

**UNIVERSITY OF BATH
REGULATIONS FOR STUDENTS 2024/25**

Apprenticeship students should refer to the Regulations for Student Apprentices

| <u>Regulation</u> | <u>Page</u> | |
|-------------------|--|----|
| 1 | Registration | 2 |
| 2 | Fees | 3 |
| 3 | Attendance and Progress | 5 |
| 4 | Conferment of Qualifications | 7 |
| 5 | Rescinded | 8 |
| 6 | Accommodation | 9 |
| 7 | Disciplinary Regulations for Students | 10 |
| 8 | Rescinded | 17 |
| 9* | Reservation of Areas | 18 |
| 10* | Use of Facilities | 19 |
| 11* | Dogs and other Pet Animals | 22 |
| 12* | Use of Motor Vehicles on the University Site | 23 |
| 13 | Admissions Regulations for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Taught Courses | 24 |
| 14 | Admissions Regulations for Diploma and Certificate Programmes | 27 |
| 15 | Assessment of Undergraduate and Taught Postgraduate Courses | 28 |
| 16 | Doctoral and Research Awards of the University | 33 |
| 17 | Conduct of Student Academic Reviews and Appeals | 62 |
| 18* | Freedom of Expression | 71 |
| Appendix 1 | Student Complaints Policy | 75 |
| Appendix 2 | Health Wellbeing and Support for Study Policy | 79 |
| Appendix 3 | Student Appeals Policy and Procedure | 84 |
| Appendix 4 | Precautionary Measures Policy and Procedure | 90 |

All registered students of the University are subject to rules and regulations, which may be changed from time to time. These Regulations are published on the University's website. Any amendments to these Regulations approved by Senate during the academic year will take effect on the date specified by Senate. The web page will be revised to identify any such amendments. References to a named post-holder should be construed as references to that post-holder or to a nominated substitute.

Secretary to Senate

1 August 2024

** These Regulations also apply to all members of the University, as defined by Statute 2.1*

1. REGISTRATION

- 1.1** All students, both undergraduate and graduate, are required to register at the beginning of each academic year. The days on which students shall register will be announced by the University. Only those who have registered shall have the rights and privileges of students and members of the University.
- All persons entering the University as students shall, at the time of registration, sign a declaration in the following terms:
- 'I agree to conform to all the statutes, ordinances, regulations and rules of the University for the time being in force and I accept responsibility for any loss or damage to University property rightly attributable to me.'*
- 1.2** At the time of registration students shall provide full and accurate information concerning all required personal and academic details and shall state their address during the academic year as well as their permanent address, in accordance with procedures prescribed by the Director of Academic Registry. Students must comply with all subsequent requests from university staff for proof of any changes to required personal details and are required to update their contact details, normally via the communicated online process, as soon as possible when any of these details change. Students failing to do this or who can be shown to have provided false or misleading information as part of an application or subsequent registration will be subject to action.
- 1.3** Students, including those away from the University on placement, are required to access at regular intervals the email account provided to them by the University, and to ensure that it remains within its permitted capacity and able to receive mail. Students failing to do this may be subject to action under the Disciplinary Regulations for Students (Regulations 7 and 8). The account will be used by the University to communicate important information about registration, unit-enrolment, assessment, degree ceremonies and other matters. Students who have failed to access their account or who have temporarily lost access to it may not cite loss of access as a reason for failing to respond appropriately to information sent to them by the University via the account.
- 1.4** Where programmes require students to provide a satisfactory check and/or Disclosure from the Disclosure and Barring Service and such checks/Disclosures are pending at Registration, students may be permitted to register provided the application process for the relevant checks/Disclosure has been completed; should the check/Disclosure subsequently returned prove to be unsatisfactory, the University will terminate the registration and require the student to withdraw.
- 1.5** Any person who fails to complete their initial student registration in accordance with Regulation 1.1 will be deemed to have declined their offer of a place to study at the University. The University reserves the right to terminate the registration of continuing students who do not complete registration in accordance with Regulation 1.1.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

2. FEES General

- 21** The responsibility for payment of fees rests with the individual student. For tuition fee terms and conditions, students must refer to:
<https://www.bath.ac.uk/corporate-information/terms-and-conditions-for-tuition-fee-payment/>

Tuition Fees

- 22** Tuition fees must be paid by University accepted payment methods. For a list of acceptable payment methods, students must refer to <https://www.bath.ac.uk/guides/paying-your-tuition-fees/> Tuition fees must be paid for the academic year to which they relate on registration. The University may allow students to pay in instalments through available payment methods. The University may allow alternative payment arrangements on a case by case basis. Such arrangements will take account of government guidelines concerning payment of tuition fees. A period of grace may be allowed in individual cases at the discretion of the Director of Academic Registry.
- 23** Individual students are ultimately personally liable for tuition fees unpaid by sponsors (including Local Education Authorities and Research Councils). Students may be required to provide further information about who has paid for their tuition fees if the payment has not been made by the student themselves or their University approved sponsor as required by Anti Money Laundering regulations. To understand the University's approach to Anti Money Laundering, students must refer to the Anti Money Laundering policy <https://www.bath.ac.uk/publications/anti-money-laundering-policy/>.
- 24** If any student does not pay the annual tuition fee on registration, or by such time as may have been agreed either under instalment or other alternative University arrangements, or under any period of grace allowed by the Director of Academic Registry, then after due warning and unless the Director of Academic Registry determines otherwise, their registration will lapse and they will be required to withdraw from the University. A person who ceases in this way to be a student of the University may make representations to the Vice-Chancellor, who may exercise Statutory power to re-admit the student. Before considering any such representations the Vice-Chancellor will normally expect the debt to have been discharged in full.

Residence Fees

- 25** Students' residence fees must be paid within three weeks of the beginning of the autumn letting period and within two weeks of subsequent letting periods in respect of which the payments are due, or within two weeks of such other date as a residence fee becomes due. Where residence fees are not paid by the due time, the University reserves the right to reallocate the accommodation and to conduct a review and follow-up process to recover the outstanding debt.

Examination Fees

- 26** Examination fees will normally be included in the annual tuition fees.
- 27** A student shall not normally be eligible for examination unless the appropriate fee has been paid to the University at the due time.
- 28** If a candidate withdraws from an examination or leaves the University without presenting themselves for examination, or is not required to present themselves for examination at the end of any year, the candidate shall not be entitled to recover any annual fee paid, and the fee paid shall not be transferable to a later occasion of

examination; a refund of any fee shall be made only in exceptional circumstances.

Other Fees and Charges

- 29** Other fees and charges for services, such as, but not limited to, library fines are payable in full when they are due. Non-payment of other fees and charges will be subject to a review and follow up process to recover the outstanding debt.

Academic Consequences of Non-Payment of Tuition Fees

- 210** If any person is in default in regard to payment of tuition fees to the University:
- (a) no Degree, Diploma, Certificate or other academic award shall be granted to that person unless the Senate considers that there are exceptional circumstances which justify such grant, and
 - (b) the Senate may recommend to the Council that that person be deprived of any Degrees, distinctions or titles, Diplomas or Certificates conferred on or granted to that person by the University, and that all privileges connected therewith be withdrawn, and
 - (c) registration for the next academic year will normally only be permitted when all tuition fees incurred in previous years of study have been paid.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

3. ATTENDANCE AND PROGRESS

- 3.1** Students shall attend regularly. If a student's attendance is not satisfactory, or a student is unable to attend for legal reasons, the Director of Academic Registry, authorised to act under power delegated by Senate, and following consultation with the student's Faculty or School, may determine that the student be precluded from continuing their studies and, where applicable, their registration be terminated. A student who is prevented for legal reasons from attending will, in the first instance, normally be suspended for a period not exceeding 12 months. Where registration is terminated, a person who ceases in this way to be a student of the University may make representations to the Vice-Chancellor, who may exercise Statutory power to re-admit the student.
- 3.2** If a student's progress in the programme of study is not satisfactory, the Director of Academic Registry, authorised to act under power delegated by Senate, and following consultation with the student's Faculty or School, may determine that the student be precluded from continuing their studies, and, where applicable, their registration be terminated. A person who ceases in this way to be a student of the University may make representations to the Vice-Chancellor, who may exercise Statutory power to readmit the student.
- 3.3** No student shall be treated as having completed a particular programme of study unless the student shall have complied with such conditions as the Senate may from time to time prescribe with relation thereto.
- 3.4** Absence due to ill health shall within three days be notified by students to the Head of their Department if the absence extends or is likely to extend beyond the period of three days. Apart from illness students will be granted leave of absence only with the prior permission of the Head of their Department or the person to whom the Head of Department delegates responsibility in this matter.
- 3.5** The University will require students in receipt of Research Council or other awards to satisfy such terms and conditions of their awards as are acceptable to the University.
- 3.6** If any students are required by:
- (a) their Head of Department or Group, or
 - (b) the Director of Studies for their programme of study, or
 - (c) the personal tutor to whom they have been assigned, or
 - (d) any Officer of the University, or
 - (e) the Director of Academic Registry or other Senior Administrative Officer, or
 - (f) the Dean of their Faculty or the Head of the School
 - (g) the Director of Student Support and Safeguarding or other Professional Services Manager
- to see such person, the students shall attend at such place and time as shall be specified.

Academic Integrity: Mandatory Skills Training and Test

- 3.7** (a) All students registering for the first time for a taught or research programme leading to an award of the University of Bath are required to undertake mandatory academic training and pass a test of understanding within a defined period. The skills training session and test will be those as defined in the Quality Assurance Code of Practice.

The defined period starts with first registration upon entry and ends at the first progression point encountered thereafter.

(b) Students who fail to pass the test by their next progression point will not be permitted to progress to the next stage of their programme of study or, in the case of students in their final year or on a one year or shorter programme, to receive their award.

- 3.8** The University will do all that it reasonably can to provide educational services as described on its website or in the prospectus or in other contractual documents issued by it to appropriately enrolled students. Sometimes circumstances beyond its control mean that at times it may not be able to provide such educational services. This might be because of, for example but not limited to, industrial action by University staff or third parties, acts of terrorism, the acts of any governmental or local authority, technical or power failure and/or bad weather conditions. In any of these circumstances, the University will take reasonable steps to minimise the resultant disruption to those services and to affected students, by for example, delivering a modified version of the same course or offering affected students the chance to move to another course or institution, but to the full extent that is possible under the law the University and students/applicants exclude liability to each other for any resultant loss and/or damage suffered. This does not affect any statutory rights of students/applicants that cannot be varied. The modifications that the University make may be to the content and syllabus of programmes, including in relation to placements, the timetable, location and number of classes, the content or method of delivery of programmes of study and/or the assessment and examination process. In making any changes, it will aim to keep the changes to the minimum necessary and will notify and consult where appropriate with students in advance about any changes that are required. If students are not satisfied with the changes, they may have the opportunity to withdraw from the course, move to another course and, if required, reasonable support to transfer to another provider.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

4. CONFERMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS

- 41** No person shall be entitled to describe themselves as holding any Degree or other qualification conferred or granted by the University unless the award of such qualification has been approved by Senate.
- 42** Students are required to respond within the specified deadline to invitations to attend the Congregations which are held for the presentation of certificates for Degrees or other qualifications of the University.
- 43** Congregations shall be held at such times and places and in such manner as the Senate may from time to time prescribe.
- 44** Senate may at its discretion appoint for periods of three years a Marshal and a Deputy Marshal of the University, who shall be eligible for re-appointment.
- 45** The Deputy Marshal shall, in the absence of the Marshal, carry out the Marshal's functions and shall otherwise carry out such duties as the Marshal shall direct.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

5. RESCINDED

6. ACCOMMODATION

- 6.1** The Rules which are applicable to students who are allocated a place in University residence (which includes all types of accommodation administered by the University) are issued on the authority of the Director of Campus Services: <https://www.bath.ac.uk/corporate-information/student-accommodation-terms-and-conditions/> with the Student Living Code of Conduct at <https://www.bath.ac.uk/corporate-information/student-living-code-of-conduct/>
- 6.2** Breaches of the Rules relating to University residence constitute misconduct under the provisions of Regulation 7.5(c).

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

7. DISCIPLINARY REGULATIONS FOR STUDENTS

If you require this document in an alternative format, such as large print or a coloured background please contact: student-discipline@bath.ac.uk

Purpose

- 7.1 The University of Bath is committed to providing a safe and friendly environment where everyone feels welcome. We expect every member of our community to be treated, and to treat others, with respect.
- 7.2 The purpose of this policy is to:
- Set clear expectations for how students are expected to behave and set out what behaviours are considered unacceptable
 - Set out how the University will respond where there is a report that the behaviour of a student has fallen below the expected standards and/or when rules or regulations have been broken

Scope

- 7.3 This policy will apply where a student who is enrolled or registered at the University of Bath has allegedly committed an act of non-academic misconduct
- 7.4 Misconduct is broadly defined as behaviour where a student has not taken appropriate care or responsibility for how their behaviour affects others, and one or more of the following is, or could have been, impacted:
- A student or employee of the University
 - Any other person on University premises
 - Any other person involved with a University activity
 - The University itself (this includes cases which could potentially bring the University into disrepute or where action is deemed necessary to safeguard our community)
- 7.5 An illustrative, non-exhaustive list of examples of misconduct are given in Appendix A.
- 7.6 This policy applies to behaviour that takes place on and off University premises, including online.
- 7.7 There may be allegations of misconduct that are dealt with outside of this policy. In such cases it is for the University to decide which policy to apply. All parties will be informed where this is the case at the start of the process.
- 7.8 If a Reporting Party withdraws from the process at any stage, the University reserves the right to continue to act on the information provided.
- 7.9 For historic allegations the version of Regulation 7 in force at the time of the alleged misconduct will apply.

Policy Interactions

- 7.10** Sometimes, issues are raised that do not fall neatly into the category of just one University policy. Where this is the case, the University will be flexible in its approach of application of policy on a case-by-case basis. The Deputy Director, Student Policy and Safeguarding will jointly determine with other relevant Heads of Services whether it's better to use one process after the other (and in what order), to run them at the same time, or to apply the processes more flexibly. It will be explained to all relevant parties how the matters will be investigated, who will coordinate the process, and who will issue the final decision.
- 7.11** In cases involving an emergency, where there is a perceived threat to the health and safety of the student or to other students or staff, the University will consider applying precautionary measures to ensure that any recognised risks can be mitigated before and during the Student Discipline process (see [Student Precautionary Measures Policy](#)).

Overview of the Student Discipline Procedure

- 7.12** When an allegation of misconduct is made against a student, the University, where able to, will investigate the matter and determine:
- Whether the report constitutes misconduct under this policy
 - The severity of the misconduct (if applicable)
 - The appropriate sanction/s (if applicable)
- 7.13** The process will normally be concluded within the following timeframes:
- 60 calendar days from when the Respondent receives notification that allegations of misconduct have been made against them.
 - An additional 30 calendar days (90 days total) if the outcome is appealed
- 7.14** If it is anticipated that the process will take longer, those involved will be informed in writing and provided with an amended timescale. These timescales exclude the time taken by any related external criminal investigation.
- 7.15** The [Student Discipline Procedure](#) provides further information.

Categories of severity of misconduct

- 7.16** The University will categorise the severity of misconduct according to that misconduct meeting one or more of the criteria in the categories listed below.

Category A

No or minimal harm or disruption caused, or a limited impact (or risk thereof) on the proper functioning or activities of the University, or to a member or members of the University Community, or an accidental or inadvertent breach.

Category B

Moderate harm or disruption caused, or a moderate impact (or risk thereof) on the proper functioning or activities of the University, or to a member or members of the University Community.

Category C

Significant harm or disruption caused, or a severe impact (or risk thereof) on the proper functioning or activities of the University, or to a member or members of the University Community or sustained or repeated misconduct.

- 7.17 Sanctions will be proportionate to the proven misconduct and mitigating, aggravating, and compounding factors will be taken into account.

Right of Appeal

- 7.18 A Respondent can use the [Student Appeals Policy](#) to submit an appeal (within the bounds of that policy) following notification of the outcome of a Student Disciplinary case.
- 7.19 A Reporting Party cannot normally appeal the outcome of a Student Disciplinary case, but they are able to request a review of the process, based on specific grounds.
- 7.20 Once all stages of the University's Student Disciplinary process have been completed, if a student is not satisfied with the resolution of their appeal or review, they have 12 months to make a complaint to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA). If the complaint is eligible to be considered by the OIA they will independently review the matter.

Procedural Fairness

- 7.21 The Student Discipline Procedure will follow the principles of natural justice. For this policy, this means the student and the person bringing the allegation will both have fair opportunity to present their case and respond to what the other has said. Normally, the identity of the person bringing the allegation and details of their allegation will need to be shared with the student who is responding. In some circumstances, sensitive and confidential information may be redacted.
- 7.22 In Student Discipline cases the standard of proof is the civil standard, or "the balance of probabilities". This means it must be proved that something is more likely to have happened than not, and this is supported by evidence. The burden of proof sits with the University. This means that it is their responsibility to prove the case being considered.
- 7.23 No person with a conflict of interest will be asked to investigate or make a decision relating to the case. A conflict of interest occurs when an individual's professional or personal interests – family, friendships, financial, or social factors – could compromise their ability to apply judgement or act fairly and objectively. A conflict of interest can be actual or perceived.

Reconsidering the same allegation

- 7.24 In some circumstances, the University may reconsider the same allegation of misconduct, outside of the appeals process. For example, if new evidence emerges which, for good reason, could not have been obtained at the time of the original consideration of the case.

Support for Students

- 7.25 We understand that disciplinary matters can be very stressful for students and are committed to the process being as empathetic and supportive as possible. All students

(including reporting parties, respondents, and witnesses) will be made aware of, and actively encouraged to engage with, the support options that are available to them throughout the process.

- 7.26** We understand that disciplinary matters can be very stressful for students and are committed to the process being as empathetic and supportive as possible. All students (including reporting parties, respondents, and witnesses) will be made aware of, and actively encourages to engage with, the support options that are available to them throughout the process.

Roles and Responsibilities

7.27 Senate is responsible for:

- The Student Discipline Policy (Regulation 7) and approving any amendments to it. The maintenance of discipline and good conduct as this policy outlines
- Ratifying the Student Discipline Procedure at appropriate stages
- The appointment of Chairs of the Student Disciplinary and Misconduct Panel

7.28 Deputy Director, Student Policy and Safeguarding is responsible for:

- Overseeing the effective application of the Student Discipline Policy, and its interaction with other student safeguarding policies
- Overseeing and implementing the Student Discipline Procedure
- Determining the category of misconduct
- Ratifying (with Chair of Student Disciplinary and Misconduct Panel) any change of category or dismissal of misconduct post investigation
- Determining outcomes and sanctions for Category A & B misconduct cases
- Deciding how and when to implement the Student Discipline Policy in conjunction with other University policies and related external investigations
- Reporting to Senate annually on student discipline cases including outcomes, common themes, and recommendations to improve University practice and the student experience

7.29 Any of the functions of the Deputy Director, Student Policy & Safeguarding under these Regulations may be delegated to a member of the Student Support & Safeguarding Leadership Team.

7.30 The Student Discipline Team is responsible for:

- The operational delivery of the Student Discipline Policy and Procedure

7.31 Security Services are responsible for:

- Responding to incidences of Category A misconduct that can be dealt with through a fixed penalty notice.
- Referring incidences to the Student Discipline Team that are:
- Category A misconduct that cannot be dealt with through a fixed penalty notice
- Repeated incidents of category A misconduct, or
- Instances of Category B and C misconduct

7.32 Chair of Student Disciplinary and Misconduct Panel is responsible for:

- Ratify (with Deputy Director, Student Policy and Safeguarding) any change of category or dismissal of misconduct post investigation

- Proceedings of the panel meeting including attendance, running of the meeting and decisions and outcomes of the panel

7.33 Student Disciplinary and Misconduct Panel Members are responsible for:

- determination and ratification of category C cases that are referred to them
- determination of outcome and sanctions relating to cases that are referred to them

7.34 The Vice Chancellor is responsible for:

- Appointing Student Disciplinary and Misconduct Panel members
- Approval of any recommendation referred to them by the Student Disciplinary and Misconduct Panel

Monitoring and Record keeping

7.35 All formally reported allegations of misconduct received, decisions made and resulting outcomes will be recorded and an annual report provided to Senate, and its relevant committees.

7.36 All records taken under this policy will be held for the recommended period of time for which records should be retained to comply with legal requirements and meet operational needs as defined with the [University Records Retention Schedule](#).

7.37 If you have any feedback on this policy, or on your experience of this policy or process, please email studentpolicy@bath.ac.uk

Document Control Information

7.38 Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Owner | Pro-Vice-Chancellor for Student Experience |
| Version number | 2.0 |
| Approval Date | November 2023 |
| Approved By | Senate |
| Date of last review | July 2023 |
| Date of next review | April 2026 |

Appendix A

7.39 The following is a non-exhaustive list of examples of misconduct:

a) Health and Safety Misconduct:

Action likely to cause injury or impair health or safety including:

- (i) smoking and vaping in areas not designated for this purpose including the Underdeck, the Library Balcony or on the Parade (you should be at least 4 metres away from any building when you smoke).
- (ii) parking motor vehicles or bicycles in any place not specifically authorised for that purpose

- (iii) the driving of motor vehicles on campus in a dangerous, reckless, or careless manner
 - (iv) the use of any mode of personal transport in pedestrian areas other than where duly authorised
 - (v) possession of any drug or drugs, which unless prescribed for the student by a registered medical practitioner, would mean that the student could be prosecuted. (Drug possession will normally be classed as a breach of Health and Safety misconduct. In some circumstances, e.g. multiple breaches, drug possession may be categorised as misconduct which may also constitute a criminal offence).
 - (vi) putting others at risk through use of dangerous, addictive or intoxicating substances.
 - (vii) inappropriate interference with the services of the University or any part of the University estate including with fire safety systems or equipment
 - (viii) entering any restricted area (including roofs) without obtaining official permission from the Director of Campus Infrastructure
 - (ix) dropping or throwing an object from a high-rise building
 - (x) entering the lake by any means, without authorisation from Campus Infrastructure
- b) Obstruction of University operations:
- (i) inappropriate interference with academic or other activities of the University
 - (ii) inappropriate interference with, the functions, duties or activities of any Student, member of staff or other employee of the University or any authorised visitor to the University
 - (iii) allowing others to use your University Library card and/or University log-in details.
 - (iv) failure to disclose name and other relevant details, or to provide your library card to an officer or employee of the University in circumstances when it is reasonable to require that such information be given
- c) Regulatory breaches
- (i) breach of any other University Code, rule or regulation which provides for breaches to constitute misconduct under these Regulations
 - (ii) failure to comply with a previously imposed penalty under these Regulations
- d) Damage to property
- (i) damage, misuse, unauthorised use or taking of items of property, including technology misuse
- e) Reputational Damage
- (i) behaviour that brings the University into disrepute (excluding legitimate complaints against the university, formal representations by the SU or whistleblowing)
- f) Criminal offences
- (i) fraud: deceit, deception or dishonesty

- (ii) supply of any drug or drugs which, unless prescribed for the student by a registered medical practitioner, would mean that the student could be prosecuted.
 - (iii) Spiking and related offences.
 - (iv) unless duly authorised, possession or use of firearms, imitation firearms, fireworks, explosives or any highly combustible materials or any article which is made, adapted, or intended to be used as an offensive weapon.
 - (v) possession of a bladed article, an offensive weapon or weapon of offence
 - (vi) theft
 - (vii) any other behaviour which could constitute a criminal offence
- h) Offensive or abusive behaviour
- (i) disorderly, indecent, violent, threatening, or offensive behaviour or language, either under the influence of intoxicating substances or otherwise
 - (ii) taking or sharing audio, video, or photographic recordings of others (including teaching activities) without their express consent
 - (iii) unless duly authorised, using, permitting, or causing to be used any means of sound reproduction or amplifying device so as to cause offence or inconvenience to others
 - (iv) organising, leading or otherwise encouraging dangerous or coercive initiation-type behaviours
- i) Breach of Dignity and Respect policy (which has not or cannot be resolved by informal means):
- (i) discrimination
 - (ii) bullying
 - (iii) harassment
 - (iv) sexual misconduct
 - (v) hate motivated misconduct
 - (vi) online misconduct
 - (vii) victimisation

Academic misconduct is a form of misconduct but it is addressed through other University procedures. Academic misconduct can be described as the use of, or participation in, any means that may result in a student obtaining an unfair academic advantage in any assessment, whether successful or not.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

8. RESCINDED

Date of Last Update: 8 November 2023

9. RESERVATION OF AREAS

91 The University recognises the wish of categories of its members and employees to have areas within the University premises reserved for their exclusive use.

92 Council may therefore determine that certain rooms be used for certain purposes only, and may on the recommendation of Senate approve the terms of agreements with the members of the Senior Common Room, the Students' Union, and such other bodies as it sees fit whereby areas are reserved for their exclusive use, and such agreements duly signed by the parties shall have the force of regulations.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date Published: 1 August 2024

10. USE OF FACILITIES

10.1 Introduction

The use of University facilities (Library, Computing facilities, Sports facilities) is governed by this Regulation and by local rules and regulations which have the force of University Regulations for discipline. These local rules and regulations are issued and amended on the authority of the University Librarian, the Chief Information and Digital Officer or the Director of Sport, as appropriate, in consultation with any relevant University Committee representing the interests of the users. Any inappropriate behaviour not relating to the use of facilities, or which is considered sufficiently serious to warrant further disciplinary action, will be dealt with in accordance with Regulation 8.

10.2 The Library

(a) The University Librarian may from time to time make such rules and regulations as may be necessary for the maintenance of good order and satisfactory conditions for users of the facilities. Such rules and regulations will be publicised in Library publications and on the Library's website (<http://www.bath.ac.uk/library/>).

(b) Any breach of Library rules and regulations, including the misuse of a University Library Card, renders the user liable to suspension or removal of borrowing rights or exclusion from the Library. For Members of the University any withdrawal of facilities by the University Librarian will be subject to a right of appeal to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor and Provost.

(c) Fines for overdue lent materials are levied at the rates agreed from time to time by the University Librarian, in consultation with staff and student representatives. Current fines in force are advertised on the Library's website (<http://www.bath.ac.uk/library/>).

(d) The University Librarian may additionally impose fines or replacement costs on any individual responsible for damage or loss of Library property, where such fines may represent the material and administrative costs to the Library of reparation.

(e) The following are eligible to make use of the Library:

- Members of the University as defined in Section 2 of the Statutes
- Other persons at the discretion of the University Librarian

Persons who are not Members of the University may register as external members, subject to any required fee payment.

Any member of the public may apply in writing to the University Librarian for occasional reference use of the Library, on the understanding that the material consulted is not readily available to the public elsewhere within the region or at other libraries accessible to the applicant. Where permission is granted, individuals must provide proof of identity and must sign a visitors' book for each admission.

(f) Borrowing from the Library, subject to current rules and regulations, is an automatic right of staff and students of the University who are defined as Members of the University by the Statutes. Borrowing rights may be arranged for other persons at the discretion of the University Librarian and subject to any required fee payment.

(g) The hours of opening of the Library are determined by the University Librarian from time to time in accordance with the needs of the University.

Within these hours, use may be restricted to consultation at certain times of the day.

(h) Smoking, vaping and eating are not permitted within the Library, including the Library balcony.

(i) Silence must be maintained in the silent study areas of the Library.

103 Computing Facilities

Definitions:

(i) Computing facilities: any facility which is directly or indirectly linked through a computer processor (including the use of authorised software) whether or not all or part of the process lies under the jurisdiction and ownership of the University of Bath.

(ii) Head of Department: Heads of Departments, the Deans, the Heads of School, the Librarian, the Chief Information and Digital Officer or the Heads of such other units as Senate may determine.

(iii) IT Acceptable Use Policy <https://www.bath.ac.uk/corporate-information/it-acceptable-use-policy/>

(iv) eduserv chest - software and information negotiation and licensing services for education and the public sector

(v) JANET/SuperJANET - Joint Academic Network

(a) All users of computing facilities are bound by general law, this Regulation, the IT Acceptable Use Policy, together with any specific rules that a Head of Department may from time to time introduce which apply to specific facilities within the appropriate department or to specific users.

(b) Computer facilities shall be used for approved purposes and by approved users only. If a person appears to be using computing facilities for other purposes which are in breach of the IT Acceptable Use Policy, that person shall be subject to the regulatory disciplines laid down in the IT Acceptable Use Policy.

(c) All users must respect the rights of others including those in proprietary software and datasets. The University subscribes to the eduserv User Acknowledgement of Third Party Rights (<http://www.eduserv.org.uk/services/Chest-Agreements/about-our-licences/user-obligations>). Breaches of these obligations shall be deemed to be breaches of this Regulation.

(d) Intended users of computing facilities for financial gain must obtain approval in advance from the appropriate Head of Department, in order to ensure that suitable arrangements are made and to avoid infringement of licensing regulations. Such use must not start without the written permission of the relevant Head of Department. Such usage must also conform to the regulatory measures laid down in the JANET-Acceptable Use Policy (<https://community.ja.net/library/acceptable-use-policy>).

(e) No person shall use computing facilities to hold or process personal data except in accordance with the provisions of the Data Protection Act 1998, or its successor Acts, in accordance with the procedures laid down by the University for that purpose.

(f) No person shall make use of computing facilities allocated to another person without the specific authorisation of the appropriate Head of Department.

(g) Where a breach of the Regulation, or the IT Acceptable Use Policy, occurs or is alleged, the user in breach shall be subject to the procedures laid down in the IT Acceptable Use Policy.

(h) Breaches of any rules relating to a computing facility will be regarded as a prima

facie evidence of a breach of discipline. If the user in breach is a student, disciplinary measures may be taken under the appropriate Regulation or Statute, regardless of the academic consequences.

If the user in breach is a member of staff, disciplinary measures may be taken through the Director of Human Resources.

(i) Potentially criminal behaviour may be reported by the University directly to the police. (Regulations 7 and 8 also refer).

10.4 Sports Facilities

(a) The Director of Sport may from time to time make such rules and regulations as may be necessary for the maintenance of good order and for the safety of users. Such rules and regulations will be displayed in appropriate places.

(b) Any breach of local rules and regulations for the use of sports facilities renders the user liable to suspension or exclusion from the facilities. The Director of Sport, with or without such rules and regulations, has the power to withhold facilities. For members of the University an appeal against any withdrawal of facilities may be made to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor and Provost.

(c) The following are eligible to make use of the sports facilities:

- Members of the University as defined in Section 2 of the Statutes
- Other persons at the discretion of the Director of Sport.

Any person using the facilities may be required to produce evidence of eligibility.

(d) The hours of opening of the sports facilities are determined by the Director of Sport from time to time in accordance with the needs of the University. Within these hours, use may be restricted to certain categories of persons at certain times of the day.

(e) Any person committing a breach of any of the local rules and regulations or causing a disturbance within the facilities may be asked to leave.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

11. DOGS AND OTHER PET ANIMALS

- 11.1** Dogs and other pet animals may not be brought into University buildings or on to the playing fields, with the exception of assistance dogs for people who require it.
- 11.2** Approved assistance dogs (guide dogs, hearing dogs, service dogs) are allowed into University accommodation under the control of their owner and the owner must refer to the Student Living Code of Conduct <https://www.bath.ac.uk/corporate-information/student-living-code-of-conduct/> when bringing an assistance dog into university accommodation.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

12. USE OF MOTOR VEHICLES ON THE UNIVERSITY SITE

- 12.1** No motor vehicle may be driven or parked within the University grounds unless it is licensed, insured, roadworthy and registered with the University through Parking Services. Learner drivers are not permitted to drive within the University grounds whether accompanied by a qualified driver or not. The use of *privately owned* e-scooters is not permitted within the University grounds.
- 12.2** A vehicle will not be eligible for a parking permit unless the person wishing to register it possesses a full driving licence.
- 12.3** If a parking permit is granted, a physical permit will be issued. This must be displayed in the vehicle so that it is clearly visible.
- 12.4** A permit is issued subject to the conditions notified at the time of issue and will be cancelled if the conditions are not complied with.
- 12.5** Undergraduate students living in non-University accommodation in postal districts BA1 and BA2 will not be granted a permit except in exceptional circumstances.
- 12.6** Students living in University accommodation on or off campus may not park motor vehicles on University property, unless granted a permit, or on public roads within the City of Bath boundaries. Permits will only be issued in exceptional circumstances.
- 12.7** When a registered driver disposes of their vehicle Parking Services must be notified and the permit returned to the Security Desk in the Library. If the registered driver wishes to bring a different vehicle into use a fresh permit must be obtained before doing so.
- 12.8** Drivers must refer to the University parking enforcement procedure <https://www.bath.ac.uk/corporate-information/parking-regulations/>. Security Officers and Parking Wardens are authorised to take appropriate action under this procedure to deal with vehicles which they consider to be improperly parked; action could include the issue of a warning or parking charge notice.
- 12.9** Drivers must observe the speed limits in force on roads within the University site, and drivers must observe the road signs for the safety of all road users. Drivers must stop when requested to do so by the Security Staff.
- 12.10** The University reserves the right to remove unauthorised vehicles and to dispose of them. The vehicle owner shall have no claim against the University if it exercises this right.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

13. ADMISSIONS REGULATIONS FOR UNDERGRADUATE AND POSTGRADUATE TAUGHT COURSES

13.1 Applicants must provide true, complete, and original information in relation to all the qualifications and personal information contained on an application form. The University may withdraw the applications of candidates failing to do this.

13.2 Admission to Undergraduate and Postgraduate Taught courses

No applicant shall be admitted to a course leading to an undergraduate or postgraduate taught award of the University (except by exemption as detailed in section 13.5), unless:

- (a) they have satisfied the general entrance requirements of the University.
- (b) they have satisfied the specific requirements for the course to which admission is sought.
- (c) they, if under 18 on the start date of the course, have complied with the requirements of the Policy in relation to the Admission, Support and Safeguarding for Students Entering the University under the Age of 18.
- (d) they have accurately informed the University of any relevant criminal convictions as directed during the application process and has complied with the University's requirements in relation to the further scrutiny of any criminal convictions and

13.3 General Entrance Requirements

The University maintains the following general entrance requirements for undergraduate and postgraduate taught courses:

- (a) applicants should hold suitable prior academic qualifications.

Detailed requirements of the range of appropriate qualifications, including appropriate references to international equivalent frameworks, will be specified, and made readily available on the University website. International qualifications for which entrance requirements are not specified will be assessed by Student Recruitment and Admissions on a case-by-case basis.

- (b) Applicants for a postgraduate taught course should hold a first degree at honours level (or equivalent) from a recognised higher education institution.
- (c) Applicants should be able to demonstrate a suitable level of proficiency in the English language to study at degree level at the University of Bath.

Detailed requirements, including a list of qualifications, tests or alternative methods of assessment deemed to meet these requirements will be made available on the University website.

13.4 Specific Course Requirements

Specific requirements for admission to each undergraduate and postgraduate taught course are approved by Senate. Student Recruitment and Admissions are required to publish a summary of course requirements in any print prospectus and, with appropriate further detail, on the University web pages. Specific course requirements may include:

- (a) any prior academic qualifications which applicants should hold in addition to those specified in the general entry requirements.
- (b) any requirements to demonstrate a higher level of proficiency in the English

language than the level of proficiency specified in the general entry requirements.

(c) any professional standards, non-academic skills or attributes required for entry together with an indication of how these will be assessed as part of the admissions process.

(d) the nature of any additional tests which may form part of the admissions process and whether selective interviews are routinely required.

13.5 Exemptions from the General Entrance Requirements and Specific Course Requirements

An applicant may be exempted from one or more of the general entrance requirements and specific course requirements for undergraduate and postgraduate taught courses with special permission:

- a) Applicants to a postgraduate taught course who do not hold a first degree at honours level (or equivalent) from a recognised higher education institution may be admitted with approval of the appropriate Board of Studies, provided they have satisfied the Board of Studies that they hold suitable qualifications and are fit to pursue the course.
- b) In other cases, Departments, Schools, and partner organisations may apply for special permission for an exemption on the basis that there is evidence that shows the applicant has other equivalent or acceptable qualifications or experiences. Written cases outlining the basis for any exemption may be submitted to the Director of Student Recruitment and Admissions or nominated deputy.
- c) The number and nature of exemptions will be monitored by Boards of Studies and Director of Student Recruitment and Admissions, and any exceptional cases will be reported to Senate on an annual basis.

13.6 Admission of Members of Staff to Postgraduate Taught Courses

A member of staff may be admitted to a postgraduate taught course provided that the member of staff is appropriately qualified and that the course specification lists part-time study as an available mode of study:

- a) At the discretion of the Director of Student Recruitment and Admissions, the following are defined as members of staff for the purposes of staff applications:
 - i. Members of staff of the University Bath who are employed by the University on at least a half-time basis and who have successfully completed their probation.
 - ii. Members of staff of partner organisations associated with the University who teach on a course leading to an award of the University.
- b) Members of staff may qualify for a discount on tuition fees for postgraduate taught courses.

Detailed requirements will be made available on the University website.

- c) A student who ceases to be eligible due to leaving the employment of the University or partner organisation but wishes to continue to follow the course will be liable for the full fee payable pro-rata for any remaining study.

- d) Save as provided for in this Regulation, members of staff admitted to taught postgraduate courses shall be governed by the regulations as appropriate for the course they are studying.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 30 August 2024

14. ADMISSIONS REGULATIONS FOR DIPLOMA AND CERTIFICATE PROGRAMMES

No applicant shall be admitted to a programme leading to a Diploma or Certificate of the University, except by special permission, unless:

- (a) they have satisfied the programme requirements for the particular programme to which admission is sought and
- (b) they, if under 18 on 1st October of the year in which admission is sought, have complied with the requirements of the Policy on the Admission and Support for Students Under the Age of 18 and
- (c) they have accurately informed the University of any relevant criminal convictions as directed during the application process and has complied with the University's requirements in relation to the further scrutiny of any criminal convictions and
- (d) they have completed the application process for any checks and/or Disclosures required by the University from the Disclosure and Barring Service.

Programme requirements are approved by the Senate and departments, Schools and partner organisations are required to publish a summary in the Undergraduate or Postgraduate Prospectus and, with appropriate further detail, on their web pages.

Applicants must provide full and accurate information in relation to all the academic and personal information contained on a University application form. The University may withdraw the applications of candidates failing to do this.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

15. ASSESSMENT OF UNDERGRADUATE AND TAUGHT POSTGRADUATE COURSES

15.1 Scope

These regulations apply to undergraduate and postgraduate taught courses leading to an award of the University.

15.2 Regulatory Framework

- a) Undergraduate and postgraduate taught courses are regulated by University assessment regulations or agreed course-specific assessment regulations.
<https://www.bath.ac.uk/topics/assessment-regulations/>
 - i. The University assessment regulations that apply to a course are specified in the Course Specification.
 - ii. Where a course is regulated by course-specific assessment regulations, these regulations are referenced in the agreed Course Specification.
- b) Detailed policies and guidelines relating to assessment procedures and the conduct of Boards of Examiners are included in the University's Quality Assurance Code of Practice.
<https://www.bath.ac.uk/guides/quality-assurance-code-of-practice/>
- c) The Director of Academic Registry, in consultation with Boards of Studies, may from time to time prescribe the procedure of preparing question papers, invigilation arrangements, and any other matters relating to assessment. These procedures will be published as University Rules.
<https://www.bath.ac.uk/publications/rule-2-conduct-of-examinations/>

15.3 Assessment Procedure

- a) A Board of Examiners for Units will be responsible for determining the marks achieved by students taking units under its academic authority.
- b) External examiners will be involved in agreeing the marks for any final assessments. Final assessments are defined as those assessments the results of which count in determining the award or the level of the final award (Degree, Diploma or Certificate of the University).
- c) Examinations will be conducted by a Board of Examiners for Units and will be subject to the provisions of Ordinance 15.
- d) All examinations will be conducted in English unless otherwise specified. All other forms of assessment will be submitted in English unless the Board of Studies approves a presentation in another specified language.
- e) Unit results will be forwarded to the appropriate Board(s) of Examiners for Courses. Boards of Examiners for Courses will assess the performance of each student and will make recommendations to the appropriate Board of Studies concerning the progress of each student, conferment of awards and classification of awards, taking account of individual mitigating circumstances as appropriate. These recommendations will be based on the impartial application of the relevant

assessment regulations. In the case of students who have failed to satisfy the criteria for progression, a Board of Examiners for Courses will specify any supplementary assessment that will need to be successfully completed.

- f) External examiners will be involved in reaching all decisions relating to the conferment of awards and the determination of final degree classifications.
- g) Boards of Studies will consider the recommendations of the Board of Examiners for Courses and will approve decisions on progressions, the conferment of awards and the classification of awards by the authority of Senate. Boards of Studies will be responsible for the publication of their decisions.

15.4 Academic Integrity

- a) Any student who is requested by their Director of Studies to do so must provide an electronic version of a piece of work to be assessed, selected by the Director of Studies, for submission to a plagiarism detection service of the Director of Studies' choosing.
- b) Students will be required to make an appropriate declaration regarding the academic integrity of their work when they submit a piece of work to be assessed.

15.5 Individual Mitigating Circumstances

- a) A student who wishes any individual mitigating circumstances to be taken into account by the Board of Examiners for Courses should notify the appropriate Director of Studies no later than three working days after an individual assessment is due to be completed, or, for multiple assessments, no later than three working days after the end of a formal assessment period. Evidence will be required.
- b) Where individual mitigating circumstances exist prior to the assessment period, students will normally be expected to have notified the Director of Studies of those circumstances before the start of the assessment period.
- c) The University's principles and procedures for dealing with IMCs and assessment are set out in relation to the University assessment regulation. [Assessment regulations \(bath.ac.uk\)](#)
- d) Guidance for IMCs is set out on the University website. <https://www.bath.ac.uk/guides/individual-mitigating-circumstances-imcs/>

15.6 Appealing an Academic Decision

- a) A student may appeal against a Board of Studies' decision on any of the grounds listed in Regulation 17. Regulation 17 outlines the appeal procedures to be adopted in such a case.
- b) Guidance for appealing an academic decision is set out on the University website. <https://www.bath.ac.uk/guides/appealing-against-an-academic-decision/>

15.7 Maximum Period for Completion of Study and Assessment for undergraduate courses

- a) Undergraduate courses have a maximum period during which students must

complete all study and assessment.

- b) The maximum period for completion of study and assessment for undergraduate courses regulated by Undergraduate Assessment Regulations (UGAR) is the length of the course as specified in the course specification plus two years.
- c) The maximum period for completion of study and assessment for undergraduate courses not regulated by UGAR is normally the length of the course specified in the course specification.
- d) Any individual pattern of study and/or assessment considered for approval by a Board of Studies must fall within the provisions of this regulation.
- e) When calculating a student's position in relation to the maximum period for completion of study and assessment, any whole academic year (or whole twelve-month period, or as necessitated by the structure of a thin-sandwich course an equivalent pre-arranged period or periods not exceeding twelve months) for which a period of suspension of study has been granted will not be counted.
- f) For the purposes of this regulation, decisions to grant or deny requests to transfer from one course to another are considered to be made at the University's discretion. Where a transfer between courses is permitted, the period already elapsed in the former course will be counted as elapsed in the latter course at the point of transfer. A transfer between courses subject to different maximum periods may only be permitted in accordance with the following provisions:
 - i. a student who transfers to a longer course may only subsequently transfer back to a shorter course if the latter can be completed within its maximum period.
 - ii. a student who, at the point of being considered for a transfer from a longer course to a shorter course, would be able to complete either within the respective maximum period, must accept the limit of the maximum period for the latter course.
 - iii. a student who, at the point of being considered for transfer from a longer course to a shorter course, would be able to complete the longer course within its maximum period but would not be able to complete the shorter course within its maximum period, may be permitted to transfer and to complete the shorter course within the longer maximum course.
 - iv. a student who, at the point of being considered for transfer, would not be able to complete the current course within its maximum period, will not be permitted to transfer and will only be eligible to be awarded any exit award available from the current course.
- g) A Board of Examiners for Courses considering a student's eligibility for a final award may determine that an award from a Designated Alternative Course be made without consideration of the maximum period specified for that course.
- h) A Board of Studies may make a recommendation to Senate to agree specific arrangements for any student who might be deemed, for good reason, to be unable

to meet the terms of the regulation.

158 Maximum Period for Completion of Study and Assessment for postgraduate taught courses

- a) Postgraduate taught courses have a maximum period during which students must complete all study and assessment.
- b) The maximum period for completions study and assessment for courses regulated by Postgraduate Taught Assessment Regulations (PGTAR) is the maximum period of study specified in those regulations.
- c) The maximum period for completion study and assessment for courses regulated by New Framework for Assessment: Assessment Regulations Phases 2 & 3 for Postgraduate Taught Programmes (NFAAR-PGT) is normally the length of the course specified in the course specification.
 - i. A student whose course is regulated by NFAAR-PGT may apply to extend their registration for a period of up to twelve months in order to complete outstanding assessment. The Board of Studies may grant such an extension once only.
 - ii. Each application must be considered by the Director of Studies for the course on its merits. The following will not normally be acceptable as grounds for permitting extension of registration:
 - issues more properly addressed by means of suspension of studies.
 - lack of progress/attendance on the part of the student.
- d) The maximum period for completion of study and assessment for courses not regulated by PGTAR or NFAAR-PGT is normally the length of the course specified in the course specification.
- e) Any individual pattern of study and/or assessment considered for approval by a Board of Studies must fall within the provisions of this regulation.
- f) A Board of Examiners for Courses considering a student's eligibility for a final award may determine that an award from a Designated Alternative Course be made without consideration of the maximum period specified for that course.
- g) A Board of Studies may make a recommendation to Senate to agree specific arrangements for any student who might be deemed, for good reason, to be unable to meet the terms of the regulation.

159 Suspension of Studies (Postgraduate Taught Courses ONLY)

- a) A student on a postgraduate taught course may apply to suspend their studies for a period of up to 12 months.
- b) Each application must be considered by the Director of Studies for the course on its merits and will not normally be granted for reasons other than the following:
 - i. A serious or incapacitating injury, illness, or medical condition (or a sudden,

- marked deterioration in an on-going or longer-term condition), or an emergency operation.
- ii. Significant disruption of personal life including maternity, paternity, childbirth and, in the case of part-time students only, pressure from other business/employment.
 - iii. Election as a Students' Union Officer.
 - iv. To attend a work placement or internship opportunity.
- c) Appropriate corroborating evidence (such as a medical certificate) will normally be required in support of requests for suspension.
 - d) Approval will not normally be given for retrospective requests for suspension.
 - e) Approval will not normally be given for periods of suspension totalling more than 12 months during a candidate's total period of registration.
 - f) No fees shall be charged during a period of approved suspension of studies, neither, where applicable, will stipend/maintenance payments be paid.

15.10 Constraints on publication of work (Postgraduate Taught Courses ONLY)

- a) Any constraint on publication of a dissertation/project must be approved by the relevant Board of Studies.
- b) If constraint relates to a period of confidentiality longer than three years, the Board of Studies decision must be reported to Senate.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 30 August 2024

16. DOCTORAL AND RESEARCH AWARDS OF THE UNIVERSITY

General

16.1 Doctoral and Research Awards of the University

The University makes the following doctoral and research awards:

16.1.1 Level 8 Doctoral Awards:

Doctor of Business Administration (DBA)

Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)

Doctor of Education (EdD)

Doctor of Engineering (EngD) (in teach-out)

Doctor of Health (DHealth)

Doctor of Medicine (MD)

Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)

Doctor of Policy Research and Practice (DPRP)

Master of Surgery (MS)

Higher Doctorates:

Doctor of Letters (DLitt)

Doctor of Science (DSc)

16.1.2 Level 7 Research Awards:

Master of Philosophy (MPhil)

16.1.3 The University offers Integrated Doctor of Philosophy (IPhD) programmes, which may include the award of a postgraduate taught degree in addition to the award of the PhD. Where this is the case, the taught award will normally be awarded upon completion or exit from the programme, although it may be confirmed by the relevant Board of Studies at the end of the taught phase.

16.1.4 Where an integrated PhD is offered as part of a Doctoral Training Entity (DTE), including Centres for Doctoral Training (CDTs) and Doctoral Training Partnerships (DTPs), the taught award may be awarded by another institution if the DTE is delivered in partnership with another degree awarding bodies.

Admissions Requirements, Minimum/Maximum Periods of Registration

16.2 Accuracy of information

16.2.1 Applicants must provide full and accurate information in relation to all the qualifications and personal information contained on a University application form. The University may withdraw the application of applicants failing to do this.

16.2.2 Applicants must accurately inform the University of any relevant criminal convictions as directed during the application process and comply with the University's requirements in relation to the further scrutiny of any criminal convictions and complete the application

process for any checks and/or Disclosures required by the University from the Disclosure and Barring Service.

16.3 English language requirements

- 16.3.1 No applicant shall be admitted to a programme leading to a doctoral degree in the University, unless they have provided the University with satisfactory evidence of their standard of English language ability.
- 16.3.2 Applicants will normally be expected to evidence their standard of English language ability through a recognised test. The minimum threshold for admission will be 6.5 IELTS (with a minimum of 6.0 in each component) or equivalent.
- 16.3.3 Departments and Schools may stipulate higher English language requirements for individual doctoral programmes. Full information on English language entry requirements as well as recognised tests will be published on the University website.
- 16.3.4 Applicants who have been awarded a Bachelor's or higher degree (or equivalent) from a recognised higher education institution in which English is the medium of instruction will normally be deemed to have satisfied these minimum requirements provided they have not subsequently spent a significant period of time away from an English-speaking environment, normally no more than two years.¹
- 16.3.5 Applicants attending and achieving appropriate outcomes on a pre-sessional English language programme at the University of Bath will be deemed to have satisfied these minimum requirements.

16.4 Qualifications and additional requirements

- 16.4.1 No applicant shall be admitted to a programme leading to a doctoral degree of the University unless
 - a. they hold a first or upper second-class honours degree (or equivalent) from a recognised higher education institution²;
 - b. they fulfil any additional admission requirements, including relevant professional experience, as detailed in the programme specifications for Professional Doctorates and IPHds (including those developed for DTEs);
 - c. they fulfil any other entry requirements specified by individual Departments/Schools for each doctoral programme;
 - d. they fulfil all relevant legal requirements, including but not limited to obtaining an Academic Technology Approval Scheme (ATAS) certificate if required.
- 16.4.2 Where an applicant does not hold a first or upper second-class honours degree, but holds a Master's degree from a recognised higher education institution, they may be eligible for admission to a doctoral degree of the University.
- 16.4.3 The full list of entry requirements for each doctoral degree will be published on the University website.

¹ For a small number of countries, this period may be three to five years. Advice should be sought from the Doctoral College Admissions team or the University's Student Immigration Service.

² Recognised higher education institutions will be those recognised by ECCTIS (ecctis.com), operating recognition services on behalf of the UK Government

16.4.4 The Board of Studies (Doctoral) may, upon recommendation of the Director of Studies, admit an applicant who does not meet all programme entry requirements, provided the subject of the research enquiry and the fitness of the applicant to undertake it are satisfactory.

16.5 Admission of Members of Staff (Method A)

16.5.1 The Board of Studies (Doctoral) may admit a member of staff (as defined below) as a student on one of the degrees listed below, provided that the staff applicant is a graduate of a recognised University or holds another approved qualification:

- Doctor of Business Administration
- Doctor of Education
- Doctor of Health
- Doctor of Philosophy
- Doctor of Policy Research and Practice

16.5.2 Such a staff applicant may in exceptional cases apply to the Board of Studies for a reduction in the minimum period of registration by six months. A reduction of more than six months will require Senate approval.

16.5.3 A staff applicant admitted for a doctoral degree under this provision shall be otherwise bound by the regulations applying to the degree for which they are registered.

16.5.4 For the purposes of staff applications for postgraduate and doctoral qualifications the following are defined as members of staff:

- a. at the discretion of the Director of Student Recruitment and Admissions, members of the staff of the University of Bath who are employed by the University on at least a half-time basis;
- b. at the discretion of the Director of Student Recruitment and Admissions, members of staff of partner organisations associated with the University of Bath who teach on a programme leading to a University of Bath award.

16.6 Minimum and Maximum Periods of Registration

16.6.1 The minimum and maximum periods of registration for each doctoral degree are detailed in the table below.

16.6.2 Students must complete the minimum period of registration before submitting the final thesis/ portfolio for examination, unless otherwise specified in the programme specification.

16.6.3 Students are expected to make satisfactory progress towards a thesis submission deadline before reaching their maximum period of registration. Part time students are expected to make progress at an adjusted pace.

| Programme/Degree | Minimum Period of Registration | Maximum Period of Registration |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Doctor of Business Administration (DBA) | | |
| Part-time | 36 calendar months | 96 calendar months |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy) | | |
| Full-time | 36 calendar months | 48 calendar months |
| Doctor of Education (EdD) | | |
| Part-time | 36 calendar months | 96 calendar months |
| Doctor of Engineering (EngD) | | |
| | 24 calendar months | 60 calendar months |
| Doctor of Health (DHealth) | | |
| Part-time | 36 calendar months | 96 calendar months |
| Doctor of Medicine (MD) Master of Surgery (MS) | | |
| | 6 calendar months | 60 calendar months from confirmation of candidature |
| Doctor of Philosophy | 16.6.4 | |
| Full-time | 24 calendar months | 48 calendar months |
| Part-time | 48 calendar months | 96 calendar months |
| Doctor of Policy Research and Practice (DPRP) | | |
| Part-time | 48 calendar months | 96 calendar months |
| Integrated PhD (with additional taught award) | | |
| Full time | Normally 36 months (combining the minimum for taught award (12 months) and the minimum for the PhD (24 months)) | Maximum for taught programme (as specified in programme specification or applicable regulations) plus 48 months for the PhD |
| Part-time | As detailed in the programme specification | As detailed in the programme specification |
| Integrated PhD (without taught award) | | |
| Full-time | Dependent on programme design but not less than 24 months | Dependent on programme design but not less than 48 calendar months |
| Part-time | Dependent on programme design but not less than 48 calendar months | Dependent on programme design but not less than 96 calendar months |
| MPhil | | |
| Full-time | 12 calendar months | 36 calendar months |
| Part-time | 24 calendar months | 72 calendar months |

16.6.5 When a doctoral student transfers into the University to continue work started in another institution, the Board of Studies (Doctoral) may agree to reduce the minimum period of registration to take into account previous study. In such cases, the minimum period of registration will be no fewer than 12-months (full-time) or 24 months (part-time) and the maximum remaining study period will be adjusted accordingly. Any progression milestones to be completed on the new programme will be specified.

Registration and Study

16.7 Programme of Study

16.7.1 The programmes of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) and Doctor of Philosophy (PhD) consist of supervised research leading to the submission of a thesis.

16.7.2 Professional Doctorates consist of a programme of taught units as set out in the programme specification for each degree, as well as supervised research leading to the submission of a thesis or portfolio. The Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy) programme also includes clinical placements.

16.7.3 Integrated PhDs consist of a programme of taught units as set out in the programme specification, normally equivalent in academic credit to a Master's degree, as well as supervised research leading to the submission of a thesis. The programme of taught units may lead to a taught postgraduate award and will be subject to all regulations applicable to taught postgraduate study at the University.

16.7.4 Doctoral programmes delivered as part of a Doctoral Training Entity (DTE) consist of taught units and mandatory skills training as set out in the programme specification, as well as supervised research leading to the submission of a thesis. The programme of taught units may lead to a taught postgraduate award, which may be awarded by another degree awarding body.

16.7.5 The Doctor of Medicine (MD) and Master of Surgery (MS) programmes consists of a period of supervised writing whilst a portfolio of prior work or a thesis is prepared for examination.

16.8 Programme of Research and Professional Development

16.8.1 At the start of a programme, or at the transition to the research phase, the doctoral student and the lead supervisor discuss and agree the research topic and thesis/portfolio title, the supervisory arrangements, the programme of work, and any professional development or training needs.

16.8.2 These details will be confirmed at approval of candidature.

16.8.3 In the case of the Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy) programme, the research project details are developed as detailed in the Programme Specification.

16.8.4 Registration as a student for a doctoral degree in itself does not imply approval of a proposed programme of research.

16.8.5 Students must secure appropriate ethical approvals for their research project in line with section 16.10 (Research Integrity) below.

- 16.8.6 A student who wishes to change their registered research topic must seek permission of the Board of Studies (Doctoral). The suitability of the proposed new programme of research, and the availability of supervisors will be considered anew and if directed by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) it may be necessary to request to re-register for the degree.
- 16.8.7 Doctoral students are expected to engage in professional development activities alongside their studies, to participate in ten skills development activities per year (pro rata for part-time students) and to maintain a skills training record.

16.9 Academic Integrity

- 16.9.1 All doctoral students are required to undertake mandatory academic integrity training and pass a test of understanding within a defined period. Where students have completed the test as part of previous study at the University, they will be required to repeat it as part of their doctoral degree.
- 16.9.2 PhD students should complete the training and test before submitting their request for approval of candidature.
- 16.9.3 Students on Professional Doctorate programmes, students on integrated PhDs or students on a DTE programme which includes taught elements should complete the training and test as soon as possible before submitting their first assessment on a taught unit. They must complete the training and test before progression from the taught to the research phase.
- 16.9.4 Doctoral students who fail to pass the test will not be permitted to progress to the next stage of their programme of study or research or to receive an award.

16.10 Research Integrity

- 16.10.1 Doctoral students are expected to comply with the Code of Good Practice in Research Integrity in addition to meeting the requirements for academic integrity.
- 16.10.2 Doctoral students who registered on a doctoral degree on or after 1 August 2016 are required, by a suitable progression point in their programme, to have:
- a. completed the University's online course on research integrity;
 - b. completed a data management plan;
 - c. secured appropriate ethical approvals for their research project.
- 16.10.3 A suitable progression point will be dependent on the programme and mode of study but will normally be 12 months (24 months for part-time students) after the start of the research phase of their programme. This point will therefore be:
- a. at Confirmation of candidature where this is a requirement of the programme, or
 - b. as defined within the Programme Specification, where Confirmation does not apply, or
 - c. if neither of the preceding provisions is applicable, as determined by the student's lead supervisor.

16.11 Supervision

16.11.1 The Board of Studies (Doctoral) shall assign a team of supervisors to each doctoral student at approval of candidature or at the point identified in the programme specification.

16.11.12 The team

- a. will normally consist of at least two University of Bath based supervisors of appropriate academic standing (which may include research staff and visiting professors/fellows), one of whom will be designated lead supervisor
- b. must include at least one supervisor currently engaged in research in the relevant discipline(s) to ensure the direction of the project and monitoring of progress is informed by relevant subject knowledge and research developments
- c. must include at least one member who has experience of supervising doctoral students to successful completion
- d. will include an additional (external) supervisor in the case of industrially/externally-based doctoral students, as well as students on the DHealth, MS or MD programmes (normally a clinician).
- e. may include an additional practice-based or subject-expert external supervisor for professional doctorate students, distance learning students, and other doctoral students where necessary e.g. undertaking work outside the University, pursuing research which involves collaboration with an external body, or part of a Doctoral Training Entity.
- f. May by exception include an emeritus professor or honorary professor/ reader / lecturer as an additional (i.e. 3rd) supervisor on an annual basis.
- g. Must include at least one member of university academic staff who holds a doctorate

16.11.3 The lead supervisor

- a. will report on the work and progress of the student as and when required by the Board of Studies.
- b. must have appropriately detailed knowledge in the doctoral student's area of research and must normally be a member of the University's academic staff.
- c. may by exception be a visiting professor or visiting fellow, provided that the Department/ School can demonstrate that they are properly trained and supported, and all other supervisory roles are fulfilled.
- d. must be able to make available to the doctoral student sufficient time and resource (including having access to appropriate equipment) to support the student's work.
- e. must not assume responsibility for an inappropriately large number of students; Heads of Department/School are responsible for ensuring supervisory loads are reasonable.

- f. may be employed on a part-time basis by the University, if other members of the supervisory team are available to offer the required support to the doctoral student.
 - g. may still be under probation, if another member of the supervisory team is a member of University academic staff who is not under probation.
- 16.11.4 In the case of the Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy) programme the supervisory team will be as specified in the programme specification.
- 16.11.5 Members of the supervisory team are expected to declare any potential conflicts of interest, for example personal or financial relationships with the doctoral student or another member of the supervisory team, at the earliest possible opportunity.
- 16.11.6 If a student or a member of the supervisory team has concerns that there may be a conflict of interest, it is their responsibility to inform the Director of Studies, Head of Department/School or Dean of the existence of that conflict.

16.12 Progress Monitoring

- 16.12.1 A formal report on the student's progress must be completed by the student and the lead supervisor and submitted to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) six months after the date of first registration (or six months after the date of commencement of the research phase of the programme).
- 16.12.2 For students studying as part of a Doctoral Training Entity (DTE), progress monitoring should include monitoring of completion of any taught elements of the programme where these are not delivered as part of a separate taught phase.
- 16.12.3 Where the report contributors express different opinions, or the Board of Studies (Doctoral) has concerns about progress, the case should be referred to the Director of Studies for resolution.
- 16.12.4 Subsequent reviews of progress will then normally take place every six months.

16.13 Holiday Entitlement

- 16.13.1 Doctoral students may take 25 working days' leave (pro rata for part-time students) in any 12-month period, in addition to the public holidays and discretionary days on which the University is closed.
- 16.13.2 The scheduling of periods of leave must be negotiated with the lead supervisor.
- 16.13.3 Students on doctoral programmes that include a formally assessed taught element should not take leave during residentials or other periods where core material is being delivered.

16.14 Attendance Requirements

- 16.14.1 The attendance requirements for doctoral students will be specified:
- a) at approval of candidature where this is a requirement of the programme, or
 - b) as defined within the Programme Specification, where candidature does not apply,
- or

- c) if neither of the preceding provisions is applicable, as determined by the student's lead supervisor.

16.14.2 Attendance requirements may include attendance at residentials, mandatory placements, or supervisory meetings.

16.14.3 Where required, attendance arrangements will be agreed in line with UKVI requirements for visa holders.

16.15 Changes in Academic Details

16.15.1 Any changes proposed to the academic details of the registration are subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral). 'Academic details' include the following:

- A change of mode of study from full-time to part-time or vice versa
- Transfer from one programme of study to another
- Any change of supervisory arrangements
- A change of thesis/portfolio title
- Transfer to submission pending status
- Suspension of registration
- Extension of registration
- Termination of registration

16.16 Change of Mode of Study from full-time to part-time or vice versa

16.16.1 A student's mode of study will be either full-time or part-time (0.5 FTE) and will be specified at candidature for PhD and integrated PhD students and be in accordance with the programme specification for professional doctorates.

16.16.2 Students can change their mode of study, subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral). The scope for such changes of mode of study will be outlined in the programme specification for doctoral degrees with taught elements. Changing to part-time attendance (or vice versa) will affect the registration period, and the Board of Studies will specify both the minimum period of study required and the maximum remaining registration time.

16.16.3 Students will normally be permitted to seek a change from full-time to part-time registration or vice-versa on only one occasion during their registration, and such a change will not normally be permitted during the final six months of registration of the normal maximum registration period of any degree programme.

16.16.4 Students will not be permitted to change from full time to part time registration for health reasons unless medical evidence indicates that part-time study is feasible.

16.17 Transfer between Programmes

- 16.17.1 If a student wishes to transfer registration from one doctoral programme to another, they must first apply to study the new programme through the standard admissions process. Provided they meet the specific entry requirements, and the Directors of Studies for both programmes support the change, the transfer may be requested from the Board of Studies (Doctoral).
- 16.17.2 The Board of Studies (Doctoral) will consider progress at the time of the transfer request and determine the minimum/maximum remaining study period and any progression milestones to be completed on the new programme.
- 16.17.3 The student will then be withdrawn from the original programme and an exit award for any completed taught units may be considered.

16.18 Change of supervisory arrangements

- 16.18.1 Every doctoral student will be assigned to a supervisory team by the Board of Studies (Doctoral), and any change to the supervisory arrangements is subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral).
- 16.18.2 When an original supervisor leaves the employ of the University or is on leave of absence or study leave, the Board of Studies (Doctoral) will appoint a replacement supervisor from nominees put forward by the Director of Studies.

16.19 Change of thesis/portfolio title

- 16.19.1 Any substantive changes to the thesis/portfolio title are subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral), where in addition to academic considerations, any funder, VISA or ATAS implications of the proposed change can be assessed. A significant change in thesis/portfolio title may require re-registration and new approval of candidature.

16.20 Transfer to Submission Pending Status³

- 16.20.1 After completing the minimum registration period for their programme, doctoral students who are in the final stages of preparing their thesis for submission may request the Board of Studies (Doctoral) to adjust their registration status to 'submission pending', subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral). In determining whether the status should be adjusted, the Board of Studies will consider a number of factors, including but not limited to:
- Whether any active research is complete
 - Whether data collection is complete (incl. use of laboratories)
 - Whether the student's workplan supports their case that they are in the final stages of preparing for submission of their thesis/portfolio
- 16.20.2 In recognition that they no longer require access to university facilities at former levels, these students will be charged a reduced tuition fee. Students will need to submit a workplan to accompany their request, including a detailed timeline to

³ previously known as 'Writing-Up with Continuation' fee

submission, which will need to be supported by both supervisor and Director of Studies (DoS) to provide assurance that the application is appropriate and timescale realistic.

16.20.3 Students granted ‘submission-pending’ status will continue to receive supervision and submit progress reports, retain access to Library resources, remain registered, and their university email remains active.

16.20.4 In exceptional circumstances, during AY2024/25 only the Board of Studies (Doctoral) may consider requests to transfer to ‘writing up (administration)’ status from students who can make a satisfactory case that they no longer require supervision nor access to University facilities, except their email account. Because of the lack of supervisory contact and progress reporting, this status is not suitable to visa holders who wish to remain in the UK under the University’s sponsorship.

16.20.5 After 1 September 2025, ‘writing up (administration)’ status will no longer be offered.

16.21 Suspension of Registration

16.21.1 A student may request to suspend their studies for a specified period if circumstances temporarily prevent them from continuing with their programme of study. This will be subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) and will constitute a suspension of the student’s registration with the University.

16.21.2 The Board of Studies (Doctoral) will normally only grant a suspension of registration where a student’s ability to study has been interrupted by:

- ill health: Appropriate corroborating evidence (such as a medical certificate) will normally be required in support of such requests for suspension
- significant disruption of personal life
- pressure from other business/employment (part-time students only)

16.21.3 Suspension of registration will not normally be approved for more than 12 months in total and will not normally be approved retrospectively. Equally, suspension of registration will not normally be approved in cases where an extension of registration is more appropriate.

16.21.4 No fees shall be charged during a period of approved suspension of studies, and neither (where applicable) will stipend/maintenance payments be paid. It is expected that active study and fee-paying status will resume at the end of the agreed suspension period.

16.21.5 The effect of a suspension of registration will be to postpone the earliest date for the submission of the research thesis/portfolio and the expiry date of the registration by the length of the agreed suspension period.

16.21.6 Additional periods of suspension which do not count towards the 12-month limit may be requested in the following circumstances:

- Parental leave, in line with the relevant University policy
- To attend a work placement or internship for career development purposes (normally no more than 6 months duration)

- Election as a Students' Union Officer.

16.22 Extension of Registration

16.22.1 If a student is unable to submit on time due to unforeseeable circumstances, they may request an extension of registration, subject to approval by the Board of Studies (Doctoral). Unforeseeable circumstances may include, but are not limited to:

- significant data loss
- unforeseeable difficulties with data gathering
- difficulties in conducting fieldwork
- equipment failure

16.22.2 The following will not normally be acceptable as grounds for permitting an extension of registration:

- Conduct of research, such as fieldwork, away from the University
- To prepare research papers for publication
- Any decision to change the format of the thesis
- Lack of progress or attendance on the part of the student, especially where this is due to circumstances which would be more appropriately addressed via a suspension of studies or change of mode of studies to part-time.

16.22.3 Students and supervisors are expected to plan and manage research projects to completion within the maximum period of registration for the programme. Requests for extension received later than 3 months prior to the expiry of registration will not normally be approved.

16.22.4 Full-time students may extend their registration up to a maximum of 12 months in total. Part-time students may extend their registration up to a maximum of 24 months in total.

16.22.5 Extension requests may be approved in periods of up to 12 months at one time. Part-time students requesting extensions beyond 12 months will be asked to provide an update on their workplan before approval of additional time can be granted.

16.22.6 Extensions should be of the shortest duration necessary to complete the work before submission and should be supported with a workplan to completion. More than one extension request may be submitted if further unavoidable delays to the workplan are encountered, providing the periods of extension do not exceed a total of 12 months.

16.23 Re-Registration

16.23.1 Where a student requires additional time, this should be addressed initially through the provisions for extension of registration.

16.23.2 Doctoral students may only apply to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) to re-register on a doctoral programme on the grounds outlined below. Any re-registration requests must have the support of the supervisory team and include a workplan to completion.

16.23.3 A doctoral student who wishes to change their registered research topic, if directed to do so by the Board of Studies (Doctoral), must seek permission to re-register for the degree. The Board of Studies (Doctoral) will consider previous periods of study and progress at the time of the request and specify the minimum period of study required

on the new registration, the maximum remaining period of registration, and any progression milestones to be completed.

- 16.23.4 Where a doctoral student has reached the maximum registration time on their programme or any permitted extension of that registration period, requests to re-register for the sole purpose of submitting their thesis/portfolio may be granted by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) for a maximum of two months.
- 16.23.5 A doctoral student whose registration has lapsed through non-payment of fees, must seek permission from the Board of Studies (Doctoral) if they wish to re-register for the degree. The Board of Studies will determine the maximum remaining period of registration.
- 16.23.6 Requests to re-register received later than three months prior to the expiry of registration will only be approved in exceptional circumstances.
- 15.23.7 During the academic year 2024/25, the Board of Studies (Doctoral) may consider request to re-register on other grounds than those mentioned above, but only in exceptional circumstances.

16.24 Termination of Registration

- 16.24.1 Regulations 2 and 3 set out the University's requirements regarding the timely payment of tuition fees, and of attendance and progress, and the provisions under which registration may be terminated if these are deemed unsatisfactory.
- 16.24.2 In addition, a doctoral student's registration may be terminated by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) on one or more of the following grounds:
- A student requests to withdraw from the programme.
 - A student's failure to make progress considered by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) to be satisfactory, where the cause of the failure is beyond the University's control.
 - A PhD student's failure to pass confirmation or to achieve a standard of work considered by the Progression Board of Examiners to be sufficient to enable them to continue with an MPhil registration.
 - A student's failure to meet the requirements of the taught phase or to meet the requirement to progress to the research phase of a professional doctorate or integrated PhD programme.

Assessment, Examination and Awards

16.25 Confirmation of PhD candidature

- 16.25.1 Doctoral students on the following programmes are required to undergo confirmation of their candidature:
- a. Doctor of Philosophy (PhD)
 - b. Integrated PhDs and PhDs delivered as part of a Doctoral Training Entity (DTE)
- 16.25.2 The Progression Board of Examiners must comprise at least two internal examiners appointed by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) from the academic staff of the University.

- 16.25.3 The supervisor or supervisors of doctoral students will not be members of the Progression Board of Examiners. They should, however, be available for consultation with the examiners at the time of the confirmation examination.
- 16.25.4 A non-examining independent chairperson may be appointed to attend the confirmation. The independent chairperson should not be involved in the supervision of the doctoral student nor have any other specific interest in the outcome of the decision. The independent chairperson will be experienced in examining at the University of Bath and will therefore be familiar with the Quality Assurance Code of Practice and Policies of the University. The role of the independent chairperson is to assist in ensuring that the examination is fair and conducted in accordance with the University's Regulations. They are not required to be a subject specialist, nor to have read the confirmation report under examination.
- 16.25.5 An independent chairperson will be appointed whenever a member of the Progression Board of Examiners is undertaking their first examining role at the University of Bath, or at other times when the Director of Studies or Board of Studies (Doctoral) considers that the presence of an experienced academic would be of assistance.
- 16.25.6 To be confirmed as a candidate for one of these doctoral degrees a doctoral student must
- a. submit a satisfactory report on their research to date
 - b. pass an oral examination conducted by a Progression Board of Examiners
 - c. have completed relevant skills training in line with that specified during candidature approval or within their Doctoral Training Entity (DTE) programme
 - d. and have been the subject of a satisfactory progress report by their supervisor.
- 16.25.7 For PhD students, confirmation should normally take place after 12 months study for full-time students and no later than 24 months for part-time students.
- 16.25.8 For students on Integrated PhD programmes and PhD programmes delivered as part of a Doctoral Training Entity (DTE) which contain taught elements, these timescales will apply from the beginning of the research phase.
- 16.25.9 Students are permitted a maximum of two attempts to seek confirmation of their PhD status. The deadline for their first attempt must be specified as part of their candidature approval. Failure to submit work by this deadline will normally be deemed as failure of the first attempt. A deadline for the second attempt must be set by the Progression Board of Examiners and will normally be within six months for full-time students or twelve months for part-time students. Failure to submit work by the second deadline will normally be deemed as failure of the second attempt.
- 16.25.10 The Progression Board of Examiners may recommend to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) that:
- a. the student's registration for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy be confirmed; or
 - b. the student be permitted to make a second submission for confirmation within a timescale to be determined by the Progression Board of Examiners; or

- c. the student's registration be transferred to the Degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil); or
- d. the student, having failed to achieve the standard required for continuation as a candidate for the degree of Master of Philosophy, be required to withdraw.

16.25.11 Recommendations (c) and (d) must only be made either:

- i. following a student's first attempt for confirmation where no second attempt is permitted because the academic judgement of the Progression Board of Examiners is that the student has failed to demonstrate sufficient potential to achieve the required standard at a second attempt; or
- ii. following a student's second attempt for confirmation.

16.25.12 Students on Integrated PhD programmes who fail Confirmation (outcome d) may be referred to the relevant Board of Examiners for Courses for consideration of a potential taught exit award as detailed in the programme specification.

16.26 Presentation and Submission of the Thesis/Portfolio

16.26.1 The thesis/portfolio for a doctoral degree of the University must be the result of work done mainly whilst the student was registered for the degree at this University. Students must sign a certificate to confirm this when presenting the thesis/portfolio.

16.26.2 This does not apply to students on the EdD who submit a portfolio of four papers which have recently been published or accepted for publication in accredited refereed national or international journals and which embody the results of sustained personal research in the field of Education. In such cases, the papers submitted need not have been produced whilst the student has been registered at the University.

16.26.3 Students must submit a thesis or portfolio prior to the end of their maximum period of registration as detailed below:

| | |
|-----------|---|
| MPhil | Thesis |
| PhD | Thesis |
| DHealth | Thesis |
| DPRP | Thesis |
| DBA | Thesis |
| EdD | Thesis or Portfolio of published papers |
| DClinPsy | Portfolio of research and practice-based learning |
| EngD | Thesis or Portfolio |
| MS/MD | Thesis or published work |
| DSc/DLitt | New or published work |

- 16.26.4 Theses/portfolios may be submitted as traditional monographs or include academic papers in accordance with the alternative format or portfolio with papers format specified in the Specification for Higher Degree Theses and Portfolios⁴.
- 16.26.5 The thesis/portfolio must be written in English, unless approval has been given by the Faculty Board of Studies to a student in the Department of Politics, Languages, and International Studies for the thesis to be written in another language. In such cases, a summary of the thesis must be provided in English.
- 16.26.6 Where the thesis/portfolio or any part of the thesis/portfolio such as a published paper, has been produced by a student jointly with others, the thesis/portfolio shall indicate that a substantial part is the original work of the student.
- 16.26.7 Where the thesis incorporates material already submitted for another degree, the thesis/portfolio shall indicate the extent of that material and the degree, if any, obtained.
- 16.26.8 Students should give two months' notice of their intention to submit their thesis/portfolio to the Doctoral College and include the full title of the thesis/portfolio.
- 16.26.9 Students must submit an electronic copy of their thesis/portfolio to the Doctoral College and should keep an identical copy for their reference before and during the examination process.
- 16.26.10 All thesis/portfolio submissions will undergo electronic plagiarism checks.
- 16.26.11 Following a successful examination, the Board of Studies (Doctoral) must receive confirmation from the Board of Examiners that any corrections or revisions have satisfactorily been completed, and confirmation from the student that an electronic copy of the final version of the thesis/portfolio has been uploaded to the University's Research Information System (Pure) before making an award.
- 16.26.12 Access to a thesis/portfolio will be unrestricted unless the Board of Studies (Doctoral) has approved a temporary restriction. If the proposed restriction is for longer than three years, the Board of Studies' decision must be reported to Senate.

16.27 Boards of Examiners

- 16.27.1 A Board of Examiners will be appointed for each student once the student has indicated their intention to submit a thesis/portfolio.
- 16.27.2 Boards of Examiners for doctoral degrees of the University must comprise at least one internal examiner and one external examiner. In exceptional cases where no suitable internal examiner is available, a second external examiner will be appointed.
- 16.27.3 Where a student on the Doctor of Education (EdD) programme submits a portfolio of published papers in place of a thesis, the Board of Examiners must always include two external examiners, at least one of whom must be a recognised authority in the field of the student's work.
- 16.27.4 For the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), all examiners must be Health & Care Professions Council (HCPC) registered Practitioner Clinical Psychologists. For the viva voce examination, the external examiners may be joined by specialist examiners for specific research topics who must also be HCP registered.

⁴ Annex 6 of Quality Assurance Code of Practice Statement QA7 Research Degrees

- 16.27.5 The supervisor(s) of doctoral students must not be members of the Board of Examiners. They should, however, be available for consultation with the examiners at the time of the viva voce examination.
- 16.27.6 The Director of Studies is responsible for recommending internal and external examiners to be approved by the Board of Studies (Doctoral). The final thesis title must be confirmed when seeking approval for the appointment of the Board of Examiners.

Appointment Criteria

- 16.27.7 Internal examiners are appointed by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) from the Academic staff of the university.
- 16.27.8 To be appointed to the Board of Examiners, each examiner should normally fulfil at least two of the following criteria. Together they must fulfil all three. Examiners should:
- a. hold the degree for which they are examining or equivalent
 - b. have recent experience of successfully supervising doctoral students to graduation
 - c. have recent experience of examining doctoral students in the relevant subject area.
- 16.27.9 Appointments for external examiners cannot be made in the following circumstances:
- a. if the proposed examiner has been retired for more than three years
 - b. if the proposed examiner has worked or studied at the University of Bath in the five years before being appointed as an Examiner;
 - c. if the proposed examiner has any existing, or prior, connection with the University or doctoral student that would call into question their ability to exercise objective, impartial and independent judgements.
- 16.27.10 In exceptional circumstances, the Board of Studies may appoint an external examiner who does not meet the relevant appointment criteria, provided it has received sufficient evidence to demonstrate the nominee's suitability for the position.
- 16.27.11 A member of staff who has taken any part in supervising the doctoral student's research cannot later be appointed as an examiner.
- 16.27.12 A non-examining independent chairperson may be appointed to attend the final viva voce examination. The independent chairperson should not be involved in the supervision of the doctoral student nor have any other specific interest in the outcome of the decision. The independent chairperson will be experienced in examining at the University of Bath and will therefore be familiar with the Quality Assurance Code of Practice and Policies of the University. The role of the independent chairperson is to assist in ensuring that the examination is fair and conducted in accordance with the University's Regulations. They are not required to be a subject specialist, nor to have read the thesis/portfolio under examination.
- 16.27.13 An independent chairperson will be appointed whenever an internal examiner is undertaking their first examining role at the University of Bath, or at other times when the Director of Studies or Board of Studies (Doctoral) considers that the presence of an experienced academic would be of assistance.

16.28 Viva Voce Examination

- 16.28.1 The Board of Examiners is responsible for examining the thesis/portfolio and for conducting a viva voce examination. The Board of Examiners then makes recommendations to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) on the outcome of the examination.
- 16.28.2 Prior to the viva voce examination, a pre-viva report on the thesis/portfolio must be submitted by each examiner independently. After the examination, a report must be submitted jointly by the examiners to the Board of Studies (Doctoral).
- 16.28.3 If the Board of Examiners cannot agree on an outcome, the Board of Examiners must report this disagreement to the Board of Studies who may recommend to Senate that a new Board of Examiners be appointed, without prejudice to the candidate.
- 16.28.4 For the degree of Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), the Board of Examiner recommendations as detailed below will be conditional on candidates completing all outstanding taught units following the viva voce.
- 16.28.5 The following viva voce outcomes can be recommended by the Board of Examiners:
- a) Pass
 - b) Pass with minor corrections (3 months)
 - c) Pass with major corrections (6 months)
 - d) Fail viva but pass thesis/portfolio element (possibly with minor or major corrections), and require repeat of the viva voce for overall pass
 - e) Fail with permission to resubmit thesis/portfolio (within 12 months). A second viva voce may be held at the discretion of the Board of Examiners.
 - f) Fail, but recommendation to award Master of Philosophy (MPhil) with minor or major corrections
 - g) Fail
- 16.28.6 For outcome (b) or (c) where the Board of Examiners recommends minor or major corrections to the thesis/portfolio, candidates must complete these to the satisfaction of one of the examiners (normally the internal examiner). Corrections must be completed within three or six months of the date of the Board of Studies meeting where the examiners' recommendations were approved.
- 16.28.7 The responsible examiner must confirm that they are satisfied with the corrections undertaken by the candidate before the degree can be awarded. If they are not, they may ask the candidate to undertake further work to bring the thesis/portfolio up to the required standard. This may require an extension to the time limit for corrections (up to four weeks). If, following such an extension, changes made are still not to the examiners' satisfaction, the examiner will inform the Board of Studies who may decide that the candidate has failed to reach the required standard, and will now be required to withdraw.
- 16.28.8 For outcome (d), Research Engineers on the Doctorate of Engineering (EngD) are normally required to undertake a second viva voce examination within six months of the first attempt.

- 16.28.9 For outcome (d) candidates on the DBA, DHealth, DPRP, EdD and IPhD programmes who fail their second attempt examination will be referred to the relevant Board of Examiners for Courses for consideration of potential taught exit awards.
- 16.28.10 Within outcome (e), the Board of Examiners have the option to offer the candidate the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) with minor corrections (3 months) as an alternative exit route rather than undertake longer revisions to the doctoral thesis. This option is not available for candidates on the Doctorate of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), the Doctorate of Engineering (EngD), the Master of Surgery (MS) or the Doctorate of Medicine (MD).
- 16.28.11 Similarly, outcome (f) is not available for candidates on the Doctorate of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), the Master of Surgery (MS) or the Doctorate of Medicine (MD).
- 16.28.12 For outcome (g) candidates on the DBA, DHealth, DPRP, EdD and IPhD programmes who fail their viva voce will be referred to the relevant Board of Examiners for Courses for consideration of potential taught exit awards.
- 16.28.13 For outcome (e) where the Board of Examiners recommends resubmission of the thesis/portfolio, candidates must resubmit within 12 months of the date of the Board of Studies meeting where the examiners' recommendations were approved. Examiners should confirm whether a second viva should take place after they have received the resubmitted thesis/portfolio. If no second viva is recommended, both examiners will be responsible for re-examining the thesis/portfolio and providing a joint report on their recommendation to the Board of Studies.
- 16.28.14 Following resubmission, the Board of Examiners can recommend the following outcomes:
- a) Pass
 - b) Pass with minor corrections (3 months)
 - c) Fail, but recommendation to award Master of Philosophy (MPhil) with minor corrections (3 months)
 - d) Fail
- 16.28.15 Outcome (c) is not available for candidates on the Doctorate of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy), the Master of Surgery (MS) or the Doctorate of Medicine (MD).
- 16.28.16 If the Board of Examiners recommend no doctoral award, no further attempt to resubmit will be permitted.
- 16.28.17 Candidates for the Doctor of Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy) who have failed one of the clinical units on the programme, may not be awarded a doctoral award but may be awarded the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) subject to any minor or major corrections to the portfolio.

16.29 Award of Degrees

- 16.29.1 Candidates for the degrees of PhD, DBA, DClinPsy, DHealth, DPRP, EdD and EngD, will be awarded the relevant doctoral degree if they have:
- i. pursued a programme of study and/or research as prescribed for the relevant degree and have successfully completed all required taught units;

- ii. been confirmed under the provision of section 25 above (for candidates for PhDs and integrated PhDs);
- iii. presented a thesis/portfolio on their advanced study and research which satisfies the Board of Examiners as:
 - a. making an original and significant contribution to knowledge
 - b. giving evidence of originality of mind and critical judgement in a particular subject
 - c. containing material worthy of peer-reviewed publication
 - d. being satisfactory in its literary and/or technical presentation and structure with a full bibliography and references
 - e. demonstrating an understanding of the context of the research: this must include, as appropriate for the subject of the thesis, the scientific, engineering, professional, commercial and social contexts, and
- iv. passed a viva voce examination conducted by the Board of Examiners on the broader aspects of the field of research in addition to the subject of the thesis/portfolio. A viva voce examination is mandatory once a candidate has submitted a thesis/portfolio.

16.29.2 It is the candidate's responsibility to bring forward, at the earliest opportunity, details of any reasonable adjustments they may require to enable them to participate fully in a viva voce examination. The Disability Service provides advice about reasonable adjustments and will generate a Disability Action Plan to record them. The University is responsible for ensuring that appropriate facilities are made available in such circumstances.

16.29.3 Candidates on an integrated PhD programme or those studying as part of a Doctoral Training Entity (DTE) may be eligible for a taught award in addition to their doctoral degree as detailed in the programme specification for the individual degree. Such awards will be subject to the relevant assessment regulations for taught postgraduate provision, which may be those of another institution where DTE programmes are delivered in partnership with another degree awarding body.

16.29.4 Candidates for the degree of Master of Philosophy (MPhil) will be awarded this degree if they have:

- a. pursued a programme of supervised research;
- b. have satisfied the Board of Examiners by presenting a thesis evidencing originality of mind and critical judgement in a particular subject,

and, if required by the Board of Examiners,

- c. by passing a viva voce examination.

16.30 Reviews/Appeals

16.30.1 Regulation 17 sets out the policy and procedure for students who want to appeal against a decision made by a Board of Studies relating to their academic progression, the award of a degree or the classification of an award.

16.31 Provisions for the Degree of Doctor of Engineering

16.31.1 Applicable Regulations

Students registered on the degree of Doctor of Engineering before 1 September 2024 will be subject to the general regulations for doctoral degrees outlined in this regulation unless otherwise specified below.

16.31.2 Programme of Study

The Programme of Study for the degree of Doctor of Engineering shall be one of supervised study following an approved Scheme of Studies of taught units offered by universities participating in the programme, followed by submission of a thesis or portfolio of work as set out in the Scheme of Studies. Candidates shall be registered initially for the degree of Doctor of Engineering (EngD). However, depending upon the quantity and nature of the work already undertaken and subject both to the criteria set out in the Scheme of Studies and to the approval of the Board of Studies, Research Engineers may be permitted to transfer their registration to one of the qualifications of Postgraduate Certificate, Postgraduate Diploma, Master of Science or Master of Philosophy. The Board of Studies (Doctoral) shall specify the quantity and nature of any further work that is required to meet the requirements for the award of any of these qualifications.

16.31.3 Mid-term Review and Confirmation of EngD Registration

Where specified by the Scheme of Studies, candidature for the degree of Doctor of Engineering will only be confirmed after submission of a satisfactory major report of 8,000-10,000 words and successful completion of an oral examination conducted by a Progression Board of Examiners (established for this purpose by the Board of Studies (Doctoral)). The supervisors may attend the oral examination by invitation of the candidate or the panel (by permission of the candidate). The Director and/or Assistant Director of the Centre for Digital Entertainment reserve the right to attend such examinations.

Candidates shall be permitted to seek confirmation of the EngD status on a maximum of two occasions. No third attempt will be permitted. The first submission of the Mid-Term Review report must take place not more than twenty-four months after the Research Engineer's first registration for the degree of EngD. A candidate who fails to submit the work by the deadline will normally be deemed to have failed their first attempt. Where the candidate fails either to meet the deadline or to achieve the required standard for confirmation for EngD candidature, submission of work for the second attempt must take place on or before a further deadline specified by the Board of Examiners, normally within six months of the first attempt. A candidate who fails to submit the work by this deadline will normally be deemed to have failed their second attempt.

The Progression Board of Examiners may recommend to the Board of Studies that:

- a. the candidate's registration for the Degree of Doctor of Engineering be confirmed;
- or

- b. the candidate be permitted to make a second submission for confirmation within a timescale to be determined by the Progression Board of Examiners; or
- c. the candidate be awarded either a Postgraduate Diploma or the Degree of Master; or
- d. the candidate, having failed both to achieve the standard required for continuation on the programme and the standard required for the award of Postgraduate Diploma or the Degree of Master, be required to withdraw.

Regulation 17 sets out the procedures to be followed in cases where a review of a progression decision is required.

As an outcome of the Mid-term Review recommendations (c) and (d) shall only be made either:

- a. following a candidate's first attempt to seek confirmation of EngD registration where no second attempt is permitted because the academic judgement of the Progression Board of Examiners is that the candidate has failed to demonstrate sufficient potential to achieve the required standard at a second attempt; or
- b. following a candidate's second attempt.

16.31.4 Period of Study

The period of study for full-time industrially-based candidates shall be no less than twenty-four calendar months.

A full-time industrially-based candidate shall present for examination within sixty months of the date of registration for the degree. A candidate unable to do so may, before the end of the fifth year, seek an extension of registration for a period not exceeding twelve months and the Board of Studies (Doctoral) may grant such an extension on one occasion only.

16.31.5 Attendance Requirements

As specified in the Scheme of Studies

16.31.6 Supervision

Every candidate shall be assigned to a supervisory team containing at least one academic supervisor and one industrial supervisor. The academic supervisor shall be responsible for reporting on the work of the candidate as and when required by the Board of Studies.

16.32 Provisions for the Degrees of Doctor of Medicine and Master of Surgery

16.32.1 Applicable Regulations

Students registered on the degree of Doctor of Medicine (MD) or Master of Surgery (MS) will be subject to the general regulations for doctoral degrees outlined in this regulation unless otherwise specified below.

16.32.2 Admissions requirements

Graduates holding a qualification which is recognised for registration by the General Medical Council of the UK may be admitted as candidates for the degrees of Doctor of Medicine (MD) or Master of Surgery (MS) provided that they have satisfied the Board of Studies (Doctoral) as to their chosen subject of research and their fitness to undertake it and that they:

- a) hold the qualification recognised by the General Medical Council of the UK referred to above at the time of admission (candidates wishing to submit work in accordance with Option A below)
- b) have satisfied any other University requirements, including relevant English language requirements.

And that by the time they submit work for examination they

- a) will have held the qualification recognised by the General Medical Council of the UK referred to above for at least three years (candidates wishing to submit work in accordance with Option A below)
- b) will have been engaged for at least two years in appropriate clinical or scientific work in one or more hospitals or institutions approved by the Board of Studies and have completed the majority of the work for the degree during that employment.

16.32.3 Minimum and Maximum periods of registration

The nature of the work to be submitted determines the minimum registration period for this programme. Either

Option A. A candidate wishing to submit published work may give notice of this upon application and will be registered for at least six months.

or

Option B. A candidate wishing to submit a thesis may do so after a minimum of 12 months' supervised registration.

If a thesis or other submission is not submitted within five years of the approval of candidature, the candidature will normally lapse. A candidate unable to submit for examination may before the end of the fifth year seek an extension of registration for a period not exceeding twelve months and the Board of Studies may grant two such twelve-months extensions only.

16.32.4 Candidature

Applicants shall contact the Head of the appropriate Department, providing an outline of the proposed research (including the nature of the work to be submitted) and their qualifications. The Head of Department shall forward this to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) for its consideration of the chosen subject of research and the applicant's fitness to undertake it.

If the candidature and the proposed research are approved, the Board shall appoint supervisors (both internal and external as required), at least one of whom normally shall be a clinician.

16.32.5 Progression

The supervisors shall, in due course, report to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) to nominate examiners, confirming that the candidate has:

- a) completed the University's online course on research integrity,
- b) completed a data management plan,
- c) secured appropriate University of Bath ethical approvals for their research project,
- d) prepared a submission that is worthy of examination.

The candidate's name, qualifications and the nature of the work to be submitted shall be put before the Board of Studies (Doctoral) to confirm the details of the candidature at the time of submission, and a Board of Examiners will be appointed.

16.32.6 Board of Examiners

The Board of Studies (Doctoral) shall appoint a Board of Examiners to examine the work submitted and to conduct a viva voce examination.

The Board of Examiners shall consist of one internal and two external examiners, at least one of whom must be a recognised authority in the field of the candidate's work.

The Board of Examiners shall make a recommendation to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) as to whether a doctoral degree should be awarded.

16.32.7 Presentation And Submission of The Thesis or Other Work

The thesis or other work submitted for a doctorate in Medicine must be the result of work done mainly whilst the candidate was engaged in appropriate clinical or scientific work in one or more hospitals or institutions approved by the Board of Studies over a period of at least two years.

Candidates are expected to seek consultation with their supervisory team at an early date to plan the submission as this dictates the minimum registration on the programme before submission may be permitted. The work shall be submitted in electronic format to the Doctoral College in accordance with option A or B below. In either case the work shall be presented in accordance with the Specifications for Higher Degree Theses and Portfolios⁵.

A candidate for the degree of Doctor of Medicine or the degree of Master of Surgery may submit a substantial body of published work or works embodying the results of personal observations or research in some aspect of Medicine or Surgery. There should be an accompanying account of the candidate's role in initiating and carrying out the research which should normally be the result of sustained work in a single field to which it makes an original contribution (option A)

⁵ Annex 6 of Quality Assurance Code of Practice Statement QA7 Research Degrees

or

A candidate for the degree of Doctor of Medicine or the degree of Master of Surgery may submit a thesis embodying the results of work done mainly while the candidate was employed in appropriate clinical or scientific work in one or more of the hospitals or institutions as approved by the Board of Studies (Doctoral). A certificate to this effect shall be signed by the candidate when presenting the thesis. The certificate should indicate, where the thesis or other submission has been produced by a candidate jointly with others, that a substantial part is the original work of the candidate (option B).

16.32.8 Award of the Degrees of Doctor of Medicine or Master of Surgery

The degrees of Doctor of Medicine or Master of Surgery may be awarded by the Board of Studies (Doctoral) either if:

a) the candidate has submitted a thesis which satisfies the Board of Examiners as containing original work worthy of publication, having been supervised for a minimum of twelve months

or

b) the candidate has submitted published work.

And if

c) the candidate has passed a viva voce examination conducted by the Board of Examiners on the broader aspects of the field of research in addition to the subject of the submitted work. A viva voce examination is mandatory once a Doctor of Medicine or Master of Surgery candidate has submitted a thesis or other work as detailed above.

Regarding the submitted work, the Board of Examiners must be satisfied that the submitted work:

- i. makes an original and significant contribution to knowledge in a particular subject of Medicine or Surgery
- ii. gives evidence of originality of mind and critical judgement in a particular subject of Medicine or Surgery
- iii. contains material worthy of peer-reviewed publication
- iv. is satisfactory in its literary and/or technical presentation and structure with a full bibliography and references; and
- v. demonstrates an understanding of the context of the research: this must include, as appropriate for the subject of the thesis, the scientific, engineering, professional, commercial and social contexts.

16.33 Provisions for the Award of Doctoral Degrees to Members of Staff (Method B)

The Board of Studies (Doctoral) may admit a member of staff (as defined in Section 16.5.4 in the main regulations above) as a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy provided that the candidate is a graduate of a recognised University or holds another approved qualification.

16.33.1 Applicable Regulations

The candidature of members of staff of the University for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy shall be subject to the general regulations for doctoral degrees outlined in this regulation unless otherwise specified below.

16.33.2 Admission and Approval of Candidature

A candidate for a doctoral degree under this provision:

- a) shall not be required to attend a prescribed programme of study
- b) shall have been engaged in research during the period of employment at the University, and shall have been employed at the University for at least three years
- c) shall submit either:
 - (i) a thesis consisting solely of work written specifically for submission for consideration for the higher degree within a field of work included in the studies of the University;
 - (ii) a collection of published work consisting of books and/or published papers within a field of work included in the studies of the University; or
 - (iii) a combination of specifically composed work and previously published work within a field of work included in the studies of the University. Where previously published work forms all or part of the submission, the candidate shall also submit a commentary on the published work to demonstrate its contribution to the field of study.

A candidate wishing to present for examination under this provision shall give at least six months' notice of their intention to submit to the Director of Academic Registry and shall specify the nature of the work to be submitted.

The Director of Academic Registry shall submit the application to the Board of Studies (Doctoral), which shall appoint a member or members of the academic staff of the University to advise the candidate on whether the work presented is worthy of examination and, if so, on its presentation.

The candidate is required to satisfy the Board of Studies (Doctoral) both as to their chosen subject of research and to their fitness to undertake it by putting their qualifications and the nature of the work to be submitted before the Board for approval of the candidature.

A member of staff who leaves the employment of the University having already been admitted as a staff candidate shall, subject to the approval of the Board of Studies (Doctoral), be permitted to submit for the doctoral degree as a part-time student with such reduction of the minimum qualifying period as the Board of Studies (Doctoral) agrees; provided that the reduction is not greater than the period of employment of the member of staff in the University.

16.33.3 Presentation and Submission of Thesis/Portfolio

The work submitted by staff candidates under this provision shall be accompanied by a declaration signed by the candidate stating:

- a) that the candidate is the author of the thesis, or the author or co-author of the published or other works submitted, and
- b) that the work described therein has been carried out by the candidate, or, if the candidate is a co-author, which specific parts of the work have been done by others, and
- c) those parts of the work which have previously been submitted for a degree, the University to which they were submitted and the degree, if any, awarded. Those parts shall not be taken into account by the examiners except as supporting evidence in determining whether the candidate is worthy of a degree of the University.

The work shall otherwise be presented in accordance with the provisions in Section 26 above.

16.33.4 Board of Examiners

If the candidate is approved, they shall submit the work to the Director of Academic Registry and the Board of Studies (Doctoral) shall nominate a Board of Examiners consisting of one internal and two external examiners, at least one of whom must be a recognised authority in the field of the candidate's work.

The Board of Examiners shall examine the candidate by viva voce examination and may, if it thinks fit, also examine the candidate by written or practical examination or both.

The Board of Examiners shall report to the Board of Studies (Doctoral) whether the candidate has presented a body of work on their advanced study and research which satisfies the Board of Examiners as

- a) giving evidence of originality of mind and critical judgement in a particular subject, and
- b) in the case of work submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, containing material worthy of publication (all or part of the submitted work).

16.33.5 Award of the Degree

The Board of Studies (Doctoral), if satisfied by the report of the Board of Examiners, shall award the Degree.

16.34 Provisions for the Degree of Doctor of Science and Doctor of Letters

16.34.1 The Degrees of Doctor of Science and Doctor of Letters

The Senate may award the degree of Doctor of Science (DSc) or Doctor of Letters (DLitt) to graduates of the University or members of staff in recognition of and to honour a specially composed or published work or body of work which is deemed to be an original and substantial contribution to knowledge.

16.34.2 Applicable Regulations

Candidates for the degree of Doctor of Science (DSc) and Doctor of Letters (DLitt) will be subject to the specific regulations for higher doctorate degrees outlined below and only those general regulations for doctoral degrees as specified below.

16.34.3 Eligibility

The following persons may make application to the Senate to be admitted as a candidate for the degree of Doctor of Science or Doctor of Letters:

A graduate of the University or of one of the former institutions from which the University has developed, after the expiry of at least eight years from the date of first graduation,

A member of academic staff of the University, after the expiry of four years from the date of appointment and not less than eight years from the date of first graduation.

16.34.4 Application

The initial application must include:

- A curriculum vitae;
- A list of published works;
- A submission title;
- The names of two academic referees who shall not be members of the University.

The appropriate Head of Department or, exceptionally Dean of Faculty/School, shall decide on the basis of the information described in 16.34.1 above whether there is a prima facie case for the award of the degree.

If the Head of Department or Dean of Faculty/School decides that a prima facie case exists, the applicant shall be invited to submit for examination.

16.34.5 Presentation and Submission of the Thesis or Publication

A candidate for the degree of Doctor of Science and Doctor of Letters shall submit specially composed or published work, or a series of published papers within a field of work, or any combination of these.

The work submitted shall be in English, except where it relates to the study of another language.

An electronic copy of the work shall be submitted to the Director of Academic Registry. If a degree is awarded to the candidate, the work shall be retained in the University Library.

The work submitted shall be accompanied by a declaration signed by the candidate stating:

- a) that the candidate is the author of the thesis or publication submitted, and
- b) that the work described therein has been carried out by the candidate personally, or those parts of the work which have been done by others, and
- c) those parts of the work which have previously been submitted for a higher degree, the University to which they were submitted and the degree, if any, awarded.

16.34.6 Boards of Examiners

The Senate, upon receipt of the application and if satisfied that the specifications in 16.34.3 and 16.34.4 above have been met, will submit the work to a Board of Examiners consisting of two internal and two external examiners, one of whom must be a recognised authority in the field of the candidate's work.

Examiners will be required to declare any conflicts of interest in relation to the candidate and will not be appointed where a conflict of interest exists, which may affect their ability to exercise objective, impartial and independent judgements.

16.34.7 Examination

The Board of Examiners shall certify to the Senate that:

- a) the candidate's work constitutes an original and substantial contribution to knowledge.
- b) The candidate is a leading authority in the field of study.

If the Board of Examiners cannot certify the points in 16.34.7, any further application for the degrees of DSc or DLitt would require a new application and a substantially different submission.

16.34.8 Award of Degree

The Senate, if satisfied by the report of the Board of Examiners, shall award the Degree⁶.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate.

Date of Last Update: 30 August 2024

⁶ Senate has delegated its functions under this section to the Vice-Chancellor, except for the award of the degree by Senate on the Vice-Chancellor's recommendation. The names of candidates or examiners will not be disclosed unless an award is recommended.

17. CONDUCT OF STUDENT ACADEMIC APPEALS AND REVIEWS

INTRODUCTION

Scope

- 17.1** This Regulation applies to all programmes/courses of study leading to an award of the University. It provides the means whereby individual students may appeal against decisions made by a Board of Studies relating to their academic progress, the marks/grades, degrees, certificates or diplomas, and the classifications/grades awarded to them. The procedure for submitting complaints about other matters is set out in the [Student Complaints Policy](#).
- 17.2** Academic Appeals may only be made against final academic decisions taken by a Board of Studies. Students who have concerns about assessment outcomes that have not yet been approved by a Board of Studies should seek advice in the first instance from their Director of Studies. This may include matters such as suspecting errors in the totalling or transcription of marks/grades or wishing to seek clarification about the marking process.

Principles

- 17.3** By means of this regulation, the University ensures that students can, in good faith, submit an Academic Appeal and not be penalised for so doing. Students' requests will be addressed fairly, promptly and, so far as is compatible with proper consideration of the case, confidentially. Any complaint which might arise in this regard may be addressed by means of the [Student Complaints Policy](#).
- 17.4** It is the responsibility of students making an Academic Appeal to behave responsibly and not to make unwarranted or unsubstantiated allegations concerning the personal or professional reputations or conduct of members of University staff.
- 17.5** The University reserves the right to refuse repeat Academic Appeals made on issues already considered and Academic Appeals which it deems to have been pursued without serious purpose or value. Where this is the case, students will be provided with a Completion of Procedures letter.
- 17.6** Both students and staff may seek guidance in relation to student Academic Appeals and Reviews as appropriate. For example, a student may seek guidance on drafting the appeal or request for review from an appropriate source such as the The SU Bath. Academic appeals and review guidance will be published on the University website.
- 17.7** Dissatisfaction with a mark or set of marks, or any other aspect of the properly exercised academic judgement of the examiners, will not of itself be acceptable as a valid ground for an Academic Appeal.

- 17.8** An Academic Appeal cannot result in a new academic decision which is not permitted by the Assessment Regulations which govern the relevant course.
- 17.9** Responsibilities of Chairs of Boards of Studies, the Director of Academic Registry and/or the Secretary to Senate may be delegated to an appropriate senior colleague. Where there is a conflict of interest, relevant responsibilities should normally be delegated to a nominee. The relevant office holder will be responsible for decisions made under this regulation.

Disclosure

- 17.10** In accordance with the provisions of Statute 28, no papers, minutes, or other records which relate to reserved areas of business shall at any time be made available to a student, except insofar as the papers, minutes or other records constitute the student's own personal data. Reserved areas of business include those concerning decisions on the academic assessment of individual students; in any case of doubt, the Chair of the Board of Studies shall decide whether a matter is a reserved area of business or not, and the Chair's decision shall be final.
- 17.11** Guidance on the disclosure of papers relating to an Academic Appeal is available on the University's Data Protection Webpage (<https://www.bath.ac.uk/guides/data-protection/>). Further advice is also available from the University's Legal Adviser.

STAGE 1: ACADEMIC APPEALS

Making an academic appeal

- 17.12** An Academic Appeal must meet all of the following four requirements:
- a) It must relate to a final decision taken by a Board of Studies in respect of one or more of the following:
 - i. the student's suitability to progress from one stage of the programme/course of study to the next;
 - ii. the student's suitability to remain on the programme/course of study;
 - iii. the marks/grades, degrees, certificates or diplomas, and the classifications/grades awarded to the student.
 - b) It must be submitted, in writing, no more than fourteen calendar days after notification of the final decision of the Board of Studies.
 - c) It must be based on one or more of the following grounds:

For both taught and research degrees

 - i. that there exist circumstances affecting the performance of the candidate of which the Board of Examiners have not been made aware and which the candidate could not reasonably have been expected to have disclosed to the Director of Studies in accordance with Regulation 15.3 (d);

ii. that there were procedural irregularities in the conduct of the examinations or formal programme/course assessments (including administrative error) of such a nature as to cause reasonable doubt whether the Board of Examiners would have reached its decision had the irregularities not occurred;

iii. that there is positive evidence of prejudice, bias or inadequate assessment on the part of one or more of the examiners;

For research degrees only

iv. that there were genuine academic differences in philosophical approach or paradigms which had not been apparent when examiners were appointed on the recommendation of the Board of Studies.

d) It must include:

i. a completed University Academic Appeal form, indicating the outcome(s) against which the student is appealing, the ground(s) on which the Appeal is based, and the student's desired action following consideration of the Appeal;

ii. all documentary evidence available to the student in support of the case;

iii. where relevant, a detailed explanation and documentary evidence if available as to why the student was previously unable to provide any new information now disclosed about the circumstances affecting her/his performance in accordance with the requirements of Regulation 15.3 (d).

17.13 Where it is expected that consideration of a Stage 1 Academic Appeal will be completed after the start of the next stage of the programme/course, the Chair of the Board of Studies, in consultation with the Director of Academic Registry, will determine whether the student should be permitted to attend classes.

17.14 Students may choose to submit a group appeal. It is for the Chair of the Board of Studies to determine whether this is appropriate or whether students should submit appeals individually.

Consideration of an Academic Appeal

17.15 Receipt of an Academic Appeal will be acknowledged in writing. The Appeal will initially be considered by the Chair of the appropriate Board of Studies, who may consult with relevant members of staff to confirm factual aspects of the student's academic circumstances and the detail of the case presented by the student. Where an Academic Appeal is made on grounds 17.12ci, the Chair of the Board of Studies may also consult with the relevant Individual Mitigating Circumstances Panel to advise as to whether:

a) the student could have reasonably been expected to have disclosed to the Director of Studies in accordance with Regulation 15.3 (d);

b) the circumstances which the student has disclosed would normally be accepted as an Individual Mitigating Circumstances claim.

17.16 The Chair has discretion to decide:

- a) that the Academic Appeal is successful and Executive Action will be taken;
- b) that an Appeal Hearing will be held to further investigate and consider the Academic Appeal; or
- c) that the Academic Appeal is unsuccessful and no action will be taken.

To establish whether a case might be resolved through Executive Action, the Chair may consult with the Director of Studies and relevant internal examiners to assess the appropriateness of the student's desired action, or other possible actions, in the light of the circumstances, relevant regulations, and the student's academic profile.

17.17 The Chair of the Board of Studies should reach a decision within thirty-five calendar days of receiving the Appeal. Where the conclusion of the Chair is that an Appeal Hearing will be held, the Chair will normally seek to communicate this decision to the student no more than twenty-eight calendar days after receipt of the Academic Appeal.

17.18 Where the decision is that the appeal has been unsuccessful the student will be informed in writing in accordance with the timescales set out in Regulation 17.17 of the decision and of the student's right to submit a request to the Director of Academic Registry for a Review of the Academic Appeal outcome.

17.19 Where the decision is that the appeal has been successful and that Executive Action will be taken, the Chair of the Board of Studies will, following consultation where necessary with the Director of Studies and relevant internal examiners, inform the student in writing in accordance with the timescales set out in Regulation 17.17 of the proposed action to be taken. Where the relevant assessment regulations only permit action(s) other than the desired action indicated by the student, or where other permitted actions might be deemed to be in the student's best interests, the student may be invited to meet or correspond with the Chair about the available options. The student may be accompanied by a friend or adviser if invited to meet with the Chair. Where the decision relates to the conferment of an award, the Chair will consult by correspondence or meeting with appropriate members of the Board of Studies, and at least three members of the panel established in accordance with Regulation 17.21 not more than one of whom shall be from the student's academic Department or School. All Executive Action decisions will be reported to the Board of Studies and Senate (via the minutes of the Board of Studies).

17.20 Where the decision is that an Appeal Hearing will be held, the Board of Studies will normally delegate responsibility for the conduct and determination of Appeal Hearings to an Appeal Sub-Committee.

APPEAL HEARINGS

Preparatory appointment of Board of Studies Appeal Hearing panel members

17.21 At the start of the academic year, each Faculty will appoint two members of academic staff from each Department within the Faculty who are not members of the Board of Studies and have experience of student matters (for example, as a Director of Studies)

to serve as Board of Studies Appeal Hearing panel members. The membership of any Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee will include members drawn from this panel, and the Chair of the Board of Studies will seek advice from appropriate members of the panel when specified types of Executive Action may be proposed. The School of Management and the Doctoral College will co-opt at least three members from the Faculty panels to serve as their Board of Studies Appeal Hearing panel members.

Membership of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee

- 17.22** Membership of a Faculty Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee will normally include:
- a) The Chair of the Board of Studies;
 - b) Two nominated members of the Board of Studies from a Department other than that of the student;
 - c) Two members of the Appeal Hearing panel described in Regulation 17.21 from a Department other than that of the student.
- 17.23** Membership of the School of Management Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee and the Board of Studies (Doctoral) Appeal Sub-Committee will normally include:
- a) The Chair of the Board of Studies;
 - b) One nominated member of the Board of Studies;
 - c) Three members of the Appeal Hearing panel described in Regulation 17.21.
- 17.24** No member, other than the Chair, should have had a previous involvement with the case.

Convening an Appeal Hearing

- 17.25** The student (and any friend or advisor specified in the original appeal application) should normally receive at least seven calendar days' written notice of the Appeal Hearing but, where it would be of benefit to the student, and the student is in agreement, the notification of an Appeal Hearing may be given at less than seven calendar days' notice subject to any reasonable constraining factors including the availability of members of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee.
- 17.26** The notification will inform the student of the time and place of the Hearing and of the student's right:
- a) to be present throughout the Appeal Hearing, other than for the Sub-Committee's discussion of the case;
 - b) to be accompanied at the Hearing by one friend or adviser;
 - c) to be represented at the Hearing in the student's absence by one friend or adviser;

d) to make additional written statements, provided that these are submitted to the Chair of the Sub-Committee no later than three calendar days before the Hearing. Any written evidence submitted after this time will not be considered by the Sub-Committee.

17.27 The notification will include any written evidence already received by the Chair from witnesses. It is the student's responsibility to provide copies of the notification and any such evidence to any friend or adviser not specified in the original appeal application.

Conduct of an Appeal Hearing

17.28 The Chair of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee will make an introductory statement in the presence of the student and any friend or adviser, or the student's representative, concerning procedural matters.

17.29 The Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee will consider the written and oral evidence presented by the student and any friend or adviser, or by the student's representative, and may invite witnesses to give evidence. These witnesses will not have voting rights, and the Chair, in consultation with members of the Sub-Committee, will have discretion to decide at what point during the Hearing any witnesses will be invited to speak to the Hearing and at what point they will be asked to withdraw.

17.30 The student and any friend or adviser, or the student's representative, and/or any witnesses will be given an opportunity to ask questions of, and to respond to questions from, the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee. The student and any friend or adviser, or the student's representative, may then make a closing statement. The student and any friend or adviser, or the student's representative, and the witnesses will withdraw while the Sub-Committee discusses the case.

17.31 All members of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee will have equal voting rights.

17.32 If the student or representative fails to attend the Hearing, having had notice of the time and place, the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee may base its review on the student's written submission and the other records of the University concerning the student during attendance at the University.

17.33 Referral will be made to appropriate External Examiners where necessary.

Outcome of an Appeal Hearing

17.34 The outcome of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee's deliberations will normally be communicated to the student immediately after the Appeal Hearing and will be confirmed in writing within five working days.

17.35 Where the outcome is that action should be taken, this will be implemented at the earliest opportunity in favour of the student. The decision of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee will be reported to the Board of Studies and noted in the minutes of the Board of Studies for report to Senate.

Record of an Appeal Hearing

17.36 The formal record of an Appeal Hearing should include a summary of the arguments put forward by all parties, a summary of the Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee's discussion including any counter arguments, and a record of the Sub-Committee's findings and decisions.

STAGE 2: REVIEW OF A STAGE 1 ACADEMIC APPEAL OUTCOME

Requesting a Review

17.37 A request for a Review of an Academic Appeal outcome must meet the following four requirements:

a) It must be submitted, in writing, to the Director of Academic Registry no more than fourteen calendar days after notification of the decision of the Chair of the Board of Studies or Board of Studies Appeal Sub-Committee. A request lodged after the fourteen-day period shall be invalid unless the Director of Academic Registry has agreed an extension of time.

b) It must not seek to challenge any final academic decisions taken by the Board of Studies other than those cited in the Academic Appeal.

c) It must be made on one or more of the following grounds:

i) there were material procedural irregularities at Stage 1;

ii) there is material new evidence which the students could not reasonably have been expected to provide at Stage 1;

iii) the outcome at Stage 1 was unreasonable in light of the evidence presented.

d) It must include:

i. a copy of all the material submitted to the Chair of the Board of Studies in the Academic Appeal, together with any further material or documentary evidence which the student could not reasonably have been expected to present as part of the original submission;

ii. a clear statement, supported by evidence, of the grounds of the student's request for review.

Review by the Director of Academic Registry

17.38 The review should be completed within twenty-eight calendar days of receipt by the Director of Academic Registry of the request.

17.39 The Director of Academic Registry has discretion to decide:

a) that no action be taken and that a University Completion of Procedures Letter be issued;

b) that the Academic Appeal be reconsidered at Stage 1 (with the further decision taken at Stage 1 being final, with no further right to request Stage 2 Review, and a University Completion of Procedures Letter issued); or

c) that the case be referred to the Secretary to Senate to convene a Student Academic Appeals Committee.

17.40 In the case of an outcome to refer to the Secretary of Senate, the Director of Academic Registry will first consult with the Secretary, who may take legal advice if appropriate.

HEARING BY A STUDENT ACADEMIC APPEALS COMMITTEE

17.41 The procedures and timescales governing the Hearing by a Student Academic Appeals Committee are set out in its term of reference (see: [Student Academic Appeals Committee Terms of Reference \(bath.ac.uk\)](#)). Where a Student Academic Appeals Committee has been convened, its decision will be deemed to be final, except where it adjudicates that a student's degree classification should be altered, in which case this would form a recommendation to Senate for approval. In all cases, the decision of the Student Academic Appeals Committee will be reported to Senate. A University Completion of Procedures Letter will be issued when the decision has been taken by the Student Academic Appeals Committee/Senate. A student who is of the opinion that the case is unresolved may apply to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator.

COMPLAINT TO THE OFFICE OF THE INDEPENDENT ADJUDICATOR

17.42 Where the University's internal procedures have been completed (a University Completion of Procedures letter has been issued) but the student remains dissatisfied, the student may submit the case to the Office of the Independent Adjudicator.

MONITORING ACADEMIC APPEALS AND REVIEWS

An annual report of the number of Academic Appeals for each Faculty/School will be made to the relevant Board of Studies. An annual report of the number of Academic Appeals and Reviews will be made to Senate.

(Further guidance regarding the University's Student Academic Appeals and Reviews Procedure is available at: <https://www.bath.ac.uk/guides/appealing-against-an-academic-decision/>)

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

18. FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION

This Code of Practice describes the framework within which staff and students of the University and visitors enjoy the right to freedom of expression.

Policy statement

- 18.1** As an institution of higher education, which values academic freedom, the University is committed to promoting and positively encouraging free debate and enquiry. This means that it accommodates a wide range of views, political as well as academic, even when they are unpopular, controversial or provocative.
- 18.2** In any event, the University has an explicit duty in law¹ to take such steps as are reasonably practicable to ensure that freedom of speech within the law is secured for members, students, employees and visiting speakers. This duty includes a responsibility to ensure that the use of University premises is not denied to any individual or group on the grounds of the belief or views of that individual or any member of that group or on the grounds of the policy or objectives of the group. The University is, however, under no legal obligation to allow meetings to be open to members of the public.
- 18.3** This does not mean that the right to freedom of expression is unfettered. It is limited, for example, by laws to protect national security and public safety, for the prevention of disorder or crime, for the protection of the reputation or rights of others, and to prevent the disclosure of information received in confidence. A speaker from an organisation proscribed by law would therefore not be allowed. A significant strand in the regulatory framework is the duty (under the Race Relations (Amendment) Act 2000) to promote good relations between persons of different racial groups.
- 18.4** The Counter-Terrorism and Security Act 2015 also imposes a duty on the University to have due regard to the need to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism. This Code of Practice fulfils the requirement in the Prevent Duty for Universities to have policies and procedures in place for the management of events on Campus and use of the University's premises.
- 18.5** Freedom of expression also has to be set in the context of the University's values, and the values of a civilised, democratic, inclusive society. The University expects speakers and those taking part in protest activities to respect those values, to be sensitive to the diversity of its inclusive community, and to show respect to all sections of that community. These precepts include the way in which views are expressed and the form of any protest activities.
- 18.6** In considering whether or not to permit its premises to be used for a particular event, the University has to consider:-
- whether the views or ideas to be put forward (or the manner of their expression)
 - ~ infringe the rights of others, or
 - ~ discriminate against them, or
 - ~ constitute views that risk drawing people into terrorism or are shared by terrorist groups. For the avoidance of doubt, the definition of terrorism above is that as set out in the Terrorism Act 2000 (or as subsequently amended).

¹ Under the Education (N° 2) Act 1986

- whether the activity in question
 - ~ constitutes a criminal offence
 - ~ constitutes a threat to public order or to the health and safety of individuals
 - ~ incites others to commit criminal acts, or
 - ~ is contrary to the civil and human rights of individuals.
- Whether adequate arrangements can be made to safeguard the safety of participants in the event, and other people within the vicinity, and to ensure that public order is maintained.

Authority

18.7 The Council authorises the Deputy Vice-Chancellor to act on its behalf to ensure as far as is reasonably practicable that all staff and students of the University, and all visiting speakers, comply with the provisions of this Code.

Procedure

Meetings

- 18.8** Those booking rooms in the University are taken to have read and agreed to abide by the provisions of this Code of Practice. In addition, they are required to designate a ‘Principal Organiser’, who must be a member of staff or student of the University and who will be responsible for ensuring that the organisers comply with the obligations placed upon them by or under this Code of Practice. The Principal Organiser shall ensure that appropriate information is provided on the booking form about the nature and topic of the meeting.
- 18.9** The Principal Organiser is required to notify the Deputy Vice-Chancellor – as far as possible in advance of the meeting, and in any case at least two weeks before it – for any meeting to be held on the University campus if the subject matter of the meeting is or might reasonably be construed as ‘controversial’ (a ‘controversial’ meeting in this context being taken as a speaker who might reasonably be construed as having the potential to occasion protest from, or give offence to, any section of the University or wider community, or constitute extremist views, or any speaker from a political party).
- 18.10** The Principal Organiser shall on request provide the Deputy Vice-Chancellor with such information as the latter may require – including in particular the name of the principal speaker or speakers at the meeting, the theme(s) of the speech(es), the grounds for notification under section 9 and the extent to which the speaker’s past history suggests that they might infringe one of the criteria set out in paragraph 6 above, the name and experience of the person who will chair the meeting, the subject of the address or addresses, the names and experience of any stewards and other logistical information.
- 18.11** The Deputy Vice-Chancellor shall grant or withhold permission for the use of University premises (including, as appropriate, space used by the Students’ Union or Chaplaincy) for the meeting proposed. Permission will only normally be withheld on the grounds indicated in 6 above, or if the Principal Organiser cannot or will not ensure compliance with any conditions set by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor. Such conditions may include requirements
- (a) that tickets be issued and/or restricting the number of persons attending;
 - (b) that an adequate number of stewards be available, in addition to any University security staff that the Deputy Vice-Chancellor may feel should be present, to maintain safety and order;

- (c) as to the venue for the meeting and/or restricting banners or placards;
- (d) as to the admission or exclusion of press, television or broadcasting personnel, and, subject to licensing law, the sale or consumption of alcohol within the premises;
- (e) as to the arrangements for chairing the meeting, and as to the circumstances in which the meeting may or must be terminated prematurely;
- (f) that a speaker be balanced by one or more speakers with opposing views;
- (g) that the designated meeting or activity be restricted to staff and students of the University;
- (h) that University staff be responsible for all security arrangements connected with the meeting.

18.12 It shall in all cases be open to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor to invite the Police to be present at any meeting on University premises. It shall also be open to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor to withdraw permission for a meeting if, having originally granted permission, they judge that the meeting will not in fact conform to University policy (as outlined in 6 above) or that safety or public order cannot be guaranteed or if the Principal Organiser cannot or will not ensure compliance with any conditions set by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor.

18.13 Premises used for meetings must be left in clean and tidy condition, in default of which the organisers may be charged for any additional cleaning and repairs that are subsequently required. Payment in advance or evidence of ability to pay towards these costs may be required. The University reserves the right to re-charge the organisers of any meeting for any extraordinary expenditure it incurs as a result of that meeting.

Protests and demonstrations

18.14 Those arranging protests or demonstrations on University premises (whether in relation to a meeting covered by this Code or on any other matter) are taken to have read and agreed to abide by the provisions of this Code. They are also required to designate a 'Principal Organiser', who will be a student or member of staff, who will be responsible for ensuring that the organisers comply with the obligations placed upon them by or under this Code of Practice.

18.15 As long as is reasonably practicable in advance of the event in question, the Principal Organiser shall ensure that appropriate information is provided to the Deputy Vice-Chancellor, normally through Security Services, about the nature and theme of the event. Wherever possible, this information shall be provided at least a week before the event. The Principal Organiser shall on request provide the Deputy Vice-Chancellor with such information as the latter may require.

18.16 The Deputy Vice-Chancellor shall grant or withhold permission for the use of University premises for the meeting proposed. Permission will normally be withheld only on the grounds indicated above, or if the Principal Organiser cannot or will not ensure compliance with any conditions set by the Deputy Vice-Chancellor.

Appeals

18.17 Appeals against the rulings of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor may be made to the Vice-Chancellor, whose decision shall be final.

Infringements

18.18 The Deputy Vice-Chancellor shall report to the Council on the circumstances of any significant infringements of, and departures from, the provisions of this Code. Any such

infringements or departures, in whatever respect, may render those responsible liable to disciplinary action under the University's disciplinary procedures.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

UNIVERSITY OF BATH: STUDENT COMPLAINTS POLICY

If you require this document in an alternative format, such as large print or a coloured background, please contact: student-complaints@bath.ac.uk

1. Purpose

1.1 The purpose of this policy is:

- To ensure there is a clear, fair, and effective process for the University of Bath to handle student complaints, in line with our responsibilities under Consumer Protection Law.

1.2 This policy aims to:

- Ensure that where issues arise resolution is sought promptly and to the satisfaction of all parties, whenever possible or appropriate.
- Ensure the University of Bath is a responsive organisation that welcomes feedback and learns from complaints to improve our academic provision, service standards and the student experience.

2. Scope

2.1 A student complaint is an expression of dissatisfaction raised by a student (or group of students) about:

- Something the University has done or has not done or
- The standard of service provided by the University (or on our behalf)

2.2 A student can raise a complaint under this policy if they are:

- An applicant who has been accepted to study at the University or
- A registered student at the University or
- A former registered student whose leaving date is within the last six months.

2.3 Normally, a complaint should be raised no later than six months after the date the initial issue occurred. In exceptional circumstances, we may accept a complaint outside the normal time limit, if there is evidence to support a reason for the extension of the deadline.

2.4 Anonymous complaints will not usually be accepted. In exceptional cases anonymous complaints may be considered if there is a compelling case supported by considerable evidence.

2.5 The University will not penalise any student for raising a complaint they are genuinely concerned about, even if the concerns turn out to be misplaced. However, if a complaint is shown to be vexatious, disciplinary action may be taken. A vexatious complaint is a complaint that is made with the purpose of causing disruption, or distress or detriment to the subject of the complaint. A complaint may also be rejected if it is deemed to be trivial, or where the student is looking for a resolution that lacks any serious purpose or value.

2.6 In some instances, an issue raised may be covered by another specific University procedure. Where this is the case the student will be advised at the start of the process.

3. Policy Interactions

3.1 Sometimes, issues are raised that do not fall neatly into the category of just one University policy. Where this is the case, the University will be flexible in its approach of application of policy on a case-by-case basis. The Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) will jointly determine with other relevant Heads of Services whether it's better to use one process after the other (and in what order), to run them at the same time, or to apply the processes more flexibly. It will be explained to all relevant parties how the matters will be investigated, who will coordinate the process, and who will issue the final decision.

4. Stages of the Complaints Process

4.1 The complaints process will have no more than three stages:

- Early resolution at the local level, where this is possible
- Formal resolution stage
- Appeal stage

4.2 This is to allow issues to be resolved as efficiently as possible and at the appropriate level.

4.3 Once a formal resolution process is initiated, the process will normally be concluded within the following timeframes:

- 60 calendar days from the receipt of the Student Complaints Form, beginning the formal stage
- An additional 30 calendar days (90 days total) if an appeal stage is used

4.4 If it is anticipated that the process will take longer, those involved will be informed in writing and provided with an amended timescale and reasons for the variance.

4.5 The [Student Complaints Procedure](#) provides further information.

5. Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA)

5.1 Once all stages of the University's Complaints process have been completed, if the student is not satisfied with the resolution of their complaint, they have 12 months to pursue their complaint with the Office of the Independent Adjudicator for Higher Education (OIA). If the complaint is eligible to be considered by the OIA they will independently review the matter.

6. Procedural Fairness

6.1 The Student Complaints Procedure will follow the principles of natural justice. For this policy, this means that both the complainant and any persons responding to the complaint will have fair opportunity to present their case and respond to what the other has said. Normally, the identity of the complainant and details of their complaint will need to be shared with those involved with responding to the complaint. In some circumstances, sensitive and confidential information may be redacted.

6.2 No person with a conflict of interest will be asked to investigate or make a decision relating to the case. A conflict of interest occurs when an individual's professional or personal interests –

family, friendships, financial, or social factors – could compromise their ability to apply judgement or act fairly and objectively. A conflict of interest can be actual or perceived.

7. Support for Students

7.1 We understand that it can take courage to raise a complaint and are committed to the process being as empathetic and supportive as possible. Students will be made aware of, and actively encouraged to engage with, the support options that are available to them throughout the process.

7.2 We understand that some students may need additional arrangements to fully access this process. Any reasonable adjustments will be considered and put in place where possible.

8. Roles and Responsibilities

8.1 Senate is responsible for:

- The Student Complaints Policy and approving any amendments to it

8.2 Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) is responsible for:

- Overseeing the effective application of the Student Complaints Policy, and its interaction with other student safeguarding policies
- Overseeing and implementing the associated Student Complaints Procedure
- Reporting to Senate annually on complaints received, outcomes, common themes and recommendations to improve service standards and academic provision

8.3 Any of the functions of the Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) under this policy may be delegated to a member of the Student Support & Safeguarding Leadership Team.

8.4 The Student Casework Team is responsible for:

- The operational delivery of the Student Complaints Policy and Procedure.

8.5 The Investigator (normally the Head of Department/Service that the complaint relates to unless it involves them as an individual) is responsible for:

- Investigating a complaint, including meeting with the complainant/s and others involved where relevant
- Producing an investigation report of their findings and recommendations
- Coming forward if they believe their involvement constitutes a conflict of interest

8.6 A Pro-Vice Chancellor is responsible for:

- Investigating complaints that relate to the Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) or the Head of Governance

8.7 The Complainant (the student/s making the complaint) is responsible for:

- Giving notice of whether they can/cannot attend meetings and if they are bringing someone with them to the meeting
- Notifying the Student Casework Team if they have any additional needs to be able to engage fully in the Complaints process or if they believe anyone involved in handling their complaint has a conflict of interest

8.8 All University staff are responsible for:

- Being aware of and ensuring they understand the University’s Student Complaints Policy and Procedure, as a student may raise a concern or complaint with any member of staff
- Addressing informal student complaints promptly and fairly, where appropriate
- Ensuring that any learning from complaints, at any level, is fed back into their professional areas to inform change and drive improvements

9. Monitoring and Record keeping

9.1 All formal complaints received, decisions made and resulting outcomes will be recorded and an annual report provided to Senate, and its relevant committees. Where there are several complaints regarding a Service, School, or Department, these will also be raised with the Head of Department/Service or other relevant staff to improve student experience.

9.2 All records taken under this policy will be held for the recommended period of time for which records should be retained to comply with legal requirements and meet operational needs as defined with the University Records Retention Schedule.

9.3 If you have any feedback on this policy, or on your experience of this policy or process, please email studentpolicy@bath.ac.uk.

10. Document Control Information

10.1 Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Owner | Pro-Vice-Chancellor for Student Experience |
| Version number | 2.0 |
| Approval Date | November 2023 |
| Approved By | Senate |
| Date of next review | April 2026 |

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

UNIVERSITY OF BATH: HEALTH, WELLBEING AND SUPPORT FOR STUDY (HWSS) POLICY

If you require this document in an alternative format, such as large print or a coloured background, please contact hwss@bath.ac.uk

1. Purpose

- 1.1 The University of Bath recognises the fundamental importance of student wellbeing and aims to provide a learning environment where every student can succeed.
- 1.2 The purpose of this policy is to ensure the University has an effective, coordinated, and supportive response when:
 - A student's health, wellbeing or behaviour is impacting on their ability to engage with the requirements, regulations, and responsibilities of university life as a whole;
 - A student's health, wellbeing or behaviour is impacting on their ability to progress academically;
 - When the university has concerns about the impact of a student's behaviour on the safety, wellbeing or experience of themselves or others,

and there is no current support plan in place, or an existing support plan is not being engaged with effectively.

- 1.3 The policy aims to:
 - Support students to remain on and succeed in their course wherever possible.
 - Empower students to take personal responsibility and an active part in the management of their health and wellbeing.
 - Promote collaboration between students, staff and third parties to ensure a coordinated and consistent response.
 - Ensure the best interests of the student are always considered in relation to their personal situation. Decisions taken against the student's wishes will be made with transparency and openness.
 - Enable the University to protect the health and wellbeing of the University community and its members as a whole.

2. Scope

- 2.1 This policy will be applied to students enrolled or registered at the University of Bath.
- 2.2 When deciding to apply this policy, the University may consider concerns raised by staff, other students, and third parties, as well as information provided by the student themselves.

- 2.3 There are occasions where all options of support have been explored and the student is not well enough to continue their studies. In such instances the procedure may recommend suspension from studies or expulsion from the University as the best support outcome for the circumstances.
- 2.4 This policy will also be applied if there were concerns raised under this policy and students are returning to study after any of the following:
- Emergency suspension (precautionary measures)
 - Voluntary suspension
 - Suspension as an outcome from application of this policy

3. Policy Interaction

- 3.1 Sometimes, issues are raised that do not fall neatly into the category of just one University policy. Where this is the case, the University will be flexible in its approach of application of policy on a case-by-case basis. The Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) will jointly determine with other relevant Heads of Services whether it's better to use one process after the other (and in what order), to run them at the same time, or to apply the processes more flexibly. It will be explained to all relevant parties how the matters will be investigated, who will coordinate the process, and who will issue the final decision.
- 3.2 In cases involving an emergency, where there is a perceived threat to the health and safety of the student or to other students or staff, the University will consider applying precautionary measures to ensure that any recognised risks can be mitigated before and during the HWSS process (see [Student Precautionary Measures Policy](#)).

4. Stages of Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study

- 4.1 The procedure has 3 stages:
- Stage 1 – emerging concern
 - Stage 2 – significant or ongoing concern
 - Stage 3 – persistent or critical concern
- 4.2 The stages are designed to respond to different and changing degrees of concern and/or the perceived seriousness of a situation. If the concerns are not remedied by the actions agreed at one stage, the next stage may be instigated.

4.3 The procedure can be entered at any stage but in most cases Stages 1 and 2 should be considered before escalation to Stage 3. Some student cases may stay at the same stage or move between stages.

4.4 The Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study Procedure provides further information.

5. Right of Appeal

5.1 A student can use the Student Appeals Policy to submit an appeal (within the bounds of that policy) following notification of the outcome of Stages 2 or 3.

6. Support for Students

6.1 We understand that involvement in a HWSS process can be very stressful for students and are committed to the process being as empathetic and supportive as possible, and taking all possible steps to minimise additional concerns and anxieties experienced by the student. All students will be made aware of, and actively encouraged to engage with, the support options that are available to them throughout the process.

6.2 We understand that some students may need additional arrangements to fully access this process. Any reasonable adjustments will be considered and put in place where possible.

7. Procedural Fairness

7.1 No person with a conflict of interest will be asked to investigate or make a decision relating to a student's case. A conflict of interest occurs when an individual's professional or personal interests – family, friendships, financial, or social factors – could compromise their ability to apply judgement or act fairly and objectively. A conflict of interest can be actual or perceived.

8. Roles and Responsibilities

8.1 The student is responsible for:

- Engaging with the HWSS process and, with support, taking an active part in the management of their health and wellbeing
- Sharing information about their health and wellbeing from statutory or private individuals/teams who are supporting their wellbeing, with the University

8.2 All university staff are responsible for:

- Being aware of and ensuring they understand the University of Bath's HWSS policy and procedure
- Having open and honest conversations about what support a student might benefit from and engaging students in support services at the earliest opportunity to address unmet needs

8.3 Staff who are closely involved in student support or academic progression are responsible for:

- Instigating and conducting Stage 1 of the HWSS procedure
- Referring students to the Student Casework Team for consideration of which stage of the procedure is appropriate
- Attending Stage 2 and Stage 3 meetings as required by the Panel Chair

This includes, but is not limited to: Personal Tutors, Doctoral Supervisors, Student Experience Officers, Case Manager, Wellbeing Practitioner.

8.4 Student Casework Team (Student Support and Safeguarding Department) are responsible for:

- The operational delivery and administrative support of the HWSS policy and procedure
- Monitoring the progression of a student's case and being a central point of contact for students being supported through HWSS
- Coordinating information sharing between academic registry and academic departments

8.5 Case Management Team (Student Support and Safeguarding Department) are responsible for:

- Referring students for consideration under the HWSS policy from other Student Support services
- Preparing the Case Report for, and attending, Stage 2 and Stage 3 meetings as advisors to the panel

8.6 Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) is responsible for:

- Overseeing the effective implementation of the HWSS policy and procedure, and their interaction with other safeguarding policies
- Making decisions about what stage a student's case should be considered at
- Chairing Stage 2 meetings, including referral on to another stage
- Providing procedural and case advice at Stage 3 meetings
- Reporting to Senate annually on numbers of student cases supported through the HWSS process, outcomes, common themes and recommendations to improve service standards and academic provision

Any of the functions of the Deputy Director (Student Policy & Safeguarding) under this policy may be delegated to a member of the Student Support & Safeguarding Leadership Team.

8.7 The Chair of Panel for Stage 3 meetings is responsible for:

- Overseeing the attendance at and proceedings of the Stage 3 Panel meetings
- Decisions and outcomes of the Stage 3 Panel meetings

8.8 The Vice Chancellor is responsible for:

- Approval of any recommendation referred to them by a Stage 3 Panel

8.9 Senate is responsible for:

- The HWSS policy and approving any amendments to it
- The ratification of the HWSS procedure at appropriate stages
- Attend Stage 3 panel meetings
- The appointment of Stage 3 meeting Chairs

9. Monitoring and Record keeping

9.1 Cases handled under HWSS, including decisions made, outcomes and common themes will be recorded and an annual report provided to Senate and its relevant committees.

9.2 All records taken under this policy will be held for the recommended period of time for which records should be retained to comply with legal requirements and meet operational needs as defined with the University Records Retention Schedule.

9.3 If you have any feedback on this policy, or on your experience of this policy or process, please email studentpolicy@bath.ac.uk.

10. Document Control Information

10.1 Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Owner | Pro-Vice-Chancellor for Student Experience |
| Version number | 1.0 |
| Approval Date | November 2023 |
| Approved By | Senate |
| Date of last review | July 2023 |
| Date of next review | April 2026 |

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

STUDENT APPEALS POLICY – (HEALTH WELLBEING AND SUPPORT FOR STUDY, ~~FITNESS TO STUDY~~, FITNESS TO PRACTISE, STUDENT DISCIPLINE, STUDENT COMPLAINTS, STUDENT PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES)

1. Purpose of Policy

1.1 The purpose of this policy is to ensure that students have the right to make an appeal, within the bounds of this policy, following an outcome of one of the below procedures.

1.1 The appeals process should:

- Be easy to navigate for students
- Be timely (appeals should normally conclude within 30 calendar days of the appeal being lodged)
- Ensure that clear reasons are given for decisions reached
- Ensure that there is clear and timely communication with the student throughout the process
- Ensure an appropriate level of confidentiality

2 Scope

2.1 This appeals policy applies to:

- **Student Regulation 7 and the Student Discipline Procedure** ~~Student Regulation 8 – Disciplinary procedures for Students~~
- **The Health Wellbeing and Support for Study Policy** ~~Fitness to Study Policy~~
- The Fitness to Practise Policy
- The Dignity and Respect Policy – Student Respondents only
- The Student Complaints Policy
- **The Student Precautionary Measures Policy**

2.2 Any student who has been:

- The subject of a **Health Wellbeing and Support for Study** ~~Fitness to Study~~ process
- The subject of a Fitness to Practise process
- The responding party in the Student Discipline process
- **The reporting party in the Student Discipline process**
- **Suspended from study as a Precautionary Measure**
- or has completed Stage 2 of the Student Complaints procedure may submit an appeal using the process below. ~~An appeal is usually made against an outcome or sanction.~~

2.3 In addition, *any* student who has been party to any of the above procedures can raise a concern about the *service* they received during this process using the Student Complaints policy.

3 Roles and responsibilities

3.1 Responsibilities of the Head of Governance may be completed by themselves or delegated to a nominee.

3.2 The term ‘Case Manager’ refers to a member of the University of Bath Governance team. Their role is to oversee the flow of business through the appeals process. This person does not make any decisions regarding the progression or outcome of an appeal.

4 Definitions:

4.1 Student:

The term ‘student’ applies to any registered student at the University of Bath, as well as students who have graduated during their initial case, or in the period between their initial case and the appeal being heard.

4.2 The Appeals Panel:

The Appeals Panel will normally comprise three members from the Appeals Panel Pool, at least one of whom is a University of Bath staff member and at least one of whom is an external member. The members of the Appeals Panel will not have been involved with the earlier stages of a student’s case and will have no reasonable perception of bias. All members of the Appeals Panel Pool will have undertaken the training required to fulfil this role. In the case of a Fitness to Practise appeal, a Practitioner from the relevant discipline will act as an advisor to the panel.

5 Policy review

If you have any feedback on this policy, or on your experience of this policy or process, please email Governance@bath.ac.uk ~~studentpolicy@bath.ac.uk~~

6 Related Policies and Procedures

- ~~Student Regulation 7 and the Student Discipline Procedure~~ ~~Student Regulation 8 – Disciplinary procedures for Students~~
- The **Health Wellbeing and Support for Study Policy** ~~Fitness to Study Policy~~
- The Fitness to Practise Policy
- The Dignity and Respect Policy – Student Respondents only
- **The Student Precautionary Measures Policy**
- The Student Complaints Policy and Procedure

7 Document Control Information

| | |
|---------------------|---|
| Owner | Head of Governance Rose Stephenson, Policy and Projects Manager, Student Policy and Safeguarding |
| Version number | 1.2 |
| Approval Date | January 2024 |
| Approved By | Senate |
| Date of last review | December 2023 |
| Date of next review | TBC |

STUDENT APPEALS PROCEDURE (HEALTH WELLBEING AND SUPPORT FOR STUDY, ~~FITNESS TO STUDY~~, FITNESS TO PRACTISE, STUDENT DISCIPLINE, STUDENT COMPLAINTS)

1. Conclusion of the original case:

- 1.1 Following the conclusion of a Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study, Fitness to Practise or Student Discipline case, the Student Policy and Safeguarding team will notify the Governance Team of the name of the student subject to the process, and the date by which they would need to submit an appeal. Following the submission of an appeal, further information about the case will be shared with the Governance team.

2. Submitting an appeal:

- 2.1 A student can submit an appeal within 10 working days of the outcome of their case. They can do this by emailing governance@bath.ac.uk. If there are exceptional circumstances which prevent a student from submitting an appeal within the 10-day timeframe, the student should email governance@bath.ac.uk explaining these circumstances. The Head of Governance and a member of the appeals pool will decide if consideration of the appeal will go ahead. If a student does not submit an appeal in this timeframe, they may email governance@bath.ac.uk to request a Completion of Procedures letter. The Case Manager will ask the Student Policy and Safeguarding team to provide this letter outlining that the student has not completed the University's internal processes.
- 2.2 A student may make an Appeal Case on one or more of the following grounds:
- a) That there was procedural error in the conduct of the relevant process which may cause doubt as to the determination reached;
 - b) That new evidence has been made available which the student could not reasonably have provided during the relevant process;
 - c) That there was bias during the relevant process which may cause doubt as to the determination reached;
 - d) That the sanction or outcome imposed was disproportionate.*

*** A reporting party in a Student Discipline process may not make an appeal on the grounds that a sanction imposed on another student was disproportionate.**

- 2.3 The student should include a completed [Appeals form](#), including:

- The outcome(s) the student is appealing against
- The ground(s) the appeal is based on
- The student's desired action following consideration of the appeal
- All evidence available to the student in support of the Appeal.

- 2.4 The grounds for appeal will be considered by the Head of Governance and a member of the appeals pool who will decide:

- a) There are no grounds for appeal. The Case Manager will write to the student informing them that the appeal will not proceed and the reason for this. They will include a Completion of Procedures letter.

- b) There are grounds for appeal. The Case Manager will convene an Appeals Panel.

In Student Discipline cases where there are multiple parties, all submitted appeals will be considered together by the Head of Governance and the same member of the appeals pool for consistency.

3. The Appeals Panel

- 3.1 The Appeals Panel will be made up of three members of the Appeals Panel Pool, at least one of whom is a member of University of Bath Staff, and at least one of whom is an external colleague. The Panel retains the right to co-opt expertise to advise on individual cases. In the case of a Fitness to Practise appeal, a Practitioner from the relevant discipline will become an advisor to the panel.
- 3.2 The Appeals Panel will be convened by The Case Manager who will write to the student giving at least 5 working days' notice of the panel meeting. Students are entitled to be accompanied by an adviser, family member or friend. Accompanying individuals may be allowed to speak at the hearing at the discretion of the Chair but will not normally be allowed to cross examine witnesses. In addition, disabled students may also be accompanied by a support worker or advisor if required. The student is required to notify the Case Manager of the name of anyone accompanying them to the appeal, with at least 3 working days' notice, by emailing governance@bath.ac.uk
- 3.3 The Appeals Panel may invite the student to provide a verbal or written statement and answer questions from the panel members. The Appeals Panel may invite the Chair of previous stages of the processes to explain earlier decisions and outcomes/sanctions. The Appeals Panel may need to consider any new evidence. New evidence will be thoroughly tested, and this may include speaking to **other parties to the case such as** the reporting party, **the respondent**, or other witnesses (**where relevant**).
- 3.4 **In Student Discipline cases where there are multiple parties, all accepted Appeal Cases will be considered by one Appeals Panel for consistency.**
- 3.5 The Appeals Panel will decide **for each individual**:
 - a) To reject the appeal case, confirming the original outcome (and sanctions in Student Disciplinary cases);
 - b) To uphold the appeal case, and amend the outcome (and/or sanctions in Student Disciplinary cases);

4. Ongoing actions:

- 4.1 If a student is appealing against outcomes or sanctions of the processes listed above, those sanctions or outcomes will normally still be applied whilst the appeal is ongoing. Any precautionary measures will still apply during the appeals process.

5. Outcomes:

- 5.1 The outcome of the appeal process will normally be communicated in writing to the student (and all those directly involved) within 30 calendar days of the appeal form being submitted. This will include an explanation of the outcome decision and a Completion of Procedures letter.

6. Support for students:

- 6.1 We strongly recommend that all students seek advice from the SU Advice centre, which is independent of the University. The SU Advisors can assist with completing an appeals form, preparing for the appeal and can attend the appeal as an advisor. Students can arrange a meeting with an advisor by emailing suadvice@bath.ac.uk
- 6.2 The appeals process can be difficult, and we strongly recommend that students seek emotional support from the **University's Student Support Service** ~~Student Wellbeing service or the SU Advice centre~~ before, during or after their appeal.
- 6.3 Any student who has been party to the appeals procedure, and would like to raise a concern about the service they received, can do so using the [Student Complaints policy](#).

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024

STUDENT PRECAUTIONARY MEASURES POLICY – (HEALTH, WELLBEING AND SUPPORT FOR STUDY, FITNESS TO PRACTISE AND STUDENT DISCIPLINE)

If you require this document in an alternative format, such as large print or a coloured background please contact: safeguarding@bath.ac.uk

1. Purpose of Policy

1.1 The purpose of this policy is to ensure that the University applies precautionary measures proportionately, consistently, and fairly, to ensure that risks can be mitigated as fully as possible pending processes including Student Discipline, Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study and Fitness to Practise.

The precautionary measures procedure provides a mechanism to assess risks and apply precautionary measures prior to investigations taking place and any measures or sanctions being applied under other processes such as those outlined above.

The underlying principle for the application of precautionary measures is that the precautionary measures put in place should best mitigate risk and protect the parties involved and the university community.

1.2 The precautionary measures process should:

- Be informed by the known facts of the case and any associated risks
- Be conducted with due regard to the principles outlined above
- Ensure that clear reasons are given for decisions reached
- Ensure that there is clear and timely communication with the student(s) involved throughout the process, and that timelines are shared with parties involved.
- Ensure that support is offered to all parties.
- Ensure an appropriate level of confidentiality is maintained
- Ensure appropriate review in light of any developments to the case
- Minimise any unnecessary impact on parties involved and ensure that all parties are treated with sensitivity.

2. Scope

2.1 This Precautionary Measures Policy applies to:

- Student Regulation 8 – Disciplinary Procedures for Students
- The Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study Policy
- The Fitness to Practise Policy
- The Dignity and Respect Policy – Student Respondents only

2.2 This Precautionary Measures Policy may be used in relation to other policies where it is appropriate and reasonable to do so.

2.3 In the case of a student being arrested, a precautionary measures panel will be convened as standard procedure.

2.4 In cases where a student is also an employee or casual member of staff, advice will be sought from Human Resources and due process will be followed in applying any precautionary measures.

2.5 Full consideration will be given to the possible implications of any precautionary measures applied; however, the overriding objective of the precautionary measures policy and process is to mitigate risk.

2.7 Any student who has been party to any of the above procedures can raise a concern about their application using the [Student Complaints Policy](#). The SU (Students' Union) Advice and Support Centre offer independent guidance on how to raise a concern.

2.8 Parties to this process are encouraged to provide feedback on any aspect of it any stage by emailing studentpolicy@bath.ac.uk

3. Roles and responsibilities

3.1 Responsibilities of the Chair of the Precautionary Measures Panel may be delegated to a nominee. The Chair will be responsible for decisions made under Precautionary Measures, informed by a panel of advisors.

3.2 Where suspension or exclusion is a proposed precautionary measure resulting from a panel meeting, the Chair of the Panel will make a recommendation to a Pro Vice Chancellor (PVC) who will review the case and either uphold, amend, or dismiss the proposal.

3.3 The secretary to the panel is responsible for convening panel meetings, liaising with the Chair, panel advisors, and compiling reports resulting from the panel meetings.

4. Definitions:

4.1 Student:

The term 'student' applies to any registered student at the University of Bath.

4.2 'Party' any party involved in one of the identified policies or processes who may be at risk as a result.

4.3 Reporting Party:

The person affected or impacted by the alleged misconduct (for example in a Student Disciplinary process)

4.4 Respondent:

The person against whom the allegation is made (for example in a Student Disciplinary process).

4.5 The Precautionary Measures Panel:

The Precautionary Measures Panel will normally comprise:

| | |
|-----------------------|--|
| Chair of the Panel | Head of Student Policy and Safeguarding |
| Advisors to the Panel | Senior Case Manager Head of Security Services |

| | |
|------------------------|--|
| | Student Discipline Manager Student Casework Manager Director of Accommodation and Hospitality Services Other relevant staff |
| Secretary to the Panel | Student Safeguarding Manager |

The Precautionary Measures Panel will usually be chaired by the Head of Student Policy and Safeguarding, or another Manager within Student Policy and Safeguarding, in their absence. The Chair may call on other individuals to attend in an advisory capacity. The Chair of the Panel will also seek the views of those involved in the process.

5. Records and Notifications

5.1 The Secretary will notify The SU and other teams/ departments within the University of sanctions applied on a 'need to know basis' as determined by the University's administrative and safeguarding responsibilities (i.e., notification of a No Contact Order where the Respondent and the Reporting Party are in the same academic department or SU club).

5.2 A Respondent's academic department will normally be informed of precautionary measures applied.

5.3 All records taken under this policy will be held for the recommended period of time for which records should be retained to comply with legal requirements and meet operational needs as defined with the [University Records Retention Schedule](#)

6. Appeal Process

6.1 A student who has been suspended as a precautionary measure may use the [Student Appeals Policy and Procedure](#) to submit an appeal (within the bounds of that policy) following notification of the outcome of a Precautionary Measures Panel meeting. Appeals need to be submitted within 10 working days of the precautionary measure being applied.

7. Policy review

7.1 If you have any feedback on this policy, or on your experience of this policy or process, please email studentpolicy@bath.ac.uk

The SU Advice and Support Centre offer independent advice on drafts of written feedback.

8. Related Policies and Procedures

- The Precautionary Measures Procedure
- Student Regulation 8 – Disciplinary procedures for Students
- The Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study Policy
- The Fitness to Practise Policy
- The Dignity and Respect Policy – Student Respondents only

9. Document Control Information

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| Owner | Cassie Wilson, Pro-Vice-Chancellor for Student Experience. |
| Version number | 1 |
| Approval Date | TBC |
| Approved By | Senate |
| Date of last review | N/A |
| Date of next review | April 2025 |

Student Precautionary Measures Procedure (Health, Wellbeing and Support for Study, Fitness to Practise and Student Discipline)

1. Notification of the need for a Precautionary Measures Panel Meeting

1.1 If a risk to a member or members of the University community is identified within the scope of this policy (see section 2 above), it may be necessary to convene a Precautionary Measures Panel meeting. The following staff may contact the Head of Student Policy & Safeguarding to advise this:

- Senior Case Manager
- Head of Security Services
- Student Discipline Manager
- Student Casework Manager

1.2. Upon receipt of the information related to the risk, the Head of Student Policy & Safeguarding will determine whether a Precautionary Measures Panel meeting is necessary, and convene the meeting as required. In the case of a student being arrested, the Chair of the Panel will inform the Pro-Vice Chancellor.

1.3 Relevant papers, including a meeting agenda, information on the case, and risk assessment proformas, will be circulated to attendees prior to the meeting, by the Student Safeguarding Manager. Attendees will consider the information and complete relevant sections of the risk assessment prior to the meeting, to enable a focused discussion to take place at the panel meeting.

2. Structure of the panel meeting

2.1 The panel meeting will follow a set agenda as follows:

| Item | Person responsible |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 1. Overview of the case | Chair |

| | |
|---|---------|
| 2. Presentation of relevant information that has come to light since papers were circulated | Members |
| 3. Risks to the/each student party | All |
| 5. Risks to other stakeholders | All |
| 6. Risk assessment proformas finalised for each party; Precautionary measures to be decided | Chair |
| 7. Communication to be agreed | Chair |
| 8.AOB | All |

2.2 The panel will consider the risks for each student party, any other stakeholders, the University community, and a risk assessment will be completed. The proforma for the risk assessment may be partly completed prior to the meeting and should be fully completed by the end of the meeting. The risk assessment will consider what actions can be taken to mitigate risks and provide appropriate support for students affected.

2.3 The panel will consider any risks to the wider community and other stakeholders including those external to the University. The risk assessment will consider what actions can be taken to mitigate risks and provide appropriate support for stakeholders affected.

2.4 Having considered the risks fully, based on the information available, the panel will determine which precautionary measures, if any, should be applied. The Chair of the Panel may apply the full range of precautionary measures with the exception of suspension from study, or exclusion from the University campus. In the case of suspension or exclusion being proposed as a precautionary measure, a recommendation will be made to the PVC by the Chair, in the form of a report following the meeting. The PVC will decide whether to uphold the decision to suspend/exclude.

2.5 Precautionary Measures can include some or all of the following, or other measures that the panel agrees are appropriate:

- Change of accommodation
- No contact order
- Exclusion from areas of campus, or from the entire campus
- Move to remote learning
- Suspension from study

2.6 The panel will consider any necessary communication that should take place regarding the precautionary measures agreed, and this will be recorded in the meeting report. The Chair will consider that stakeholders will usually be informed on a need-to-know basis: students involved, others at risk, etc, informed by the risk assessment.

2.7 The Secretary to the panel will be responsible for disseminating the agreed communication from the panel meeting. This will usually include letters to any parties who are subject to precautionary measures, and precautionary measures notifications to relevant staff. All notifications will be sent within 3 working days.

2.8 The Panel will agree review dates and the process for information sharing following the panel meeting. In the case of a police investigation, the point of contact for any developments in the case will be confirmed. All cases will be monitored on at least a monthly basis. The Panel will be reminded that it is the responsibility of students to keep the panel informed of any developments. Students will have an identified single point of

contact with whom to communicate. Following the precautionary measures panel meeting, a report will be written by the Secretary to record the decisions of the panel. This report will be shared with the PVC and all related documents made available to them.

3. Appeal process

A student may appeal against the decision to suspend them from study, using the Student Appeals Policy and Procedure.

Amendments to these Regulations are approved by Senate. Any such amendments are identified above and will take effect from the date shown.

Date of Last Update: 1 August 2024