	Stress and	
				<u> </u>	Wellbeing	
				<u>P</u>	Policy. Our occupational	
				00	approach	
				l us	uses the	
				ev	evidence	
				ba	pased	
				H	Health and	
					Safety Executive's	
					Executive's	
				1 10	Managemen : Standards	
				to	to explore	
				re	recognised	
				st	stressors in	
	Promote good mental			de	depth and to	
ECI3	health and wellbeing through the effective	No new action has been		ei	enable appropriate	
2010	management of	identified.		So	solutions, in	
	management of workloads and people.			CC	conjunction	
				W	with our	
				st	staff, to be	
					dentified and	
				in	mplemented	
					This	
				a	approach	
1				l ui	underpins	
				bo	ooth the	
				l ut	development and	
				in	mplementati	
				OI	on of	
				<u>D</u>	<u>Department</u>	
					al Wellbeing Action Plans	
				A A	as well as	
				th	as well as the creation	
				of	of Individual	
				l W	Wellbeing Action Plans	
				A	Action Plans	
				W in	where ndividual	
				l m	members of	
				st	staff have	
				re	reported	

				concerns.		
				This process		
				Tills process		
				actively		
				considers		
				workload		
				and work		
				and work		
				demands		
				and covers		
				all staff		
				groups including		
				including		
				including		
				research		
				workers.		
				The		
				University		
				base		
				has a range		
		1		of		
		1		employment		
				policies and		
		1		procedures		
				procedures		
				to support effective line		
				effective line		
				managemen		
				t and		
				operates a		
				operates a		
				workload		
				allocation		
				model to		
				ensure that		
				workloads		
				workloads		
				are equitably		
				distributed		
				across		
		1		departments		
		1		. There are a		
				. Ilicie ale a		
				range of		
		1		policies that		
		1		specifically		
		1		deal with		
		1		wellbeing		
		1		and work life		
		1		and work-life		
		1		balance		
		1		including		
		1		flexible		
				working as		
		1		working, as well as other		
				well as other		
		1		resources to		
		1		support		
				career		
		1		development		
				acvolopinicit		
i I	ı l	1	l I			

ECI4	Ensure managers of researchers are effectively trained in relation to wellbeing and mental health.	ECI4.1 Communicate to Research Staff Managers the opportunity to attend: 'Managing Mental Wellbeing at Work' two-hour workshops: "Manage energy, not time", "Resilience and wellbeing", "Pressure, stress and performance".	No	Jul-24	Researcher Development Manager (Deputy Director Health and Wellbeing)	Over 80% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q30.9 ("How confident are you in your ability to respond to any issues relating to health and wellbeing?") are confident or fully confident (CEDARS 2023: 81%). Survey response rate for this question in 2023:42% Research Staff Managers (n=85). Baseline data to be collected in 2024/25, and then annually with the aim to have at least 80% RS having completed the training each year.	Wellbeing is embedded in the line manager development curriculum and a range of workshops, both online and inperson, are available for all people to attend. Specific training (Mental Health First Aid, Suicide Awareness, Confident Conversations) has been provided to HR, Security, Student Services and personal tutors to help them support any staff, including Research Staff, that they might routinely interact with who may be struggling with mental health or other wellbeing issues.		
ECM3	Ensure managers promote a healthy working environment that supports researchers' wellbeing and mental health.	ECM3.1 Programmed communications through 2024-26 to researchers and their PIs to ensure that they understand their responsibilities in creating a healthy working environment	No	Sep-26	Researcher Development Manager (Deputy Director Health and Wellbeing)	70% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q44.6 ("your working environment supports your mental health and wellbeing") agree or	There is a range of Health, Safety and Wellbeing policies that are in place at the		

and culture and what to do if there are issues a company agree of (CEDARS 20/2). A Sh. Survey. The company agree of the company agree o				
Bureau type resources) that all employees can access if they require	and culture and what to do if there are issues	54%). Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).	ensure safe and healthy working environment s. Workplaces are routinely inspected to ensure that these standards are being met and there is a programme of more detailed audits that look at specific Health, Safety and Wellbeing concerns. the university provides a range of support: Employee Assistance Programme structured counselling, access to Care First a 24/7 counselling provision, and an advisory service as well as a range of online resources (Citizens Advice	
			and an advisory service as well as a range of online resources (Citizens Advice Bureau type resources) that all employees can access if they require	

		ECM3.2 Take a national lead in developing & disseminating protocols for ensuring the wellbeing of Research Staff conducting research with the potential for secondary trauma	No	Jul-25	Enhancing Research Culture Fund Project Principal Investigator (Tina Skinner) and Research Culture Manager	Regional Researcher Wellbeing network established to expand UoB project across GW4. Deliver national and international talks and papers to share our findings and protocols.			
ECM4	Ensure managers consider fully flexible working requests and other appropriate arrangements to support researchers.	No new action has been identified.					See flexible working policy. All members of staff can request adjustments to their contracted hours / working arrangement s under these policies.		
ECR3	Ensure researchers take positive action towards maintaining their wellbeing and mental health.	ECR3.1 Develop a communications campaign to promote the University's Workplace Wellbeing Wheel which supports researchers to reflect on different aspects of their work and what is working well or what would make a positive change where it is not.	No	Nov-24	Researcher Development Manager (Research Culture Manager, Staff Wellbeing Manager, Deputy Director Health and Wellbeing)	70% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q45.5 ("they are encouraged to take positive action to maintain their mental health") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023:58%, 2021:79%). Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).	We have developed a range of toolkits (Wellbeing Wheel, individual Wellbeing Action Plan) that individuals can use to explore their own wellbeing and to identify actions so that they can take positive action to maintain their mental health. These are backed up by counselling and Employee Assistance		

	and harassment of these obligations are to	eliminate bullying and harassme	ent in the resea	arch system,	, tackled through	progressive policies an	Programme provision that is available to all staff (see ECM 3). The University has networks of Wellbeing Champions, coaches and mentors as well as staff led groups to support people with individual issues (Men's health, childless not by choice, menopause groups).		
ECI3	Promote a healthy working environment through effective policies and practice for tackling discrimination, bullying and harassment, including providing appropriate support for those reporting issues.	ECI.3.1 Increase awareness of the University Support and Report tool through targeted communications. This tool is a "one stop" reporting process to resolve dignity and respect incidents targeted at tackling discrimination, bullying and harassment.	No	Sep-24	Deputy Director of HR	80% of Research Staff and Other Academic Staff (including Research Staff Managers) answering CEDARS Q47.3 and Q48.3 "I trust that my institution will investigate any reported incident of discrimination/bullyin g and harassment fairly and take appropriate action" agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: Research Staff = 62% for both questions, Other Academic Staff =62% and 58% respectively).	Survey response rate for this question in 2023: 27% Research Staff (n=71); 25% Other Academic Staff (n=189).		

ECM3	Ensure managers encourage reporting and addressing incidents of discrimination, bullying and harassment.	ECM-3.1 Ensure that all Research Staff Managers, including Principal Investigators (PIs), have completed the University's BeTheChange Tackling Harassment staff training module and that Research Staff Managers are aware of Bath's #NeverOK campaign and Support and Report tool which supports reporting (anonymously or otherwise) of these incidents.	No	Jul-24 Jul-25 Jul-26	Heads of Department with support from the Deputy Director HR	There is an increase in reports via our Support and Report tool involving staff from our 21-23 baseline. Increase in reporting will demonstrate growing awareness and trust in the system.	The % of cases resolved also continues to increase from 12% in 21/22 and 56% in 22/23. We meet our commitment to the reporting of these incidents to 100% of cases.		
ECR4	Ensure researchers use available mechanisms to report staff who fail to meet the expected standards of behaviour in relation to discrimination, harassment and bullying.	ECR-4.1 Ensure that all researchers have completed the University's "BeTheChange Tackling Harassment" staff training module.	No	Jul-24	Heads of Department with support from the Deputy Director HR	70% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q47.1 and Q48.1 ("I am familiar with my institution's mechanisms to report incidents of discrimination/bullyin g and harassment") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 56% respectively) 80% RS have completed the training module.	Survey response rate for these two questions were in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=71).		
	, diversity and inclusion								
The aims inclusion.		ensure managers and research	ers are trained	in-, aware o	of- and adopt pra		ty, diversity and		
ECI4 / ECM1	Ensure managers undertake relevant training and development opportunities related to equality, diversity and inclusion, and put this into practice in their work.	ECI4.1 Ensure all Research Staff Managers (alongside ALL staff) complete mandated EDI training, and where applicable refresher training has been undertaken	No	Apr-24 Nov-24 Apr-25 Nov-25 Apr-26	Heads of Department (with Dept Athena SWAN leads)	80% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q40.4 have undertaken training in Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (CEDARS 2023: 72%) 80% Managers have completed initial and refresher EDI training (HR reporting).	Survey response rate for this question in 2023: 42% Research Staff Managers (n=85).		

		ECI4.2 Included in ECI1.2, when scoping induction activity include, feasibility of Research Staff Managers receiving an induction to postdoctoral management and career support, which includes EDI expectations.	No	Jul-24	Chair Research Staff Working Group	80% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q40.4 have undertaken training in Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (CEDARS 2023: 72%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023: 42% Research Staff Managers (n=85).		
		ECR2.1 Create clear signposting to relevant guidance on the 'Research Policy and Governance' webpages within the Researcher Development Hub (see PCDR1).	Yes	Feb-24	Researcher Development Manager	60% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q49.1 ("I am familiar with my institution's mechanisms to report incidents of research misconduct") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 49%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70).		
ECR2	Ensure researchers act in accordance with employer and funder policies related to equality, diversity and inclusion.	ECR2.2 Provide specific communication for researchers on EDI champions and matters through Research Staff News and signposting support for staff at Induction (See related action: ECI1.2)	No	Annual plan by: Jul-24 Jul-25 Jul-26	Researcher Development Manager (with Research Staff Working Group)	Over 90% of staff targeted have opened up the newsletter circulation per fortnight. At least one article or activity per quarter is focused on an aspect of EDI in the context of research, in Research Staff News.	The University of Bath, our employer policies and guidelines usually exceed funder requirement s. We value, promote and celebrate inclusion through a variety of means including the Athena SWAN framework where all 16 academic departments at Bath now hold an award and we hold an institutional silver award; a Race Equality Taskforce working towards a		

				Race Equality Charter Bronze award before July 2026. The University has inclusive leadership training and guidance that compliment a range of policies and a new simplified Equality Impact Assessment (EIA) process. The EIA process aims to help leaders navigate the evolving EDI landscape by ensuring that policies, procedures, practices, and organisation al change projects do what they are intended to do and are inclusive		
				are inclusive for staff, students, and visitors.		
Research Integrity		l l	I I			
The aims of these obligations are able to report infringements o	to ensure managers and researcher misconduct.	ers are trained in-, aware	or- and maintain high stand	ards of research integrity and		

ECI5 / ECM2	Ensure researchers and their managers are aware of, and act in accordance with, the highest standards of research integrity and professional conduct.	ECI5/ECM2.1 Introduce bitesize workshops on research ethics and integrity for Research Staff as part of the Researcher Development Programme 2025 and evaluate the impact.	Yes	Jul-25	Head of Research Governance and Compliance	80% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q49.2 ("I would feel comfortable reporting any incidents of research misconduct") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 63%) 20 RS attend pilot as part of the Researcher Development Programme in 2024/5 and feedback demonstrates increased awareness.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70).		
ECM3	Ensure managers report and address incidents of poor research integrity.	No new action has been identified.					Research Integrity and Ethics have a dedicated webpage offering guidance. Every Department has a Department al Research Ethics Officer with specific responsibilit y specific responsibilit y for the managemen t of ethical issues raised by research work of the Department/ School. Research Ethics Open House Programme is available every 1st		

		_		•	_		
					Wednesday		
					of each		
					or each		
					month, this		
					is recorded		
					and made		
					and made		
					available to		
					all staff.		
					Research		
					Research		
					Ethics and		
					Integrity		
					included on		
					included on		
					а		
					compulsory		
					and delegate		
					module on		
					the Bath		
					Probation		
					Course		
					Course.		
			1				
1			1		The		
1			1		lave etter the		
1			1		<u>Investigation</u>		
					of Research		
1			1		Misconduct		
					Miscoriadet		
					Process is		
					published on		
					the		
					uie		
					University of		
					Bath		
					Dati i		
					website.		
					Allegations are reviewed		
					are reviewed		
					by Dra VC		
					by Pio VC		
					by Pro VC (Research).		
					New ethics		
					online		
					<u>online</u>		
					review		
					system,		
					internal const		
1			1		introduced		
1					April 2023, allows for		
1					allows for		
			1		compliance		
1			1		compliance		
			1		checks on		
1					research		
1			1		haira		
	Ensure researchers act		1		being		
1	in accordance with	No now action has been	1		carried out		
ECR2	employer and funder	No new action has been	1		at, or in		
20112	policies reletadas	identified.	1		northoralsia		
	policies related to		1		partnership		
1	research integrity.		1		with,		
1	1		1		University of		
1			1		Bath to		
1			1		שמנוו נט		
1			1		ensure that		
			1		our ethical		
1			1		standards		
			1		statiuatus		
			1		and		
			1		principles		
1			1		are being		
			1		are being		
1			1		upheld. Central		
1					Central		
	i	1		 1		i .	

						reporting allows for		
						allows for		
						the		
						identification		
						- (
						of non-		
						compliance.		
						As		
						signatories		
						to the		
						Concordat to		
						Concordat to		
						Support		
						Research		
						Integrity, we		
						have		
						responded		
						to the		
						commitment		
						commitment		
1						s through a		
						workplan,		
						against		
						against which we		
						continue to		
						deliver.		
						deliver.		
						There is a		
						mandatory		
						Research		
						Integrity		
						online		
						course to		
						train all		
						train an		
						Research		
						Staff and		
						Research		
						Staff		
	l					Managers		
	Ensure researchers use					covering		
	available mechanisms					ethical		
	to report staff who fail	No now action has been]			rovious		
ECR4	to meet the expected	No new action has been]			reviews,		
1	standards of behaviour	identified.]			plagiarism,		
1	in relation to research					authorship,		
1	misconduct.					collaborative		
1	misconduct.]			research,		
1]			data		
1						managemen		
1]			t, peer		
1]					
1]			review, and		
1						publication		
1]			ethics. Heads of		
1						Heads of		
1]			Department		
1]			receive		
1						completion		
1						reports and the data is		
	1	1				reports and		
			l l	J	I	the state of		I

							reviewed by		
							the RCSG.		
							In addition to the process		
							for reporting		
							research misconduct		
							there is a		
							confidential and		
							anonymous		
							Whistleblowing Process,		
							where		
							complaints are dealt		
							with by the Director of		
							Finance.		
Policy d	evelopment								
The aims		encourage all researchers to act	tively contribut	e to the dev	elopment of poli	cies driving positive cha	nge at their		
							In 2023, Research		
							Staff and		
							Research Staff		
							Managers		
	Consider researchers						are included in decision		
	and their managers as key stakeholders within	EIZ 4 Ingress Decemb				Representation of	making fora		
EI7	the institution and provide them with	EI7.1 Increase Research Staff representation at	No	Mar-25	Heads of	Research Staff on	where they can make		
E1/	formal opportunities to	Departmental Research Committees	No	Mai-25	Department	all Departmental Research	active contributions		
	engage with relevant organisational policy	Committees				Committees.	to policy and		
	and decision-making.						practice. These		
							include		
							RCSG, RSWG, and		
							the University		
							RKEC.		
	Encourage managers to engage with								
	opportunities to								
ECM5	contribute to policy development aimed at	No new action has been identified.							
	creating a more positive research environment	iuentineu.							
	and culture within their								
	institution.								

EM5	Managers engage with opportunities to contribute to relevant policy development within their institution.	No new action has been identified.						
		ECR5.1 Host Research Culture Open Sessions at least once annually to encourage researchers to consider opportunities to engage with and help share our research culture strategy	No	Oct-24 Oct-25	Research Culture Manager	At least 50 staff in year one, rising to 100 attendees over time. Increased engagement with RSWG, RKEC, Faculty/School RKECs.		
	Encourage researchers to consider	ECR5.2 Establish a research culture online community of practice using SharePoint and regular events to ensure individuals are aware of, and feel they have access to, decision making that relate to research culture.	No	Jan-25	Research Culture Manager	Increase membership from 56 to 100. Survey Community of Practice annually to explore how empowered individuals feel to effect change.		
ECR5	opportunities to contribute to policy development aimed at creating a more positive research environment and culture within their institution.	ECR5.3 Establish a research culture online 'suggestions box' which provides an opportunity for researchers at any career stage to share insight, experiences on research culture, and make suggestions for how the university might improve. Complement this with EM5.2	No	Mar-24	Research Culture Manager	10% year on year increase in engagement with the suggestions box until steady state at year 4. Suggestions can be linked to direct action, and responses can be communicated in a 'you said, we did' blog annually.		
		ECR5.4 Recruit a new Chair and members to ensure continuity in representation of Research Staff in the RSWG; update terms of reference to include joined up approach to research culture and length of appointment/service.	No	Feb-24	Chair Research Staff Working Group (with Researcher Development Manager)	Membership of RSWG is maintained through the period of the Action Plan 2023-26 with staff turnover being managed such that a member is replaced within 3 months of leaving/service completed.		

ER4	Researchers recognise and act on their role as key stakeholders within their institution and the	ER41. Research Staff and Research Staff Managers are included in decision making fora where they can make active contributions to policy and practice. These include RCSG, RSWG, and the University RKEC.	No	Annual review Nov 2024 Nov 2025	Chair Research Staff Working Group (with Researcher Development Manager)	Membership of the committees to be reported annually to RKEC in the Research Development Report, to ensure that engagement is high and valued by Research Staff and Research Staff Managers.			
	wider academic community.	ER4.2 All departments (where there is sufficient Research Staff) or groups of departments have a Research Staff Network that includes 1 annual Research Staff welcome event + >1 social/networking event per year; Research Staff from these networks are represented in the RSWG.	Yes	Annual review Nov 2024 Nov 2025	Chair Research Staff Working Group (with Researcher Development Manager)	RSWG reporting on events in the annual progress review exceeds the target activity and the representation on RSWG maintained or increased.			
Employ	yment								
Recruitm	nent and induction								
The aims organisat		ensure recruitment of researche	rs is open and	fair and res	earchers receive	e effective inductions int	o the		
EI1	Ensure open, transparent and merit- based recruitment, which attracts excellent researchers, using fair and inclusive selection and appointment practices.	No new action has been identified.					Every recruitment panel is chaired by a member of staff who has completed our essential training in recruitment practice. As a Disability Confident Leader (level 3) we are committed to building disability confidence and supporting disabled staff at		

							recruitment and throughout their employment. Adopting an anonymous shortlisting to remove bias from our process.		
EI2	Provide an effective induction, ensuring that researchers are integrated into the community and are aware of policies and practices relevant to their position.	EI2.1 RSWG-led project to improve the quality of the induction experience for Research Staff at institutional and department/faculty levels, ensuring a joined-up approach.	No	Plan develop ed by Jul-24, impleme nt by Nov-25, evaluate by Jun- 26	Chair Research Staff Working Group	At least 60% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q22.1-22.2 ("when you started with your current employer how useful did you find your induction - at institutional level - at departmental/ faculty/unit level") find it useful or very useful (CEDARS 2023: Q22.1 29%; Q22.2 46%) Qualitative data from focus groups in 2025 indicates that RS have had an effective induction and feel informed about the opportunities available.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:21% Research Staff (n=55)		
Recognit	tion, reward and promotic	on							
The aims	The aims of these obligations are to ensure the fair and inclusive recognition of researchers as part of their career progression.								

El3	Provide clear and transparent merit-based recognition, reward and promotion pathways that recognise the full range of researchers' contributions and the diversity of personal circumstances.	EI3.1 RSWG-led project to improve the transparency and clarity of promotion at institutional and department/faculty levels (communication, funding mechanisms, use of narrative CV to be considered) and improved management communications.	No	Plan develop ed by Jul-24, impleme nt by Oct-24, evaluate by Jun- 25	Chair Research Staff Working Group, Deputy Director Workforce Development and Chair of USAT	Institutional Question: over 50% of Research Staff answer "yes" to CEDARS Q62 ("aware that the University has a promotion process for research staff") (CEDARS 2023: 37% responded "yes") Qualitative data to be collected via RSWG feedback demonstrating an improvement to the quality of management communication.	Institutional question in CEDARS 25 to be continued. Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=71).		
EM3	Managers commit to, and evidence, the inclusive, equitable and transparent recruitment, promotion and reward of researchers.	See El3.1 (above)			DDHR Deputy Director Workforce Development	At least 95% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q30.6 ("use inclusive, equitable and transparent recruitment processes") are fully confident or confident (CEDARS 2023: 95%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023: 41% Research Staff Managers (n=83)		
Respons	sibilities and reporting			I	l				
The aims	of these obligations are to	ensure that researchers and the	eir managers u	nderstand a	nd act on their o	bligations and responsib	pilities.		
EM2	Managers familiarise themselves, and work in accordance with, relevant employment legislation and codes of practice, institutional policies, and the terms and conditions of grant funding.	No new action has been identified.					All line managers are encouraged to attend Staff Developmen t and Performance Reviewer training via a virtual workshop.		

ER1	Researchers ensure that they work in accordance with, institutional policies, procedures and employment legislation, as well as the requirements of their funder.	No new action has been identified.		Bribery, Diversity in the Workplace, Unconscious Bias, Recruitment Training Panel & Chair, Fire Safety Awareness, Information Security Awareness, Cyber Security Awareness, Concordat to Support Research Integrity, Anti-money Laundering, Marshalls Safeguardin g in HE, Be The Change, Pastoral Support and Safeguardin g Training for staff in Professional and Technical Services are all mandatory training for		
ER1	that they work in accordance with, institutional policies, procedures and employment legislation, as well as the	No new action has been identified.		Research Integrity, Anti-money Laundering, Marshalls Safeguardin g in HE, Be The Change, Pastoral Support and Safeguardin g Training for staff in Professional and Technical Services are		
				mandatory training for either all staff or for those in research roles which require specialist training. Line managers receive monthly reports to identify who has completed training and		

					who needs a refresher level training, to enable compliance.		
ER2	Researchers understand their reporting obligations and responsibilities.	No new action has been identified.			All staff involved in financial transactions are required to complete Agresso training. Researchers are also offered training and access to the research project costing tool. Researchers are encouraged and supported to participate in ResearchFis h and other exercises required by their funders. Bath also has a post- award project managemen t team well versed in supporting Pls and research		

	nanagement s of these obligations are to	ensure that researchers are wel	l-managed and	d have effec	tive and timely p	erformance reviews.	staff to comply with funder requirement s.		
E14	Provide effective line and project management training opportunities for managers of researchers, heads of department and equivalent.	No new action has been identified.					Managers have access to a suit of training activities: People Managemen t Curriculum Series, FutureLearn Campus and the Developmen t Toolkit (including managing hybrid teams, feedback skills, confident conversation s, coaching skills for managers, managing people, SDPR training). New modules potentially to be developed in Facilitation Skills for Managers, Embracing EDI Practice in Managemen		

						t and Leadership and Climate Action for Managers. The Academic Talent Initiative will deliver new leadership interventions , piloted with select cohorts, looking at opportunities to support our academic leadership community to develop new skills to equip them to lead a supportive performance and delivery culture. This will include an evaluation of the approach and wider promotion of the People Managemen t Curriculum.		
EI5	Ensure that excellent people management is championed throughout the organisation and embedded in institutional culture, through annual appraisals, transparent promotion criteria, and workload allocation.	EI5.1 A programme of communications from senior research leaders to Pls / Research Staff Managers where they champion excellent people management and its impact on research delivery is developed. Evaluate the impact through Pl survey, develop an annual plan for 2024-2025 and refine into 2025-26.	No	Plan complet ed by Jul-24. Delivery complet ed by May-25. Review by Jul- 25.	At least 50% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q31.1-3 ("have undertaken conducting appraisals, managing staff performance, leading a research group") have completed training (CEDARS 2023: 54%,35%, 36% respectively)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:42% Research Staff Managers (n=85).		

		See El3.1 (above)	Chair Research Staff Working Group	Institutional Question: over 50% of Research Staff answer "yes" to CEDARS Q62 ("aware that the University has a promotion process for research staff") (CEDARS 2023: 37% responded "yes")	Institutional question in CEDARS 25 to be continued. Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=71).		
EM1 releva develo opport they c resear	gers undertake ant training and opment tunities so that can manage rochers effectively ulfil their duty of	No new action has been identified.			Through the delivery of the Academic Talent Initiative, a number of pilot departments (6/7 across the University, covering all academic staff in these departments) will be looking at opportunities to enhance performance and development practices to support the development of a culture where people are given the opportunity to fulfil their potential. As part of the ongoing evaluation of the work, academic leaders from these departments will be given a forum to share		

				lessons learned and best practice across the wider research community to evolve new approaches that can be applied elsewhere.		
EM4	Managers actively engage in regular constructive performance management with their researchers.	No new action has been identified.		Through the delivery of the Academic Talent Initiative there will an exploration of opportunities to enhance practices around SDPR/caree r conversation s (in collaboration with pilot departments), both in terms of quality to meet the desired outcomes, and quantity, in terms of assurance that these practices are taking place. Lessons learned will be shared across the wider research community.		

ER3	Researchers positively engage with performance management discussions and reviews with their managers.	EM4.1 HoDs review information on SDPR/Career Conversations processes in their Faculty / School annually and provide feedback to Pls and Research Staff Managers where they have not engaged their staff actively and positively.	No	Sept-24 Sept-25	Heads of Department (with Research Managers)	60% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q30.1-4. "how confident you are in your ability to manage appraisal/review processes effectively; provide effective feedback to individual staff; deal with poor performance; acknowledge good performance" are confident or fully confident (CEDARS 2023: 88%, 92%, 41%, 98% respectively).	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:42% Research Staff Managers (n=85).		
Job secu	ırity								
The aim	of this obligation is to impro	ve the job security of researcher	s.			,			
EI6	Seek to improve job security for researchers, for example through more effective redeployment processes and greater use of open-ended contracts, and report on progress.	El6.1 Continue with the pilot project to move a selection of researchers onto openended contracts despite being on grant income, thereby breaking the connection between a particular piece of funding and a job.	Yes	Jul-25	Deputy Director Workforce Development	Scale up from one to three research groups. Six monthly quantitate and qualitative data collection to evaluate the impact of the project, as a co-creation process with the research groups. Second evaluation report to UEB March 2024.	Baseline September 2023: 13 research staff of the 19 research grant funded staff have been moved to open- ended contracts. Anti- casualisatio n agreement with UCU in place since March 2023 with the aim of reducing job insecurity. One of the strands of the work is		

Champio	sional and Career De	-	essional develo	opment and	ensure research	ers have the time to eng	to improve the redeployme nt process. This work is progressing with ongoing interactions with UCU.		
	Provide opportunities, structured support, encouragement, and time for researchers to engage in a minimum of 10 days professional	PCDI1.1 Deliver "Reimagining the Postdoctoral Experience" project: scope and develop a new Postdoc Academy for ECRs to facilitate greater focus on the minimum of 10 days CPD and to create a greater sense of belonging to a postdoc community. This project will include exploration of the needs of Pls to manage and support ECRs with their development.	No	Apr-24	Associate Pro VC Research	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%) From 2024/25 the postdoc research environment focus group reports increased awareness of the Concordat and 10 days CPD.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		
PCDI1	development pro rata per year, recognising that researchers will pursue careers across a wide range of employment sectors.	PCDI1.2 Creating guidance and case studies on 'What does professional development look like for Research Staff' and 'How can this benefit me as a researcher and/or manager".	Yes	Nov-24	Researcher Development Manager	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%) Qualitative data to be gathered from Pls after Careers Development Workshops that report new guidance has been helpful to understand how RS can use the 10 days.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		

		PCDI1.3 Promote institutional support for the engagement in a minimum of 10 days professional development for Research Staff by updating the Code of Practice for Employment of Research Staff to include specific language about expectations for engagement with 10 days professional development	Yes		Deputy Director HR	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		
		PCDI1.4 Update annual appraisal forms to include questions about using the 10 days professional development or alternative system.	Yes		Deputy Director HR	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		
PCDI6	Monitor, and report on, the engagement of researchers and their managers with professional development activities.	PCDI6.1 Annual Researcher Development Report (Concordat and Action Plan progress report) to be reviewed at RKEC and published.	Yes	Nov-24 Nov-25	Researcher Development Manager	Report 2024 and 2025 produced for RKEC annually in November and published on RD Hub webpage.			
PCDM 3	Managers allocate a minimum of 10 days pro rata per year, for their researchers to engage with professional development, supporting researchers to balance the delivery of their research and their own professional development.	PCDM3.1 Improve engagement by strengthening the role of the DRSCs, through induction, expectation setting, reporting and coordination (include promotion of the "First Steps into Teaching" online module in communications regarding opportunities).	No	Apr-24	Associate Pro VC Research	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%). Biannual 1-1s with all DRSCs show active promotion of "ten days" for professional development and communication with Pls.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		

	Researchers take	PCDR1.1 Ensure that potential researchers are aware of the minimum of 10 days CPD through recruitment adverts and in Research Staff Managers job descriptions	No	Mar-24	Head of Talent Acquisition	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		
PCDR1	ownership of their career, identifying opportunities to work towards career goals, including engaging in a minimum of 10 days professional development pro rata per year.	PCDR1.2 Ensure that new researchers are aware of the minimum of 10 days CPD in joining information, benefits and offer email via Stonefish.	No	May-24	Head of Talent Acquisition	At least 20% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q42 ("10 days or more spent on training and CPD activities") (CEDARS 2023: 8%, CEDARS 2022: 11%) Induction survey, run biannually, confirms 90% of Research Staff are aware of the 10days allocation for CPD and intend to use them.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		
Career d	evelopment reviews								
The aims	of these obligations are to	ensure researchers and their ma	anagers are er	ngaging in p	roductive career	development reviews.			
PCDI2	Provide training, structured support, and time for managers to engage in meaningful career development reviews with their researchers.	PCDI2.1 Establish annual three-month campaign, within the Enhancing Research Culture Fund - Project "Changing Expectations: Transforming Careers", to target Research Staff Managers to support and promote career development reviews.	No	Jul-25	Researcher Development Manager	At least 35% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q36 ("have a formal career development review with manager") respond yes (CEDARS 2023: 25%, CEDARS 2022: 25%) Qualitative data from Pls attending show increased confidence in holding a career conversation with RS. Poll with corresponding RS to ensure consistency and they feel their discussions were meaningful.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70). Pilot initially in July 2024 within PCDI3.1 Project.		

PCDI6	Monitor, and report on, the engagement of researchers and their managers with researcher career development reviews.	PCDI6.1 Annual Researcher Development Report (Concordat and Action Plan progress report) to be reviewed at RKEC and published.	Yes	Nov-24 Nov-25	Researcher Development Manager	Report 2024 and 2025 published on RD Hub webpage.			
PCDM 1	Managers engage in regular career development discussions with their researchers, including holding a career development review at least annually.	See PCDI2.1 (above)			Researcher Development Manager	At least 35% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q36 ("have a formal career development review with manager") respond yes (CEDARS 2023: 25%, CEDARS 2022: 25%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70). Pilot initially in July 2024 within PCDI3.1 Project.		
PCDR4	Researchers positively engage in career development reviews with their managers.	See PCDI2.1 (above)			Researcher Development Manager	At least 35% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q36 ("have a formal career development review with manager") respond yes (CEDARS 2023: 25%, CEDARS 2022: 25%)	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70). Pilot initially in July 2024 within PCDI3.1 Project.		
Career d	evelopment support and	planning					,		
	of these obligations are to nal experience.	promote researchers' career dev	velopment plar	nning throug	h tailored suppo	rt and gathering evidend	ce of		
PCDI3	Ensure that researchers have access to professional advice on career management, across a breadth of careers.	PCDI3.1 Deliver the Enhancing Research Culture Fund - Project "Changing Expectations: Transforming Careers" to maximise the benefits of the Research England funded "Prosper" resource (University of Liverpool). Materials to be delivered in 2024-25.	No	Pilot May-25 Review May-26	Researcher Development Manager	At least 40% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q35.4 ("extent you agree you have discussed your career options with a careers specialist") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023:29%, CEDARS 2022: 26%). At least 60% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q54.4 ("Research staff have knowledge of the range of future career opportunities available to them")	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=69).		

						agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023:43%) 40 people to have attended the retreats and workshops. Qualitative data from retreats and workshops indicates that there was a positive impact.	Destina		
		PCDI3.2 Increase the number of departments using Complete First Steps into Teaching online module for PGRs and PDRAs who teach and promote this in all departments as recommended training for Research Staff who teach at the university	Yes	Aug-24	DRSCs, Academic Staff Development Manager, Researcher Development Manager	Over 70% of departments using Complete First Steps into Teaching online module for PGRs and PDRAs who teach.	Baseline: HoD survey 2022: 50% departments have used this resource as required training for Research Staff before they teach; 20% increase in AFHEA applications from PDRAs in 2022.		
PCDR3	Researchers maintain an up-to-date professional career development plan and build a portfolio of evidence demonstrating their experience, that can be used to support job applications.	PCDR3.1 The Enhancing Research Culture Fund - Project "Changing Expectations: Transforming Careers (See PCDI3.1) will include a three-month campaign to support Pls with career development reviews.	No	Pilot May-24 Review & deliver annually May-25 May-26	Researcher Development Manager	At least 60% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q35.5 ("extent you agree you have a clear career development plan") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 40%, CEDARS 2022: 26%) Qualitative data from Pls attending show increased confidence in holding a career conversation with RS. Poll corresponding RS to ensure consistency.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70).		
Research	n identity and leadership								
The aims of these obligations are to provide researchers with opportunity to progress in their careers by developing their research identity and leadership capabilities.									

i	1	1	Ĩ	i	i	1	i	1	
PCDI4	Provide researchers with opportunities, and time, to develop their	PCDI4.1 Pilot new leadership skills development "Lead Programme 2024".	No	Jul-24	Researcher Development Manager	At least 25% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q33.4 ("have undertaken training or CPD in leadership") state they "have done this" (CEDARS 2023: 19%) Qualitative data to be collected before and after the programme to explore impact.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:25% Research Staff (n=67).		
r cbi4	PCDI4 time, to develop their research identity and broader leadership skills.	PCDI4.2 Create clearer guidance for the eligibility of postdoctoral researchers to become Co-Investigators on grants, where the funders allow this.	Yes	Mar-24	Head of Pre- Award (with Research Staff Working Group)	At least 25% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q33.4 ("have undertaken training or CPD in leadership") state they "have done this" (CEDARS 2023: 19%) Qualitative data from RSWG focus group discussion about the guidance and communication being clearer and having improved.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:25% Research Staff (n=67).		
PCDM 4	Managers identify opportunities and allow time (in addition to the 10 days professional development allowance), for their researchers to develop their research identity and broader leadership skills, and provide appropriate credit and recognition for their endeavours.	PCDM4.1 As part of ECI1.2 (RSWG) project to improve induction experience of Research Staff) to review PI induction so that they are aware of the 10 days professional development for Research Staff.	Yes	Jul-24	Chair Research Staff Working Group (with Researcher Development Manager, Deputy Director Workforce Development)	At least 74% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q33.4 ("my immediate manager supports me to develop my research identity") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 74%) From 2024/25 the postdoc research environment focus group reports increased awareness of the	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70).		

						Concordat and 10 days CPD.			
		PCDM4.2 As part of annual Postdoc Appreciation Week establish an engagement activity where Research Staff Managers can nominate Research Staff and give public recognition for their contributions.	No	Sept-24 Sept-25	Researcher Development Manager	At least 60% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q44.1 ("I am appropriately recognised for my contributions to my institution") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 42%) At least 20 staff nominate a postdoc for recognition in Postdoc Appreciation Week 2024 and 2025.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:27% Research Staff (n=72).		
		PCDM4.3 Institutional question will be added to future CEDARS to capture data on whether Research Staff Managers are aware of updated guidance on postdoctoral researchers Co-I eligibility on grants (linked to PCDI4.2).	Yes	Jun-26	Researcher Development Manager	CEDARS 2026: 60% Research Staff Managers are aware of updated guidance and process of supporting their Research Staff to apply.	Baseline data to be established in CEDARS 2025.		
PCDM 5	Managers engage in leadership and management training to enhance their personal effectiveness, and to promote a positive attitude to professional development.	PCDM5.1 Offer Research Staff Managers 1-1 coaching in focused campaign to develop their confidence to deal with poor performance.	No	Dec-24	Researcher Development Manager	At least 60% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q30.3 ("confidence in your ability to deal with poor performance") are confident or fully confident (CEDARS 2023: 41%) Qualitative data from those attending the coaching sessions indicates increased confidence.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:42% Research Staff Managers (n=85).		
		PCDM5.2 Explore opportunities for specific Research Staff Managers leadership development using resources of the UKRI Future Leaders Fellowships	No	Apr-24	Researcher Development Manager	At least 60% of Research Staff Managers answering CEDARS Q30.3 ("confidence in your ability to deal with poor	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:42% Research Staff		

		Development Network's]			performance") are	Managers		
		Leaders in Learning Legacy.				confident or fully confident (CEDARS 2023: 41%)	(n=85).		
	Researchers to seek	PCDR5.1 Research Staff are offered opportunities to develop research identity through access to seed funding through British Academy and GW4 funding initiatives.	No	Sep-25	Researcher Development Manager	At least 50% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q35.7/35.8 ("You have time to develop your research identity/leadership skills") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 51%/41% respectively). Qualitative fdata from GW4 participants indicates that they have developed a stronger research identity.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70).		
PCDR5	out, and engage with, opportunities to develop their research identity and broader leadership skills	PCDR5.2 Build on the pilot 2023 "Fellowship Academy" to deliver a more flexible programme, tailored to the varying needs of different researcher career stages.	No	Jan-24	Head of Research Development (with Strategic Research Development Managers and Research Development Managers)	At least 50% of Research Staff answering CEDARS Q35.7/35.8 ("You have time to develop your research identity/leadership skills") agree or strongly agree (CEDARS 2023: 51%/41% respectively) Qualitative data from the pilot LEAD Programme 2024 indicates that participants have developed their leaderships skills. All participants remain committed to and attend the 6 month programme.	Survey response rate for this question in 2023:26% Research Staff (n=70).		
Diverse c	Diverse careers								
	The aims of these obligations are to recognise, value and prepare researchers for the wide range of career options available to them within and beyond research.								

PCDI5	Recognise that moving between, and working across, employment sectors can bring benefits to research and researchers, and support opportunities for researchers to experience this.	PCDI5.1 Deliver Alumni project to engage post-doctoral alumni (in careers outside academia) to share experience and provide bitesize mentoring for current postdoctoral researchers. Run postdoctoral specific sessions through the "Get Connected" Programme.	No	Jul-25	Associate Director of Supporter Engagement	At least 20% of Research Staff have engaged with the mentoring and careers beyond academia workshops. Qualitative evaluation of workshops demonstrates increased awareness of careers options.	Institutional question will be added to future CEDARS to capture data on engagement with career options beyond research.		
PCDM 2	PCDM 2 Managers support researchers in exploring and preparing for a diversity of careers, for example, through the use of mentors and careers professionals, training, and secondments.	PCDM2.1 Deliver "Community Coaching" to HSS Faculty researchers and share good practice across other Faculties/School.	No	Apr-24	Associate Dean Research (with Research Manager) in Humanities and Social Sciences	At least 25% of Research Staff in HSS have engaged in Community Coaching and report a positive change through participation.	New initiative in 2023 so no baseline data.		
2		PCDM2.2 Strengthen the role of <u>DRSCs</u> to provide mentors for Research Staff, ensure there is representation across all departments, with specific terms of reference.	No	Jan-24	Associate Pro VC Research, Chair Research Staff Working Group	DRSCs (or equivalent role for small departments) established across all Departments.	Baseline data at Sept 2023: 5 DRSCs active in the role.		
PCDR2	Researchers explore and prepare for a range of employment options across different sectors, such as by making use of mentors, careers professionals, training and secondments.	PCDR2.1 Deliver focused opportunities for 1-1 coaching on careers outside academia with careers professionals in Postdoc Appreciation Weeks and Research Culture Weeks.	No	Jun-24 Sept-24 Jun-25 Sept-25 Jun-26	Head of Careers Service	Engagement with 50 members of Research Staff through these two events.			
		PCDR2.2 Build content with a focus on careers outside academia as a partner in "23 Things International".	No	Jun-24 Sept-24 Jun-25 Sept-25	Research Development Manager (School of Management)	Three new blog posts established. Participation of 30 members of Research Staff in the programme.			
PCDR6	Researchers consider opportunities to develop their awareness and experience of the wider research system through, for example, knowledge exchange, policy development, public engagement and commercialisation.	PCDR6.1 Deliver specific events in Postdoc Appreciation Week and Research Culture Week focused on Consultancy, Citizen Science, Public Engagement for Research Staff	No	Jun-24 Sept-24 Jun-25 Sept-25	Researcher Development Manager	Increase engagement to 70 members of Research Staff per annum.	Baseline data at Sept 2023: Postdoc Appreciation Week events attended by 55 Research Staff.		

Further hyperlinks and supplementary information							
1	The University of Bath Strategy 2021 to 2026						
2	Athena SWAN (bath.ac.uk)						
3	Vision for Research Staff (bath.ac.uk)						
4	Research culture (bath.ac.uk)						
5	Research Staff Working Group (bath.ac.uk)						
6	Staff wellbeing (bath.ac.uk)						
7	Equality, Diversity and Inclusion (bath.ac.uk)						
8	Research integrity and ethics (bath.ac.uk)						
9	Harassment is Never OK (bath.ac.uk)						
10	The University's Resolution Framework (bath.ac.uk)						
11	Work-related stress and wellbeing policy (bath.ac.uk)						
12	Wellbeing Action Plan (bath.ac.uk)						
13	Mental health and wellbeing training (bath.ac.uk)						
14	14 Safety, Health and Employee Wellbeing (bath.ac.uk)						
15	Counselling services for staff (bath.ac.uk)						
16	Flexible working and leave policy (bath.ac.uk)						
17	Resources for supporting staff wellbeing (bath.ac.uk)						
18	Staff wellbeing champions (bath.ac.uk)						
19 Support and Report (bath.ac.uk)							
20	Be The Change and Pastoral Care & Safeguarding staff training (bath.ac.uk)						
21	Research policy and governance (bath.ac.uk)						
22	Athena SWAN Submissions (bath.ac.uk)						
23	Race Equality Taskforce (bath.ac.uk)						
24	Getting help with research integrity and ethics queries (bath.ac.uk)						
25	Research Ethics Open House (bath.ac.uk)						

Abbreviations and glossary		
ADR	Associate Dean (Research)	
AFHEA	AFHEA Associate Fellow of the Higher Education Academy	
CEDARS	Culture, Employment and Development of Academic Researchers Survey	
Co-I	Co-Investigator	
CPD	Continuing Professional Development	
CV	Curriculum Vitae	
Dept	Department	
DRSC	Departmental Research Staff Coordinator	
ECR	Early Career Researcher	
EDI	Equality, diversity and inclusion	
EIA	Equality Impact Assessment	
GW4	GW4 is an alliance of four research-intensive and innovative universities in the southwestern region of the UK: Bath, Bristol, Cardiff and Exeter	
HoD	Head of Department	
HR	Human Resources	
HSS	Humanities and Social Sciences	
KPI	Key Performance Indicator	
PI	Principal Investigator	
PDRA	Postdoctoral Research Associates	
RCSG	Research Culture Steering Group (formerly Research Culture Working Group)	
RKEC	Research and Knowledge Exchange Committee	
RSWG	Research Staff Working Group	
SDPR	Staff Development and Performance Review	
UCU	University and College Union	
UKRI	UK Research and Innovation	
USAT	University Self-Assessment Team (Athena SWAN)	

26	Allegations of misconduct in research (bath.ac.uk)
27	Ethics Review Process (bath.ac.uk)
28	Concordat to support research integrity (bath.ac.uk)
29	Research Integrity Training (bath.ac.uk)
30	Public Interest Disclosure (Whistleblowing) Policy (bath.ac.uk)
31	Disability Confident Leader status (bath.ac.uk)
32	SDPR: Conducting an effective review (bath.ac.uk)
33	Mandatory training for staff (bath.ac.uk)
34	People Management Curriculum (bath.ac.uk)
35	The Development Toolkit - an online learning and development resource for staff (bath.ac.uk)
36	Career conversations (bath.ac.uk)
37	The University of Bath and Bath UCU commit to greater employment security for staff
38	Code of practice for the employment of research staff (bath.ac.uk)
39	Departmental Research Staff Coordinators (DRSC) (bath.ac.uk)
40	Research England Enhancing Research Culture Fund for 2024 (bath.ac.uk)
41	The Bath LEAD Programme 2024
42	New University of Bath Fellowship Academy 2022-23
43	Get Connected (bath.ac.uk)